	Page 1
1	
2	TOWN OF WASHINGTON
3	ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS
4	x
5	10 Reservoir Drive
6	Millbrook, New York
7	
8	October 15, 2024
9	6:34 P.M.
10	
11	YADGARD: 610 STANFORD ROAD - PUBLIC HEARING
12	
13	* * *
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 2	
1		
2	APPEARANCES:	
3		
	BEFORE:	
4	FRANK REDL, Chairman	
	TATE KUNKLE	
5	ALEXANDER KOLLMAR	
6		
	ALSO PRESENT:	
7	KYLE BARNETT, ESQ., Town Attorney	
	JENNIFER BOSSERT, Secretary	
8		
9	FOR LEA AND JAMES CORNELL:	
	ALLAN B. RAPPLEYEA, ESQ.	
10	CORBALLY, GARTLAND & RAPPLEYEA, LLP	
	35 Market Street	
11	Poughkeepsie, New York 12601	
12		
	FOR RACHEL AND DANIEL YADGARD:	
13	JOSHUA E. MACKEY, ESQ.	
	MACKEY BUTTS & WHALEN, LLP	
14	3208 Franklin Avenue	
	Millbrook, New York 12545	
15		
16	* * *	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
2 4		
25		

1

YADGARD: 610 STANFORD ROAD - PUBLIC HEARING

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

CHAIRMAN REDL: The next matter on the agenda is the scheduled public hearing for Yadgard, located at 610 Stanford Road, seeking four variances, three area variances and one variance for lot coverage.

Mr. Mackey, it is on for a public hearing, but to establish a record, do you want to give a brief introduction of the application?

All right. MR. MACKEY: Sure. want me to come up there, or do you want to do it --

> CHAIRMAN REDL: Yeah, you can come on up.

MR. MACKEY: Okav. Thanks. So this application is now 25 months in the making. It's taken on various forms. The most recent one was submitted, actually, last year at this time, October 31st. You're right, there are three variances under consideration. As you know, it's a pool, by now.

The first variance requests a rear yard variance. The variance is calling for 40-foot from the back boundary line, whereas a 75-foot setback is required. The second variance is a side yard setback. A hundred feet is required, YADGARD: 610 STANFORD ROAD - PUBLIC HEARING and the proposed front edge of the pool being 90.8 feet away from the side property. third variance that we're requesting is lot coverage.

So this current iteration, it's a 35-by-15 pool on a lot that is 1.74 acres. The pool itself is 525 square feet. I think if you -this gets a little bit complicated. I can go into it but, you know, the calculations of lot coverage have been discussed also in our October 31st, 2023, application. I think that -- also previously briefed the elements of area variance, what we have to show.

We've talked a lot about the community -the neighborhood, community character, and last year submitted ParcelAccess maps showing the existence of a swimming pool at 630 Stanford If my orientation is correct, that's Road. going to be to the north of Yadgard. There's another pool there. There's several other pools in the neighborhood that we showed on photographs in the immediate area.

The -- again, towards undesirable -- will there be an undesirable change to the character

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

YADGARD: 610 STANFORD ROAD - PUBLIC HEARING of the neighborhood? You might remember there was a lot of discussion about the pool pump, and according to the manufacture, this is the quiet pump on the planet. That's their words. There's different options about where that can go. From what I understand, before I got involved -- in fact, Mr. Yadgard is here. He's sitting in the back, by the way.

CHAIRMAN REDL: Yup.

MR. MACKEY: There had been discussion of putting the mechanicals next to the house, which might not require a variance, but then -- not a bad idea -- someone thought, why don't you put it over in the shed or put it a little bit further away? That might require -- you know, there's some flexibility about that, I guess, if the board wants to talk about that some more.

CHAIRMAN REDL: Okay.

MR. MACKEY: But there was a lot of consideration about the sound that might emanate from the pump. You might remember the client met with Millbrook Fence and talked about a fence. I think at one of the meetings

YADGARD: 610 STANFORD ROAD - PUBLIC HEARING he asked, how high can I put it? So obviously, it will be within code. And landscaping, of course, was agreed to and proposed to further lessen the impacts of -- visual impacts and noise.

We talked a lot about whether there's some other way that we can achieve the desired swimming pool. So there's a lot of back and forth on this over the past year. The board was invited to go out. I think someone did from the board. I'm not sure who. At some point in time, someone from the board went out and visited the site. There was discussion, I think, proposed by a neighbor and the neighbor's architect that the pool could go in a -- in a raised area behind the house. driveway comes in from the south and, kind of, comes around the back of the house, and the There's all stone with garage is underneath. There's some pretty -- probably -some places, probably 10, 12 feet high when you get around the back of the house. And in between that driveway, that U, is a small area where there's a patch of grass and some

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

YADGARD: 610 STANFORD ROAD - PUBLIC HEARING landscaping, and the idea was floated that perhaps the pool could go there.

We had the Yadgards' pool contractor, Four Seasons -- I met them out there wintertime this year to explore the idea. And you know, the answer to that is, of course, anything is possible. You know, a beach is eroding in Nantucket. If you want to put your house back and put it up on stilts and spend millions of dollars, you can do that. So sure, you could take out that wall, support it up, but it makes no sense to have a pool there.

There's an existing patio where this pool site, we're now proposing, is located. It's off of some slider doors, where he keeps a grill. It would be cost prohibitive. Four Seasons looked at it and he said, sure, anything's possible, but you're talking about a major project, doing something like that, so it isn't quite as easy as it seems.

We talked about the substantial -- you know, the other factor is whether the requested area variances are substantial. Of course, you know, we're saying they're not substantial. I

YADGARD: 610 STANFORD ROAD - PUBLIC HEARING think in particular the one that impacts the neighbor the greatest, who seems to be in opposition to this more than anybody, that's the least substantial. That's nine percent.

The alleged difficulty being self-created, here's what I'm going to say to that: course, any one of these factors is not necessarily dispositive over the other, but the longer this is going on, I think, the more one could say it's not self-created. You know, when we started this, there's a lot of discussion in the submissions about conversations Mr. Yadgard and neighbors had before he purchased the property. Well, you know, like a lot of people moving into a new neighborhood, he had his attorney at the time. He had real estate brokers. He's had a conversation with the building department. All I'm saying, look, we can't tell you you're going to get a variance, but people get variances for -- that's -- I'm paraphrasing it, but that's essentially what he was told. process began, as you know, and due to some procedural error, that completely had to be

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 YADGARD: 610 STANFORD ROAD - PUBLIC HEARING 2 retracted, and there's a hole in his backyard 3 where there was going to be a pool. know, on the self-created element of an area 4 5 variance, we think that certainly as time has 6 gone on here, and through no fault of his own, 7 it's become less and less self-created. 8 the application. 9 CHAIRMAN REDL: Okay.

MR. MACKEY: And we're hoping that the board would -- I'm sure you're going to hear public comment tonight, but again, after 25 months, we're hoping to get a vote.

CHAIRMAN REDL: Okay. Thank you. Thank you.

MR. MACKEY: You're welcome. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN REDL: At this point, I would

make a motion to open the public hearing.

MR. KUNKLE: Second.

CHAIRMAN REDL: All in favor?

BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN REDL: Before I ask for public comments, I want to acknowledge receipt of a ten-page letter with exhibits from Allan Rappleyea, who is representing Mr. and

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	YADGARD: 610 STANFORD ROAD - PUBLIC HEARING
2	Mr. Cornell, next door neighbors, and we also a
3	received a letter from the owners of 604
4	Stanford Road, Eileen and Peter Lehrer. I
5	don't know if I'm pronouncing that correctly.
6	It's a letter in opposition to the Yadgard
7	application. Both of those will be part of the
8	record this evening for this public hearing.
9	With that out of the way, is there anybody
10	that wishes to speak for or against the
11	application?
12	MR. RAPPLEYEA: May I approach?
13	CHAIRMAN REDL: Absolutely.
14	MR. RAPPLEYEA: Thank you. Good evening.
15	I've met you all before. I'm Allan Rappleyea.
16	I represent the Cornells. The document that
17	was submitted to the board, I dropped five
18	copies off.
19	MALE SPEAKER: Use the mic.
20	MR. RAPPLEYEA: Oh, sorry. And I also
21	emailed it to your attorney and Josh at the
22	same time, so not the same time, but as soon

October 10th, you're talking about?

CHAIRMAN REDL: That's your letter dated

as I walked back. So --

23

24

1 YADGARD: 610 STANFORD ROAD - PUBLIC HEARI

MR. RAPPLEYEA: Correct. So that was, I think, Friday. And with the board's indulgence, I just want to, sort of -- Josh started with it's been 25 months, and I think that 25 months ago, two of the gentlemen that are here tonight were not on the board. I think Frank was. Tate, I'm not sure if you were.

MR. KUNKLE: I was --

MR. RAPPLEYEA: You were?

MR. KUNKLE: -- last year.

MR. RAPPLEYEA: No. It was two years ago.

MR. KUNKLE: Oh, I wasn't two years ago.

MR. RAPPLEYEA: So I think that history's important, so it's probably as good a place to start. And the Cornells, Lea and Jim, are here with me, and you may have noted that they're always here. They've come to every meeting, either through Zoom or with me. This is really important to them.

We were not here in September, because what happened was we looked online, and just so you know, it said there's no meeting. So I'm going to give you a copy of that. It may just

YADGARD: 610 STANFORD ROAD - PUBLIC HEARING

be a technological problem that you have. But

Jim and Lea and I all looked to come to the

meeting, and it said canceled due to lack of

new applications, so we didn't come to the

meeting. I understand a meeting was held. I

understand that's when you decided to, you

know, proceed with a public hearing. I just

want the board to be aware of that, that, you

know, we did want to attend. We didn't blow it

off because it wasn't important, but --

CHAIRMAN REDL: That's understandable.

MR. RAPPLEYEA: -- we just didn't know based on that publication.

CHAIRMAN REDL: Okay.

MR. RAPPLEYEA: So, history. I'm going to go back very far, even before 25 months, because you guys know that these folks are neighbors. And the Cornells' property, in 2020 -- in early 2022, they applied for a number of variances to extend their garage, extend the deck, and what happened was, the then owner of the property, now the Yadgard property, a gentleman named Mr. Janson -- Mr. Janson appeared and had strong feelings

YADGARD: 610 STANFORD ROAD - PUBLIC HEARING
about extending the garage that close to his
house would impair its value and, you know,
essentially disrupt his peace and quiet. So -and this is exhibit -- one of the exhibits that
I attached. That variance application, the
board did not proceed with. It was crossed out
and essentially denied. The Cornells were not
going to get that -- go ahead, Frank.

CHAIRMAN REDL: I was going to say, I was at that meeting, and they withdrew that part of their application.

MR. RAPPLEYEA: And I think, is it fair to say, because of the vibe of the neighbor feeling it would affect value, and they didn't really want contention with their neighbor? So that's what --

CHAIRMAN REDL: It's possible. I don't know what their reasoning was, but I know that the Cornells withdrew that part of their application.

MR. RAPPLEYEA: Sure. That was their reasoning. So fast forward a little bit. The property gets placed on the market and gets sold to Mr. Yadgard. Prior -- and this is

1 YADGARD: 610 STANFORD ROAD - PUBLIC HEARING exhibit -- one of the exhibits. 2 Prior to the 3 sale, in Exhibit 2, I believe, Mr. Yadgard sends the Cornells an email and indicates to 4 5 them he realizes how close it is. He uses the 6 words proximity. That he has not entered the 7 contract, but that a pool is a must. Cornells, in February of '22, say thanks, but 8 9 we really would be opposed to a pool being that 10 close to our home. So Josh's point about 11 self-creation -- there really isn't any doubt 12 that it was entirely self-created, because the 13 applicant understood that there was opposition from their neighbor, understood that it was in 14 15 proximity to their home, understood that the 16 man that he bought the property from felt 17 strongly that an addition to the Cornell 18 property would impair the value of his home, 19 which is why the Cornells withdrew it, and 20 nevertheless proceeded, because in his words, a 21 pool is a must.

So the issue about the hole in the ground -- and this is definitely something that I think is a little misunderstood in the record. In fact, in AKR's memo, on page 2,

22

23

24

YADGARD: 610 STANFORD ROAD - PUBLIC HEARING they refer to litigation. So let me explain that litigation to you, because I think there's a perception that the Cornells are hair-trigger litigants and just like suing people. That's not what happened.

Here's what happened: The Yadgards came in for a variance application. No neighbors were given any notice. The board at the time was represented by Sarah Wilson. When Jim heard excavation going on, Jim and Lea had told -- his name escapes me at the moment, the former building inspector.

MALE SPEAKER: Finley.

MR. RAPPLEYEA: James Finley. Jim, if
there's any application next door, can you just
let us know? Fine. Jim Finley doesn't tell
them anything. Kevin Eiserman[ph.] is digging.
Jim Cornell goes over and says, hey, you
probably shouldn't dig. We didn't know about
this hearing, and we're opposed to the pool.
That doesn't stop. Jim sends an email to
Mr. Yadgard and says, hey, we ought to let you
know, you're digging. We didn't get any notice
for the variance, and that wasn't really fair

YADGARD: 610 STANFORD ROAD - PUBLIC HEARING to us. Fine. I reach out to the town and say, guys, hold the phone. A building permit should be revoked or suspended because no neighbor got notice. Fine.

As you probably know, there's a 30-day statute of limitations for Article 78s. probably on day 26 when that happens. The town does not revoke the permit. They had to -- I believe, Frank, they had to pull all you guys together, but by the time that happened, the statute of limitations had already expired, so we had to file to protect their rights. we did that, the town and the Yadgards agreed to vacate the permit. So AKR describes that as litigation. Their description is, when the project was first presented to the ZBA in October '22, the ZBA granted the relief requested at that time and the applicant obtained a building permit and work commenced. However, due to ongoing Article 78 challenge --I find it probably not reasonable to say the permit was granted without notice to any neighbor, and maybe the board should understand that when this summary is given. Furthermore,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

YADGARD: 610 STANFORD ROAD - PUBLIC HEARING
the town, through Ms. Wilson, would not revoke
the permit during the statutory period. So
really, you didn't have much of a choice.
Finally, the consultant did not advise the
board that it needed a coverage variance. So
the variances that they did have were
incomplete, in any event.

So history-wise, gang, the Yadgards understood this would be an issue. They understood their neighbor created the same issue for the Cornells, understood that no notice had been given to the Cornells, understood they didn't have a coverage variance, understood that the Cornells asked them to stop digging, but they kept digging. So that's why the hole is there.

Now, the town website -- I didn't realize this until Mr. Cornell pointed it out to me.

The town website has -- I don't know who wrote it, but it's very good, whoever wrote it. On page 4, when it talks about ZBA applications, it has a great guide. It's one of the best guides I've ever seen about area variances.

And what it starts out with is, the applicant

YADGARD: 610 STANFORD ROAD - PUBLIC HEARING needs to make a show -- a showing, rather, of unnecessary hardship. I've looked in the application. There is no showing of any hardship, let alone an unnecessary hardship. And I think it's fair to say we probably all know what hardship means, but I looked it up because lawyers look stuff up, and one of the definitions was a severe suffering or privation. I take that to mean, you know, somebody has a health issue or severe health issue or something that really their life requires.

And there's a case that I cited on page 1 and 2 of the letter that I sent you that is a comparable pool case, and the court essentially said a pool is not a hardship. Needing a pool is not a hardship. You don't even get to the five standards until you establish that you have a hardship. There's nothing in this record to suggest there is any kind of hardship, and in fact, the application lacks a statement of hardship. So I think you have to start at that point, not it's been 25 months. I really need the pool. There's been no

YADGARD: 610 STANFORD ROAD - PUBLIC HEARING showing whatsoever.

The end of that, page 4, even talks about -- and we'll go through these, but if the applicant did not satisfy every one of these standards, the variance must be denied by the zoning board of appeals. This is not -- I didn't create this. This is on the town's website, and it's page 4 of the subject marked area variance application.

So I think I've, sort of, covered the first -- first of the standards in my letter is, was the difficulty self-created?

Undoubtedly, it was. There's no -- I appreciate Josh's argument that perhaps its -- you know, time has passed. The passage of time doesn't dilute the self-creation issue at all.

The second issue is, are the variances substantial? So Josh indicated that there were three. There are four variances, and this is what they total: The rear yard variance is 46 percent; the side yard variance is 48.5 percent for the pool equipment; the side yard variance for the pool is 10 percent; the coverage variance -- your consultant indicates that it's

1	YADGARD: 610 STANFORD ROAD - PUBLIC HEARING
2	2.6 percent. Respectfully, that's not correct
3	math. There isn't any doubt there isn't any
4	dispute that what's on site is approximately
5	7500 square feet. Appendix B talks about, you
6	know, how many more square feet it can be. If
7	this pool is built, there's going to be 9500
8	square feet. The fact that some of it is
9	nonconforming doesn't excuse compliance with
10	Appendix B. So while it's true
11	constitutionally if something is
12	nonconforming I'm not conceding this is, but
13	if something is nonconforming, you can't tell
14	somebody they can't do it. Can't take away.
15	But when they open that up and say we want to
16	add more, the appropriate measuring point is
17	not nonconforming versus what we want to add.
18	It's, what does Schedule B permit and what do
19	they want to have? And I'm not great at math,
20	but I believe it's 26 percent, not you know,
21	it's a 2,000-square-foot differential over 7500
22	square feet. It's 26 percent. So what does
23	that leave us with? Four variances out of the
24	total of five that you could need to be there.
25	The only one they don't need is on the Lehrer

YADGARD: 610 STANFORD ROAD - PUBLIC HEARING side.

We now know the Lehrers, or 100 percent of the neighbors affected by this application, are opposed to this application. You have three sides. The Lehrers own on two. The Cornells own on the other. The Lehrers -- I did see the letter myself. It was forwarded to me -- say you can put it in a place where you don't need any variances. That's where you should put it. So we have -- think about this site. five possible variances, they need four of One's -- two of them are almost them. 50 percent. One's more than 25. One's more than ten.

We secured an affidavit from Ken Holzberg, who used to be on the ZBA. I don't know if all of you gentlemen know him. I know Frank knows him. And basically, Frank -- rather, Ken looked at -- and this is Exhibit 6 in the package. He looked at 392.5 of the code and basically said we've never used that to grant a coverage variance. And I think the point that Ken was trying to make is that the ZBA -- can't speak for it, but has probably never seen an

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

YADGARD: 610 STANFORD ROAD - PUBLIC HEARING
application exactly like this, and here's why:
Josh talked about there are pools nearby.
There are. There's two. The old Toad Hill
property, now the Lehrer, is 4.1 acres. I did
the measurements on ParcelAccess. It didn't
need any variances. It's 111 feet from one
boundary and 118 feet from the other boundary.
No variances. The other parcel is 7.7 acres.
That's called the Lindseys[ph.]. No variances.
Not near boundaries.

So we don't dispute there are pools. We do dispute that there is any pool on a parcel that's under two acres, that's already over the coverage allowance in the Town of Washington.

And if there's evidence to the contrary I'd love to see it, because just saying there are pools -- those pools are compliant with the code. We're comparing apples to oranges. This proposal is 80 percent out of compliance with the code.

Now, undesirable change and effect on the neighborhood. That's probably self-evident at this point. That's how the Cornells feel. I would submit to you that the other neighbor

YADGARD: 610 STANFORD ROAD - PUBLIC HEARING affected by it feels the same way, based on their letter to the board. These two other pools, totally incomparable. If there is -- Frank, you've been on the board a long time. If you can think of another pool that benefited from this kind of application, please, if it comes to mind, I'd love to discuss it.

We had submitted -- when the first pool was proposed way back when, couple of years ago, Candy Anderson, one of the brokers -- it's attached to our submission. I don't remember the exhibit number -- gave us a letter. Said it will definitely impact value. We weren't sure when this application would restart or if it would restart. When it did restart, when we saw online that actually a meeting was held, the Cornells hired Lee McEnroe and Maureen McGoldrick. You guys may know them. They're very well-known local appraisers. They spent a lot of time -- and it's attached to the submission. It's Exhibit 4. They spent a lot of time -- it's a 22-page report -- looking at the impact this might have on the Cornell property, and they said a few things.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

YADGARD: 610 STANFORD ROAD - PUBLIC HEARING encourage you, if you don't have a chance -- or if you haven't had the chance, please read it. But specifically, on page 12, they talk about this notion in appraising. I know Kyle and I have had some tax cases together, so we know a fair amount about appraisers. But external obsolescence, and what that means in the appraisal lingo is there are external features that can affect you, and there's nothing you can do about them.

They looked at 24 sales that were below market, and what they found was that the steepest discounts were those affected by external obsolescence. And their conclusion was that if this pool is built where it's proposed to be built, it will -- the value of the Cornell property will deteriorate by 15 percent, and that's in bold on their conclusions on page 12. That aligns with exactly what Candy Anderson said. It doesn't give an exact number. But it doesn't take, frankly, in my view, great mystery to realize that it's going to impair their value.

So, sort of, on the point of hardship,

YADGARD: 610 STANFORD ROAD - PUBLIC HEARING there's nothing in this record over the past two years that suggests that this will not be harmful to the Cornells. Now the record is clear that it will be financially harmful as well. And I would submit to the board that allowing variances to improve one value to impair another is not a precedent the board may be interested in setting.

Now, in terms of other factors and how it affects the neighborhood, look, there's no pool there now, so we know the property can be enjoyed. It was enjoyed for decades. There's no pool there now. You don't need a pool to live there. So the addition of a pool will bring light, noise, just more busyness to the site that's that close to somebody else's home, and it will impact those external and those environmental features.

The Cornells also pointed out, we have very low well in this area. A lot of people on Stanford Road do. My sister, Susie Whalen, her well ran dry last year. They're about a mile away. Stanford Road is notoriously a low water area. So if you're constantly filling a pool

YADGARD: 610 STANFORD ROAD - PUBLIC HEARING because the water's evaporating, that's a problem.

The next standard: Can the benefit be sought by other means or achieved by other means? And there's cases -- and again, the town's standards -- this is right on. Point 2, whether the benefit sought by the applicant be achieved by some other method, feasible for the applicant to pursue, other than an area variance. The alternative location would not require an area variance.

Now, it's true, the driveway was built to circle around and go into the garage. But the driveway was built, which means earth was moved. Rock was moved. It can be moved again. The driveway can be pushed out further. And I stress to the board, the applicant -- this is some of the cases we cited. The applicant must show this. The applicant must carry this burden.

I called Willy Murphy. I've known Will a long time. I asked him, go look at the site. He provided an affidavit. It's Exhibit 8, and it's not a letter. It's a sworn affidavit.

YADGARD: 610 STANFORD ROAD - PUBLIC HEARING And Willy told me that he actually worked on this property in the '80s, finishing the siding. He said that the property had been enlarged several times, and he -- whoever the contractor was didn't finish the job. owner at the time asked him to come out and finish, and he did. So what he said is, frankly, consistent with what Josh said, is it can be moved. And Josh didn't say it can't be He said it can be moved. It might There's no doubt involve greater expense. about that, but it can be moved. And while it's more convenient for the Yadgards to have it in that other location, convenience isn't one of the standards. It can be moved, and that's established by Willy. It's established by the applicant's attorney.

Willy says specifically -- and I highly encourage you to read his affidavit -- that he's done excavation work for pools dozens and dozens of times. He did all the excavation work for a pool company that is no longer in business. He gave me the name, but I forgot it. He was shown the alternative location. He

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

YADGARD: 610 STANFORD ROAD - PUBLIC HEARING was able to see that area. He pointed out that excavation had occurred, meaning where the hole was, and he says, pretty clearly, you can put a pool in there. It might require some site work, but you can put a pool in that area. the benefit, in fact, can be achieved by other It can be achieved by other means means. without any variances. It will still need a coverage one, by the way. I shouldn't say any. It will still need a coverage variance, in any case, but it will eliminate the side yard. will eliminate the rear yard. That is supported by 100 percent of the neighbors affected by this application.

Now, lastly, will it adversely effect the physical or environmental characteristic in the neighborhood? I don't think I need to repeat. That's, in many ways, kind of what I've already said.

Now, again, I want to go back and look at the town website. The last sentence of the whole thing: If the applicant does not satisfy each of the above mentioned criteria, the variance must be denied by the zoning board of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	YADGARD: 610 STANFORD ROAD - PUBLIC HEARING
2	appeals. I would submit to the board that the
3	applicant has not established one of the
4	criteria, let alone five. The applicant has
5	not established any hardship whatsoever, which
6	is necessary before you reach any of the
7	criteria.
8	Are there any questions? There's no bad
9	questions. I'll do my best to answer whatever
10	they are, good, bad or indifferent.
11	CHAIRMAN REDL: The alternative site would
12	be in the front yard of the house?
13	MR. RAPPLEYEA: No. That's the backyard.
14	CHAIRMAN REDL: That's still the backyard?
15	MR. RAPPLEYEA: Yes. I don't think you
16	can put a pool in a front yard.
17	CHAIRMAN REDL: So is it safe to say that
18	if they change locations, your clients might
19	not be opposed to the pool being there?
20	MR. RAPPLEYEA: I think that's very safe
21	to say.
22	CHAIRMAN REDL: Okay. Those are the only
23	questions I have.
24	MR. KUNKLE: I don't have any questions.
25	MR. KOLLMAR: I don't have any questions.

1	YADGARD: 610 STANFORD ROAD - PUBLIC HEARING
2	MR. BARNETT: Allan, the only question I
3	have is
4	MR. RAPPLEYEA: Excuse me, Kyle. Hold on
5	one second.
6	MR. BARNETT: Take your time.
7	MR. RAPPLEYEA: I just this is ringing.
8	It's my wife calling. I'm not going to answer
9	it. I just didn't want it to be loud. Shoot.
10	MR. BARNETT: I see you have a
11	stenographer that you brought for the
12	proceedings. Do you if do you have a
13	request to submit the transcript this evening
14	as part of the record?
15	MR. RAPPLEYEA: If the board would like
16	it, sure. I mean, if they find it helpful.
17	CHAIRMAN REDL: I think it would.
18	MR. BARNETT: Okay.
19	MR. RAPPLEYEA: I mean, I don't know that
20	you need to keep your hearing open for that
21	reason, but
22	MR. BARNETT: No. No. What I would do
23	we would just for that submission for
24	written submissions, perhaps, any written
25	submissions.

1	YADGARD: 610 STANFORD ROAD - PUBLIC HEARING
2	CHAIRMAN REDL: Like two weeks or
3	something like that?
4	MR. BARNETT: Sure.
5	MR. RAPPLEYEA: Well, let me ask you a
6	question: How quickly can that transcript be
7	ready? Not to put you on the spot or anything.
8	THE COURT REPORTER: It can be as quickly
9	as you tell me. I don't know how it works with
10	the agency, but usually I think it's eight to
11	ten business days on a normal schedule.
12	CHAIRMAN REDL: Would you prefer it to be
13	part of the record, Mr. Rappleyea?
L 4	MR. RAPPLEYEA: I think everybody would
15	prefer it be part of the record.
16	CHAIRMAN REDL: Okay. Yeah. I don't have
17	an objection to it.
18	MR. KUNKLE: I have no objection to it.
19	MR. KOLLMAR: No objection.
20	CHAIRMAN REDL: Okay. Is there anybody
21	else that wishes to speak for or against the
22	application this evening?
23	MR. CORNELL: Well, I'd just like to say a
24	few words as the
2 5	MP PARRIEVEA: Tim why don't you come

YADGARD: 610 STANFORD ROAD - PUBLIC HEARING

up? I'll move.

MR. CORNELL: Yeah, I will. Certainly, as

the affected party here --

MR. BARNETT: Sir, just start with your name and address.

MR. CORNELL: This is James Cornell, the owner of 620 Stanford Road, which is the property that's adjoining the Yadgard property. And just to reiterate what Allan said, when Janson did object to our extension of our garage, he informed us that he was going to -- he was going to sell the house and was concerned that that extension would impair the value or the marketability of his property because it would get fairly close.

CHAIRMAN REDL: I think around four feet, wasn't it?

MR. CORNELL: Yeah. And we asked him -we said, can we landscape? We'll plant stuff
there, pine trees or whatever. He said no. I
don't want anything that's going to, you know,
impair the marketability of the property. I'm
putting it up on the market. And he ended up
doing so and selling it to the Yadgards. And

YADGARD: 610 STANFORD ROAD - PUBLIC HEARING we understood that.

I wanted to -- I was on the planning board for eight years. You might know that. Ι respect the code. I upheld the code during those eight years, and I know it very well. when this happened, I understood that this was -- you know, it would be very -- it would impose, you know, a hardship on us. I knew it was going to impact our value. I did bring in Candy Anderson's letter, which was not -didn't monetize it. And so we did ask -- you know, get a most serious appraiser in Dutchess County, Lee McEnroe. I'm sure a lot of people are familiar with her. She does, you know, great deal of work throughout Dutchess. was very surprised to see that she monetized it at, you know, 15 percent. This is impacting our property value by \$225,000.

MR. KUNKLE: Is your house on sale?

MR. CORNELL: Is my house for sale, no.

MR. KUNKLE: Are you selling your house or planning on selling it?

MR. CORNELL: No, but it's impacting the value of it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

YADGARD: 610 STANFORD ROAD - PUBLIC HEARING

2 MR. CORNELL: He was --

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KUNKLE: You got a -- anyway, that was my question. You answered it. Thank you.

MR. CORNELL: I'm talking about impairment, impairment of the value. That's pretty clear cut. And what I'm saying is that there's no reason -- this pool is not necessary. I mean, it's a pool that would be used in the summertime. We know this is not a year-round option for anybody. They want to put a pool in, and that's going to impair our value, and meanwhile, maybe it will improve the value of his property. I'm just saying that as a precedent, we were willing to withdraw our opposition -- our application for a garage extension and for the same reason that we're requesting that they withdraw or you deny them a variance for -- you know, for a pool that would have the same impact on our property That's all we're asking for, and I think this is -- I mean, as a person who's been living in this community 40 years, I don't think that's a big ask. So just wanted to leave you with that.

YADGARD: 610 STANFORD ROAD - PUBLIC HEARING
CHAIRMAN REDL: Thank you for your
comments.

MR. KUNKLE: Thank you.

MR. KOLLMAR: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN REDL: Anybody else want to speak for or against the application?

MR. MACKEY: I'd just like to address again, if that's okay.

CHAIRMAN REDL: Absolutely.

MR. MACKEY: Just a couple of -- in response to Allan's citation of the guide that's on the website, that's not the code; right? So that's on the website. That's -- it is what it is. It's a guide. And if you read the first paragraph on the second -- I'm sorry -- the third -- one, two, three -- fourth page of the guide, it says, in such statement of hardship, the property owner must address all the criteria below. So it actually tells you what the statement of hardship is. those five elements that are right there. This isn't something new.

I am a little bit troubled by the precedent of prior board members, who

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

YADGARD: 610 STANFORD ROAD - PUBLIC HEARING
themselves may have unclean hands, coming
forward and offering their testimony about what
they did in their tenure as a ZBA member. I'm
not sure that the board should rely on that,
especially when he's talking about to the best
of his recollection. Same thing goes with
McEnroe's appraisal. She says I encourage
you to read it probably. She talks about
the noise. She says that the pump and the
noise from the pool probably would impact the
Cornells. So there's a lot of qualifiers in
that appraisal.

Comparing the variances that were requested by the Cornells, five feet from the property line versus 90 feet from the property line, there is no comparison. Big difference. That's it.

CHAIRMAN REDL: Thank you, Mr. Mackey.

MR. RAPPLEYEA: May I be heard briefly?
May I be heard briefly?

CHAIRMAN REDL: Absolutely. I want to give everybody a fair shot here.

MR. RAPPLEYEA: Sorry. Josh is right.

It's not new. It's exactly what the law's been

YADGARD: 610 STANFORD ROAD - PUBLIC HEARING for a long time, and all of the cases say that. And the town's -- on the town website, it's just a very good summary of exactly what the cases say, that there must be a hardship and you must hit all those criteria. And the burden is not on the neighbors. The burden is on you.

The comment about unclean hands, no idea who he's talking about. I think that might be Ken Holzberg because Ken gave an affidavit. Unclean hands is a defense that's used usually to toss somebody out of court because they came and they did something rotten. That's not present here. I don't think anybody's engaged in that.

The McEnroe appraisal, by its very nature, is an appraisal. It reaches conclusions that says this is what I think may happen or this is something I think may impair value. Compare that to the absence in the record of saying anything to the contrary. There's only one thing in this record. It will affect value. There's nothing saying it won't.

And lastly, Josh is correct on the limited

1	YADGARD: 610 STANFORD ROAD - PUBLIC HEARING
2	point of four feet. However, what he's
3	forgetting is that of the variances they need,
4	they need four or five, or 80 percent of what
5	the code would permit, including 26 percent
6	over. Mind you, no one disputed that.
7	26 percent over what's allowable in coverage.
8	Thanks.
9	CHAIRMAN REDL: Thank you. Anybody else?
10	Do you guys have any comments or questions
11	or anything for anybody that spoke?
12	MR. KOLLMAR: No.
13	MR. KUNKLE: I do not.
14	CHAIRMAN REDL: Well, what I'd like to do
15	at this point is close the public hearing,
16	except we'd be willing to accept the transcript
17	from tonight's proceeding. Do you think it can
18	be done within two weeks?
19	MR. RAPPLEYEA: So she works for
20	CHAIRMAN PARISI: Babiarz, but it's now
21	MR. RAPPLEYEA: No, it's not Babiarz
22	anymore.
23	CHAIRMAN PARISI: It's somebody new now.
24	MR. RAPPLEYEA: Yeah. Yeah. So she's an
25	independent reporter. Shels saying she can

1	YADGARD: 610 STANFORD ROAD - PUBLIC HEARING
2	I'll work it out with them. It will get to the
3	board in two weeks. I'll send Kyle a copy and
4	I'll send Josh a copy.
5	CHAIRMAN REDL: Okay. If we're waiting
6	for the transcript, if either anybody for or
7	against the application want to make one other
8	submission within two weeks, we'll accept it.
9	Other than that, I would move that we close the
10	public hearing.
11	MR. RAPPLEYEA: So, Frank, can I be heard
12	on that point, which is, if we're going to
13	if more evidence is going to come in, then
14	that's difficult, because if the public
15	hearing's closed, we can't comment.
16	CHAIRMAN REDL: You're right. We'll close
17	the public hearing, subject only to receiving
18	the transcript.
19	MR. RAPPLEYEA: Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN REDL: That would be my motion.
21	MR. KUNKLE: I'll second that.
22	CHAIRMAN REDL: All in favor?
23	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
24	CHAIRMAN REDL: Okay. Just speaking for

myself, there's a lot of legal issues that have

1	YADGARD: 610 STANFORD ROAD - PUBLIC HEARING
2	been proposed by both sides, and I would like
3	an opportunity at some point to have an
4	executive session with our attorney to review
5	those. In order to do that, I would move to
6	adjourn this application to our November
7	meeting.
8	MR. KUNKLE: I think that makes sense.
9	I'll second that.
10	MR. KOLLMAR: I agree.
11	CHAIRMAN REDL: Okay. All in favor?
12	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
13	CHAIRMAN REDL: All right. So we closed
14	the public hearing subject to receiving the
15	transcript only. We'll put this on for the
16	November agenda, Jennifer.
17	MS. BOSSERT: Okay. Got it.
18	MR. RAPPLEYEA: What's that date,
19	Jennifer?
20	MS. BOSSERT: It's the third I'm sorry.
21	Third Tuesday in November. So it would be I
22	think it's the 19th. Yeah.
23	MR. BARNETT: Just so let me just
24	just if I may, Mr. Chair, so if we get this
25	transcript in a couple of weeks, I just want to

1	YADGARD: 610 STANFORD ROAD - PUBLIC HEARING
2	caution everybody, it is theoretically possible
3	that we are not prepared. And then also we're
4	going to want to have an attorney it's
5	really I think what you're proposing is an
6	attorney-client meeting as opposed to executive
7	session. And so there may not be sufficient
8	time at that point in time to be prepared to
9	have a determination at the November meeting.
10	So I think we'll shoot for it, but in the event
11	that that doesn't happen, this may then get
12	leaked over to December.
13	CHAIRMAN REDL: Right.

MR. BARNETT: Just so everyone's aware that it's possible we may --

CHAIRMAN REDL: We've got 62 days; right?

MR. BARNETT: Yeah, from the close of public. So we've got plenty of time.

CHAIRMAN REDL: Yeah.

MR. BARNETT: So it may not be -- it may not be November. We're going to shoot for it, but if that doesn't happen, I'm sure we'll be back here in December to resolve this.

CHAIRMAN REDL: That certainly makes sense to me. Yeah.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Aye.

CHAIRMAN REDL: All in favor?

BOARD MEMBERS:

24

	Page 44
1	YADGARD: 610 STANFORD ROAD - PUBLIC HEARING
2	CHAIRMAN REDL: Carried.
3	(Time noted: 7:18 p.m.)
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 45
1	
2	CERTIFICATION
3	
4	I, ILANA BROWN, a Notary Public for
5	and within the State of New York, do
6	hereby certify:
7	That the within transcript is a true and
8	accurate record of the proceedings.
9	I further certify that I am not
10	related to any of the parties to this
11	action by blood or marriage, and that I am
12	in no way interested in the outcome of
13	this matter.
14	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
15	set my hand this 29th day of October,
16	2024.
17	
18	Plana-Brown
19	James Dices.
20	ILANA BROWN
21	
22	* * *
23	
24	
25	

[**& - ago**] Page 1

	26 16.9 20.20	25.1 26.1 27.1	achiero 6.0
&	26 16:8 20:20	35:1 36:1 37:1	achieve 6:8
& 2:10,13	20:22 39:5,7	38:1 39:1 40:1	achieved 26:5,9
1	29th 45:15	41:1 42:1 43:1	28:7,8
1 18:14	3	44:1	acknowledge
1.74 4:7	30 16:6	62 42:16 43:3	9:23
10 1:5 6:22	31st 3:18 4:12	620 32:8	acres 4:7 22:5,9
	3208 2:14	630 4:18	22:14
19:24	32892 45:19	6:34 1:9	action 45:11
100 21:3 28:14	35 2:10 4:6	7	actually 3:17
10th 10:25	392.5 21:21	7.7 22:9	23:17 27:2
111 22:7	4	75 3:23	36:20
118 22:8	-	7500 20:5,21	add 20:16,17
12 6:22 24:4,20	4 17:22 19:3,9	78 16:21	addition 14:17
12545 2:14	23:22	78 s 16:7	25:15
12601 2:11	4.1 22:5	7:18 44:3	address 32:6
15 1:8 4:6	40 3:22 35:23		36:8,19
24:19 33:18	46 19:21	8	adjoining 32:9
19th 41:22	48.5 19:22	8 26:24	adjourn 41:6
2	5	80 22:20 39:4	adversely
2 14:3,25 18:15	50 21:14	80s 27:3	28:16
26:7	50 21.14 525 4:8	9	advise 17:5
2,000 20:21		90 37:16	affect 13:15
2.6 20:2	6	90. 37.10 90.8 4:3	24:10 38:23
	6 21:20		affected 21:4
2020 12:20	604 10:3	9500 20:7	23:2 24:14
2022 12:20	610 1:11 3:1,4	a	28:15 32:4
2023 4:12	4:1 5:1 6:1 7:1	able 28:2	affects 25:11
2024 1:8 45:16	8:1 9:1 10:1	above 28:24	affidavit 21:16
22 14:8 16:18	11:1 12:1 13:1	absence 38:21	26:24,25 27:20
23:23	14:1 15:1 16:1	absolutely	· /
225,000 33:19	17:1 18:1 19:1	10:13 36:10	38:11
24 24:12	20:1 21:1 22:1	37:22	agency 31:10
25 3:15 9:12	23:1 24:1 25:1	accept 39:16	agenda 3:3
11:5,6 12:17	26:1 27:1 28:1	40:8	41:16
18:24 21:14	29:1 30:1 31:1	accurate 45:8	ago 11:6,13,14
	32:1 33:1 34:1	TJ.0	23:11
I			

[agree - believe] Page 2

agree 41:10	anymore 39:22	appraiser	27:18 41:4
agreed 6:4	anything's 7:19	33:13	42:4,6
16:14	anyway 35:3	appraisers	avenue 2:14
ahead 13:9	43:6	23:20 24:7	aware 12:9
akr 16:15	appeals 1:3	appraising	42:14
akr's 14:25	19:7 29:2	24:5	aye 9:21 40:23
alexander 2:5	appearances	appreciate	41:12 43:25
aligns 24:20	2:2	19:15	b
allan 2:9 9:24	appeared 12:25	approach	b 2:9 20:5,10
10:15 30:2	appendix 20:5	10:12	20:18
32:10	20:10	appropriate	babiarz 39:20
allan's 36:12	apples 22:19	20:16	39:21
alleged 8:6	applicant 14:13	approval 43:4	back 3:23 5:9
allowable 39:7	16:19 17:25	43:6,13	6:9,19,23 7:9
allowance	19:5 26:8,10	approximately	10:23 12:17
22:15	26:18,19,20	20:4	23:10 28:21
allowing 25:7	28:23 29:3,4	architect 6:16	42:23
alternative	applicant's	area 3:5 4:14	backyard 9:2
26:11 27:25	27:18	4:23 6:17,24	29:13,14
29:11	application 3:9	7:24 9:4 17:24	bad 5:14 29:8
amount 24:7	3:15 4:12 9:8	19:10 25:21,25	29:10
anderson 23:11	10:7,11 13:6	26:10,12 28:2	barnett 2:7
24:21	13:12,21 15:8	28:6	30:2,6,10,18,22
anderson's	15:16 18:4,22	argument	31:4 32:5
33:11	19:10 21:4,5	19:15 43:10	41:23 42:14,17
answer 7:7	22:2 23:7,15	article 16:7,21	42:20 43:5,12
29:9 30:8	28:15 31:22	asked 6:2 17:15	based 12:14
answered 35:4	35:16 36:7	26:23 27:7	23:2
anybody 8:4	40:7 41:6	32:19	basically 21:19
10:9 31:20	applications	asking 35:21	21:22
35:11 36:6	12:5 17:22	attached 13:6	beach 7:8
39:9,11 40:6	applied 12:20	23:12,21	began 8:24
anybody's	appraisal 24:9	attend 12:10	believe 14:3
38:15	37:8,13 38:17	attorney 2:7	16:10 20:20
	38:18	8:17 10:21	10.10 20.20

		_	
benefit 26:4,8	bring 25:16	caution 42:2	cited 18:14
28:7	33:10	certainly 9:5	26:19
benefited 23:6	brokers 8:18	32:3 42:24	clear 25:5 35:7
best 17:23 29:9	23:11	certification	clearly 28:4
37:6	brought 30:11	45:2	client 5:24 42:6
big 35:24 37:17	brown 45:4,20	certify 45:6,9	clients 29:18
bit 4:9 5:16	building 8:19	chair 41:24	close 13:2 14:5
13:23 36:24	15:13 16:3,20	chairman 2:4	14:10 25:17
blood 45:11	built 20:7	3:2,13 5:10,20	32:16 39:15
blow 12:10	24:16,17 26:13	9:9,14,17,20,22	40:9,16 42:17
board 1:3 5:18	26:15	10:13,24 12:12	43:20
6:10,12,13	burden 26:21	12:15 13:10,18	closed 40:15
9:11,21 10:17	38:7,7	29:11,14,17,22	41:13
11:7 12:9 13:7	business 27:24	30:17 31:2,12	code 6:3 21:21
15:9 16:24	31:11	31:16,20 32:17	22:19,21 33:5
17:6 19:7 23:3	busyness 25:16	36:2,6,10	33:5 36:13
23:5 25:6,8	butts 2:13	37:19,22 39:9	39:5
26:18 28:25	c	39:14,20,23	come 3:11,13
29:2 30:15	calculate 43:3	40:5,16,20,22	11:19 12:3,5
33:3 36:25	calculations	40:24 41:11,13	27:7 31:25
37:5 40:3,23	4:10	42:13,16,19,24	40:13
41:12 43:25	called 22:10	43:14,19,24	comes 6:18,19
board's 11:3	26:22	44:2	23:8
bold 24:19	calling 3:22	challenge 16:21	coming 37:2
bossert 2:7	30:8	chance 24:2,3	commenced
41:17,20	canceled 12:4	change 4:25	16:20
bought 14:16		22:22 29:18	comment 9:12
boundaries	candy 23:11	character 4:16	38:9 40:15
22:11	24:21 33:11	4:25	comments 9:23
boundary 3:23	carried 44:2	characteristic	36:3 39:10
22:8,8	carry 26:20	28:17	community
brief 3:9	case 18:14,16	choice 17:4	4:15,16 35:23
briefed 4:13	28:12	circle 26:14	company 27:23
briefly 37:20	cases 24:6 26:6	citation 36:12	comparable
37:21	26:19 38:2,5		18:16

[compare - dig] Page 4

20.20	4 00.16	4 7 17	1 1 22 16
compare 38:20	contrary 22:16	cost 7:17	deal 33:16
comparing	38:22	county 33:14	decades 25:13
22:19 37:14	convenience	couple 23:10	december
comparison	27:15	36:11 41:25	42:12,23
37:17	convenient	course 6:4 7:7	decided 12:7
completely	27:14	7:24 8:8	deck 12:22
8:25	conversation	court 18:16	default 43:4,5
compliance	8:19	31:8 38:13	43:12
20:9 22:20	conversations	coverage 3:6	defense 38:12
compliant	8:14	4:5,11 17:6,14	definitely 14:23
22:18	copies 10:18	19:24 21:23	23:14
complicated	copy 11:25	22:15 28:10,11	definitions 18:9
4:9	40:3,4	39:7	denied 13:8
conceding	corbally 2:10	covered 19:11	19:6 28:25
20:12	cornell 2:9 10:2	create 19:8	deny 35:18
concerned	14:17 15:19	created 8:6,11	department
32:14	17:19 23:24	9:4,7 14:12	8:19
conclusion	24:18 31:23	17:11 19:13	describes 16:15
24:15	32:3,7,7,19	creation 14:11	description
conclusions	33:21,24 34:4	19:17	16:16
24:20 38:18	34:7,10,13,17	criteria 28:24	desired 6:8
consideration	34:24 35:2,5	29:4,7 36:20	deteriorate
3:19 5:22	cornells 10:16	38:6	24:18
consistent 27:9	11:17 12:19	crossed 13:7	determination
constantly	13:8,20 14:4,8	current 4:6	42:9
25:25	14:19 15:4	cut 34:8 35:7	difference
constitutional	17:12,13,15	d	37:17
20:11	21:6 22:24	daniel 2:12	different 5:6
consultant 17:5	23:18 25:4,20	date 41:18	differential
19:25	37:12,15	dated 10:24	20:21
contention	correct 4:19	day 16:6,8	difficult 40:14
13:16	11:2 20:2	45:15	difficulty 8:6
contract 14:7	38:25		19:13
contractor 7:4	correctly 10:5	days 31:11	dig 15:20
27:6		42:16 43:3	

[digging - fact] Page 5

digging 15:18	dutchess 33:13	entirely 14:12	38:4
15:24 17:16,16	33:16	environmental	excavation
dilute 19:17	e	25:19 28:17	15:11 27:21,22
discounts 24:14	e 2:13	equipment	28:3
discuss 23:8	early 12:20	19:23	except 39:16
43:7	earth 26:15	eroding 7:8	exception
discussed 4:11		error 8:25	43:20
discussion 5:3	easy 7:21 edge 4:2	escapes 15:12	excuse 20:9
5:11 6:14 8:13	effect 22:22	especially 37:6	30:4
dispositive 8:9		esq 2:7,9,13	executive 41:4
dispute 20:4	28:16	essentially 8:23	42:6
22:12,13	eight 31:10	13:4,8 18:16	exhibit 13:5
disputed 39:6	33:4,6 eileen 10:4	establish 3:8	14:2,3 21:20
disrupt 13:4		18:19	23:13,22 26:24
document	eiserman 15:18	established	exhibits 9:24
10:16	either 11:20	27:17,17 29:3	13:5 14:2
doing 7:20	40:6	29:5	existence 4:18
32:25	element 9:4	estate 8:18	existing 7:14
dollars 7:11	elements 4:13	evaporating	expense 27:12
door 10:2	36:22 eliminate 28:12	26:2	expired 16:12
15:16		evening 10:8,14	explain 15:2
doors 7:16	28:13	30:13 31:22	explore 7:6
doubt 14:11	else's 25:17 email 14:4	event 17:8	extend 12:21
20:3 27:12		42:10	12:22
dozens 27:21	15:22	everybody	extending 13:2
27:22	emailed 10:21	31:14 37:23	extension 32:11
drive 1:5	emanate 5:23	42:2	32:14 35:17
driveway 6:18	encourage 24:2	everyone's	extent 34:3
6:24 26:13,15	27:20 37:8	42:14	external 24:7,9
26:17	ended 32:24	evidence 22:16	24:15 25:18
dropped 10:17	engaged 38:15	40:13	f
dry 25:23	enjoyed 25:13	evident 22:23	
due 8:24 12:4	25:13	exact 24:22	fact 5:8 14:25
16:21	enlarged 27:5	exactly 22:2	18:22 20:8
	entered 14:6	24:21 37:25	28:7
		_	

[factor - hands] Page 6

			1
factor 7:23	fine 15:17 16:2	21:18,19 23:5	13:9,10 15:11
factors 8:8	16:5	40:11	20:7 24:24
25:10	finish 27:6,8	franklin 2:14	30:8 32:12,13
fair 13:13	finishing 27:3	frankly 24:23	32:22 33:10
15:25 18:6	finley 15:14,15	27:9	34:22 35:12
24:7 37:23	15:17	friday 11:3	40:12,13 42:4
fairly 32:16	first 3:21 16:17	front 4:2 29:12	42:21
familiar 33:15	19:12,12 23:9	29:16	good 10:14
far 12:17	36:16	further 5:16	11:16 17:21
fast 13:23	five 10:17	6:4 26:17 45:9	29:10 38:4
fault 9:6	18:19 20:24	furthermore	43:14
favor 9:20	21:12 29:4	16:25	grant 21:22
40:22 41:11	36:22 37:15	g	granted 16:18
43:24	39:4	gang 17:9	16:23
feasible 26:9	flexibility 5:17	garage 6:20	grass 6:25
features 24:9	floated 7:2	12:21 13:2	great 17:23
25:19	folks 12:18	26:14 32:12	20:19 24:23
february 14:8	foot 3:22,23	35:16	33:16
feel 22:24	20:21	gartland 2:10	greater 27:12
feeling 13:15	forgetting 39:3	gentleman	greatest 8:3
feelings 12:25	forgot 27:24	12:24	grill 7:17
feels 23:2	former 15:13	gentlemen 11:6	ground 14:23
feet 3:25 4:3,8	forms 3:16	21:18	guess 5:18
6:22 20:5,6,8	forth 6:10	give 3:8 11:25	guide 17:23
20:22 22:7,8	forward 13:23	24:22 37:23	36:12,15,18
32:17 37:15,16	37:3	given 15:9	guides 17:24
39:2	forwarded 21:8	16:25 17:13	guys 12:18 16:3
felt 14:16	found 24:13	go 4:9 5:7 6:11	16:10 23:19
fence 5:24,25	four 3:5 7:4,17	6:16 7:3 12:17	39:10
file 16:13	19:20 20:23	13:9 19:4	h
filling 25:25	21:12 32:17	26:14,23 28:21	hair 15:4
finally 17:5	39:2,4	goes 15:19 37:7	hand 45:15
financially 25:5	fourth 36:17	going 4:20 8:7	hands 37:2
find 16:22	frank 2:4 11:8	8:10,21 9:3,11	38:9,12
30:16	13:9 16:10	11:25 12:16	30.7,12
		11.43 14.10	

happen 38:19 42:11,22 happened 11:23 12:22 15:6,7 16:11 33:7 happens 16:8 hardship 18:3 18:5,5,7,17,18 18:20,22,23 24:25 29:5 33:9 36:19,21 38:5 harmful 25:4,5 health 18:11,11 hear 9:11 heard 15:11 37:20,21 40:11 hearing 1:11 3:1,3,7 4:1 5:1 6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1 9:18 10:1,8 11:1 12:1,8 13:1 14:1 15:1 15:21 16:1 17:1 18:1 19:1 20:1 21:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 27:1 28:1 29:1 30:1 20	42:1 43:1,20 44:1 hearing's 40:15 held 12:6 23:17 helpful 30:16 hereunto 45:14 hey 15:19,23 high 6:2,22 highly 27:19 hill 22:4 hired 23:18 history 12:16 17:9 history's 11:15 hit 38:6 hold 16:3 30:4 hole 9:2 14:22 17:17 28:3 holzberg 21:16 38:11 home 14:10,15 14:18 25:17 hoping 9:10,13 house 5:12 6:17 6:19,23 7:9 13:3 29:12 32:13 33:20,21 33:22 hundred 3:25	impact 23:14 23:24 25:18 33:10 35:20 37:11 impacting 33:18,24 impacts 6:5,5 8:2 34:2 impair 13:3 14:18 24:24 25:8 32:14,23 35:12 38:20 impairing 34:14 impairment 35:6,6 important 11:16,21 12:11 impose 33:9 improve 25:7 35:13 including 39:5 incomparable 23:4 incomplete 17:8 independent 39:25 indicated 19:19 indicates 14:4	informed 32:12 inspector 15:13 interested 25:9 45:12 introduction 3:9 invited 6:11 involve 27:12 involved 5:8 issue 14:22 17:10,12 18:11 18:12 19:17,18 issues 40:25 iteration 4:6 j james 2:9 15:15 32:7 janson 12:24 12:25 32:11 jennifer 2:7 41:16,19 jim 11:17 12:3 15:10,11,15,17 15:19,22 31:25 job 27:6 josh 10:21 11:4 19:19 22:3 27:9,10 37:24 38:25 40:4
15:21 16:1	6:19,23 7:9	incomplete	job 27:6
17:1 18:1 19:1	13:3 29:12	17:8	josh 10:21 11:4
20:1 21:1 22:1	32:13 33:20,21	independent	19:19 22:3
23:1 24:1 25:1	33:22	39:25	27:9,10 37:24

[keep - market] Page 8

k	kunkle 2:4 9:19	23:3,13 26:25	18:3,7 21:20
keep 30:20	11:10,12,14	33:11	21:21 24:12
keeps 7:16	29:24 31:18	life 18:12	looking 23:23
ken 21:16,19	33:20,22 34:2	light 25:16	lot 3:6 4:4,7,10
21:24 38:11,11	34:6,8,12,15,20	limitations	4:15 5:3,21 6:7
kept 17:16	34:25 35:3	16:7,12	6:9 8:12,16
kevin 15:18	36:4 39:13	limited 38:25	23:21,22 25:21
kind 6:18 18:21	40:21 41:8	lindseys 22:10	33:14 37:12
23:7 28:19	kyle 2:7 24:5	line 3:23 37:16	40:25
43:4	30:4 40:3	37:17	loud 30:9
knew 33:9	l	lingo 24:9	love 22:17 23:8
know 3:20 4:10	lack 12:4	litigants 15:5	low 25:21,24
5:17 7:6,8,23	lacks 18:22	litigation 15:2	m
7:25 8:11,16	landscape	15:3 16:16	mackey 2:13,13
8:24 9:4 10:5	32:20	little 4:9 5:15	3:7,10,14 5:11
11:24 12:8,10	landscaping	13:23 14:24	5:21 9:10,16
12:13,18 13:3	6:3 7:2	36:24	36:8,11 37:19
13:19,19 15:17	lastly 28:16	live 25:15	major 7:20
15:20,24 16:6	38:25	living 35:23	make 9:18 18:2
17:20 18:7,10	law's 37:25	llp 2:10,13	21:24 40:7
19:16 20:6,20	lawyers 18:8	local 23:20	43:2,19
21:3,17,18,18	lea 2:9 11:17	located 3:4	makes 7:12
23:19 24:5,6	12:3 15:11	7:15	41:8 42:24
25:12 30:19	leaked 42:12	location 26:11	making 3:15
31:9 32:22	leave 20:23	27:15,25	male 10:19
33:4,6,8,9,13	35:25	locations 29:18	15:14
33:15,18 35:10	lee 23:18 33:14	long 23:5 26:23	man 14:16
35:19	legal 40:25	38:2	manufacture
known 23:20	lehrer 10:4	longer 8:10	5:4
26:22	20:25 22:5	27:23	maps 4:17
knows 21:18	lehrers 21:3,6,7	look 8:20 18:8	marked 19:9
kollmar 2:5	lessen 6:5	25:11 26:23	market 2:10
29:25 31:19	letter 9:24 10:3	28:21	13:24 24:13
36:5 39:12	10:6,24 18:15	looked 7:18	32:24
41:10 43:23	19:12 21:8	11:23 12:3	

		I	1
marketability	memo 14:25	n	never 21:22,25
32:15,23	mentioned	name 15:12	nevertheless
marriage 45:11	28:24	27:24 32:6	14:20
math 20:3,19	met 5:24 7:5	named 12:24	new 1:6 2:11,14
matter 3:2 43:9	10:15	nantucket 7:9	8:16 12:5
45:13	method 26:9	nature 38:17	36:23 37:25
maureen 23:18	mic 10:19	near 22:11	39:23 45:5
mcenroe 23:18	mile 25:23	near 22.11 nearby 22:3	nine 8:5
33:14 38:17	millbrook 1:6	necessarily 8:9	noise 6:6 25:16
mcenroe's 37:8	2:14 5:24	necessary 29:6	37:10,11
mcgoldrick	millions 7:10	35:9	nonconforming
23:19	mind 23:8 39:6	need 18:25	20:9,12,13,17
mean 18:10	misunderstood	20:24,25 21:9	normal 31:11
30:16,19 35:9	14:24	20.24,23 21.9	north 4:20
35:22	moment 15:12	25:14 28:9,11	notary 45:4
meaning 28:3	monetize 33:12	28:18 30:20	noted 11:18
means 18:7	monetized		44:3
24:8 26:5,6,15	33:17	39:3,4 needed 17:6	notice 15:9,24
28:8,8	months 3:15		16:5,23 17:13
measurements	9:13 11:5,6	needing 18:17 needs 18:2	notion 24:5
22:6	12:17 18:24		notoriously
measuring	mortar 6:21	neighbor 6:15 8:3 13:14,16	25:24
20:16	motion 9:18	14:14 16:4,24	november 41:6
mechanicals	40:20 43:19	17:11 22:25	41:16,21 42:9
5:12	move 32:2 40:9		42:21
meeting 11:19	41:5	34:21	number 12:21
11:24 12:4,6,6	moved 26:16	neighbor's 6:16	23:13 24:22
13:11 23:17	26:16,16 27:10	neighborhood	0
41:7 42:6,9	27:11,11,13,16	4:16,22 5:2	
meetings 5:25	moving 8:16	8:17 22:23	object 32:11
member 37:4	murphy 26:22	25:11 28:18	objection 31:17
members 9:21	mystery 24:23	neighbors 8:14	31:18,19
36:25 40:23		10:2 12:19	obsolescence
41:12 43:25		15:8 21:4	24:8,15
11.12 13.23		28:14 38:7	obtained 16:20

-			
obviously 6:2	orientation	patch 6:25	plant 32:20
occurred 28:3	4:19	patio 7:14	please 23:7
october 1:8	ought 15:23	peace 13:4	24:3
3:18 4:12	outcome 45:12	people 8:16,21	plenty 42:18
10:25 16:18	own 9:6 21:6,7	15:5 25:21	point 6:13 9:17
45:15	owner 12:23	33:14	14:10 18:24
offering 37:3	27:7 32:8	percent 8:5	20:16 21:23
oh 10:20 11:14	36:19	19:22,22,24	22:24 24:25
okay 3:14 5:20	owners 10:3	20:2,20,22	26:7 34:6,18
9:9,14 12:15	р	21:3,14 22:20	34:20,21 39:2
29:22 30:18	p.m. 1:9 44:3	24:19 28:14	39:15 40:12
31:16,20 36:9	package 21:21	33:18 39:4,5,7	41:3 42:8
40:5,24 41:11	page 9:24	perception	pointed 17:19
41:17	14:25 17:22	15:4	25:20 28:2
old 22:4	18:14 19:3,9	period 17:3	pool 3:20 4:2,7
one's 21:13,14	23:23 24:4,20	permit 16:3,9	4:7,18,21 5:3
21:14	36:18	16:15,20,23	6:9,16 7:3,4,13
ongoing 16:21	paragraph	17:3 20:18	7:14 9:3 14:7,9
online 11:23	36:16	39:5	14:21 15:21
23:17	paraphrasing	person 35:22	18:16,17,17,25
open 9:18	8:22	peter 10:4	19:23,24 20:7
20:15 30:20	parcel 22:9,13	ph 15:18 22:10	22:13 23:6,9
opportunity	parcelaccess	phone 16:3	24:16 25:11,14
41:3	4:17 22:6	photographs	25:14,15,25
opposed 14:9	parisi 39:20,23	4:23	27:23 28:5,6
15:21 21:5	part 10:7 13:11	physical 28:17	29:16,19 35:8
29:19 42:6	13:20 30:14	pine 32:21	35:9,12,19
opposition 8:4	31:13,15	place 11:16	37:11
10:6 14:13	particular 8:2	21:9	pools 4:22 22:3
35:16	parties 45:10	placed 13:24	22:12,18,18
option 35:11	party 32:4	places 6:22	23:4 27:21
options 5:6	passage 19:16	planet 5:5	possible 7:8,19
oranges 22:19	passed 19:16	planning 33:3	13:18 21:12
order 41:5	past 6:10 25:2	33:23	42:2,15

		I	
poughkeepsie	45:8	17:1 18:1 19:1	quickly 31:6,8
2:11	process 8:24	20:1 21:1 22:1	quiet 5:5 13:4
precedent 25:8	prohibitive	23:1 24:1 25:1	quite 7:21
35:15 36:25	7:17	26:1 27:1 28:1	r
prefer 31:12,15	project 7:20	29:1 30:1 31:1	rachel 2:12
prepared 42:3	16:17	32:1 33:1 34:1	raised 6:17
42:8	pronouncing	35:1 36:1 37:1	ran 25:23
present 2:6	10:5	38:1 39:1,15	rappleyea 2:9
38:15	property 4:3	40:1,10,14,17	2:10 9:25
presented	8:15 12:19,23	41:1,14 42:1	10:12,14,15,20
16:17	12:24 13:24	42:18 43:1,20	11:2,11,13,15
pretty 6:21	14:16,18 22:5	44:1 45:4	12:13,16 13:13
28:4 35:7	23:25 24:18	publication	13:22 15:15
previously 4:13	25:12 27:3,4	12:14	29:13,15,20
prior 13:25	32:9,9,15,23	pull 16:10	30:4,7,15,19
14:2 36:25	33:19 34:23	pump 5:3,5,23	31:5,13,14,25
privation 18:10	35:14,20 36:19	37:10	37:20,24 39:19
probably 6:21	37:16,16	purchased 8:15	39:21,24 40:11
6:22 11:16	proposal 22:20	pursue 26:10	40:19 41:18
15:20 16:6,8	proposed 4:2	pushed 26:17	43:2,9
16:22 18:6	6:4,15 23:10	put 5:15,15 6:2	rather 18:2
21:25 22:23	24:17 41:2	7:9,10 21:9,10	21:19
37:9,11	proposing 7:15	28:4,6 29:16	reach 16:2 29:6
problem 12:2	42:5	31:7 35:12	reaches 38:18
26:3	protect 16:13	41:15	read 24:3 27:20
procedural	provided 26:24	putting 5:12	36:15 37:9
8:25	proximity 14:6	32:24	ready 31:7
proceed 12:8	14:15	q	real 8:18
13:7	public 1:11 3:1	qualifiers	realize 17:18
proceeded	3:3,7 4:1 5:1	37:12	24:23
14:20	6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1	question 30:2	realizes 14:5
proceeding	9:12,18,22	31:6 35:4	really 11:20
39:17	10:1,8 11:1	questions 29:8	13:16 14:9,11
proceedings	12:1,8 13:1	29:9,23,24,25	15:25 17:4
30:12 43:22	14:1 15:1 16:1	39:10	18:12,25 42:5
		37.10	10.12,23 42.3

[rear - seems] Page 12

2.21.10.21	42 14 10 24	26.12	1 05 11
rear 3:21 19:21	43:14,19,24	response 36:12	round 35:11
28:13	44:2	restart 23:15	S
reason 30:21	refer 15:2	23:16,16	safe 29:17,20
35:8,17	reiterate 32:10	retracted 9:2	sale 14:3 33:20
reasonable	related 45:10	review 41:4	33:21
16:22	relief 16:18	revoke 16:9	sales 24:12
reasoning	rely 37:5	17:2	sarah 15:10
13:19,23	remember 5:2	revoked 16:4	satisfy 19:5
receipt 9:23	5:23 23:12	right 3:10,18	28:23
received 10:3	repeat 28:18	26:7 34:24	saw 23:17
receiving 40:17	report 23:23	36:14,22 37:24	saying 7:25
41:14 43:21	reporter 31:8	40:16 41:13	8:20 22:17
recent 3:16	39:25	42:13,16	34:11 35:7,14
recollection	represent	rights 16:13	38:21,24 39:25
37:7	10:16	ringing 30:7	says 15:19,23
record 3:8 10:8	represented	road 1:11 3:1,4	27:19 28:4
14:25 18:21	15:10	4:1,19 5:1 6:1	36:18 37:8,10
25:2,4 30:14	representing	7:1 8:1 9:1	38:19
31:13,15 38:21	9:25	10:1,4 11:1	schedule 20:18
38:23 43:8,17	request 30:13	12:1 13:1 14:1	31:11
45:8	requested 7:23	15:1 16:1 17:1	scheduled 3:3
redl 2:4 3:2,13	16:19 37:15	18:1 19:1 20:1	seasons 7:5,18
5:10,20 9:9,14	requesting 4:4	21:1 22:1 23:1	second 3:24
9:17,20,22	35:18	24:1 25:1,22	9:19 19:18
10:13,24 12:12	requests 3:21	25:24 26:1	30:5 36:16
12:15 13:10,18	require 5:13,16	27:1 28:1 29:1	40:21 41:9
29:11,14,17,22	26:12 28:5	30:1 31:1 32:1	43:23
30:17 31:2,12	required 3:24	32:8 33:1 34:1	secretary 2:7
31:16,20 32:17	3:25	35:1 36:1 37:1	secured 21:16
36:2,6,10	requires 18:13	38:1 39:1 40:1	secured 21.10 see 21:7 22:17
37:19,22 39:9	reservoir 1:5	41:1 42:1 43:1	28:2 30:10
39:14 40:5,16	resolve 42:23	44:1	33:17
40:20,22,24	respect 33:5	rock 26:16	
41:11,13 42:13	respectfully	rotten 38:14	seeking 3:4
42:16,19,24	20:2		seems 7:21 8:3
, ,			

seen 17:24	showed 4:22	36:6	started 8:12
21:25	showed 4.22 showing 4:17	speaker 10:19	11:5
self 8:6,11 9:4,7	18:2,4 19:2	15:14	starts 17:25
14:11,12 19:13	shown 27:25	speaking 40:24	state 45:5
19:17 22:23	side 3:25 4:3	specifically	statement
sell 32:13 34:3	19:22,23 21:2	24:4 27:19	18:23 36:18,21
34:15	28:12	spend 7:10	statute 16:7,12
selling 32:25	sides 21:6 41:2	spent 23:20,22	statutory 17:3
33:22,23 34:21	siding 27:4	spoke 39:11	steepest 24:14
34:22	signature 45:19	spot 31:7	stenographer
send 40:3,4	sir 32:5	square 4:8 20:5	30:11
sends 14:4	sister 25:22	20:6,8,21,22	stilts 7:10
15:22	site 6:14 7:15	standard 26:4	stone 6:20
sense 7:13 41:8	20:4 21:11	standards	stop 15:22
42:24 43:2	25:17 26:23	18:19 19:6,12	17:16
sent 18:15	28:5 29:11	26:7 27:16	street 2:10
sentence 28:22	sitting 5:9	stanford 1:11	stress 26:18
september	slider 7:16	3:1,4 4:1,18	strong 12:25
11:22	small 6:24	5:1 6:1 7:1 8:1	strongly 14:17
serious 33:13	sold 13:25	9:1 10:1,4 11:1	stuff 18:8 32:20
seriously 34:19	somebody	12:1 13:1 14:1	subject 19:9
session 41:4	18:11 20:14	15:1 16:1 17:1	40:17 41:14
42:7	25:17 38:13	18:1 19:1 20:1	submission
set 45:15	39:23	21:1 22:1 23:1	23:12,22 30:23
setback 3:24,25	soon 10:22	24:1 25:1,22	40:8
setting 25:9	sorry 10:20	25:24 26:1	submissions
several 4:21	36:17 37:24	27:1 28:1 29:1	8:13 30:24,25
27:5	41:20	30:1 31:1 32:1	submit 22:25
severe 18:9,11	sort 11:4 19:11	32:8 33:1 34:1	25:6 29:2
shed 5:15	24:25	35:1 36:1 37:1	30:13
shoot 30:9	sought 26:5,8	38:1 39:1 40:1	submitted 3:17
42:10,21	sound 5:22	41:1 42:1 43:1	4:17 10:17
shot 37:23	south 6:18	44:1	23:9
show 4:14 18:2	speak 10:10	start 11:17	substantial
26:20	21:25 31:21	18:24 32:5	7:22,24,25 8:5

10.10	tollrad 4.15	11.5 0 15	togg 20.12
19:19	talked 4:15	11:5,8,15	toss 38:13
suffering 18:9	5:24 6:7 7:22	13:13 14:24	total 19:21
sufficient 42:7	22:3	15:3 18:6,23	20:24
suggest 18:21	talking 7:19	19:11 21:11,23	totally 23:4
suggests 25:3	10:25 35:5	23:6 28:18	towards 4:24
suing 15:5	37:6 38:10	29:15,20 30:17	town 1:2 2:7
summary 16:25	talks 17:22	31:10,14 32:17	16:2,8,14 17:2
38:4	19:3 20:5 37:9	34:18 35:22,24	17:18,20 22:15
summertime	tate 2:4 11:8	38:10,15,19,20	28:22 38:3
35:10	tax 24:6	39:17 41:8,22	town's 19:8
support 7:12	technological	42:5,10 43:14	26:7 38:3
supported	12:2	third 4:4 36:17	transcript
28:14	tell 8:20 15:17	41:20,21	30:13 31:6
sure 3:10 6:12	20:13 31:9	thought 5:14	39:16 40:6,18
7:11,18 9:11	tells 36:20	three 3:5,19	41:15,25 43:21
11:8 13:22	ten 9:24 21:15	19:20 21:5	45:7
23:15 30:16	31:11	36:17	trees 32:21
31:4 33:14	tenure 37:4	time 3:18 6:13	trigger 15:4
37:5 42:22	terms 25:10	8:17 9:5 10:22	troubled 36:24
surprised	testimony 37:3	10:22 15:9	true 20:10
33:17	thank 9:14,14	16:11,19 19:16	26:13 34:4,5
susie 25:22	9:16 10:14	19:16 23:5,21	45:7
suspended 16:4	35:4 36:2,4,5	23:23 26:23	trying 21:24
swimming 4:18	37:19 39:9	27:7 30:6 38:2	34:8
6:9	40:19	42:8,8,18 44:3	tuesday 41:21
sworn 26:25	thanks 3:14	times 27:5,22	two 11:6,13,14
t	14:8 39:8	toad 22:4	21:6,13 22:4
take 7:12 18:10	theoretically	together 16:11	22:14 23:3
20:14 24:22	42:2	24:6	25:3 31:2
30:6	thing 28:23	told 8:23 15:12	36:17 39:18
taken 3:16	37:7 38:23	27:2 34:22	40:3,8
34:18	things 23:25	tonight 9:12	u
talk 5:18 24:4	think 4:8,12	11:7	
	5:25 6:11,15	tonight's 39:17	u 6:24
34:12,13	8:2,10 9:5 11:3	43:21	unclean 37:2
			38:9,12

under 3:19		26.6.27.00	
	variance 3:6,21	36:6 37:22	willy 26:22
22:14	3:22,22,24 4:4	40:7 41:25	27:2,17,19
underneath	4:14 5:13 8:21	42:4 43:10	wilson 15:10
6:20	9:5 13:6 15:8	wanted 33:3	17:2
understand 5:7	15:25 17:6,15	35:24	wintertime 7:5
12:6,7 16:24	19:6,10,21,22	wants 5:18	wise 17:9
34:16,20	19:23,25 21:23	washington 1:2	wishes 10:10
understandable	26:11,12 28:11	22:15	31:21
12:12	28:25 35:19	water 25:24	withdraw
understood	variances 3:5,5	water's 26:2	35:15,18
14:13,14,15	3:19 7:24 8:22	way 5:9 6:8	withdrew
17:10,11,12,14	12:21 17:7,24	10:9 23:2,10	13:11,20 14:19
17:15 33:2,7	19:18,20 20:23	28:10 43:6	witness 45:14
undesirable	21:10,12 22:7	45:12	words 5:5 14:6
4:24,25 22:22	22:9,10 25:7	ways 28:19	14:20 31:24
undoubtedly	28:9 37:14	we've 4:15	work 16:20
19:14	39:3	21:22 42:16,18	27:21,23 28:6
unnecessary	various 3:16	website 17:18	33:16 40:2
18:3,5	versus 20:17	17:20 19:9	43:6
upheld 33:5	37:16	28:22 36:13,14	worked 27:2
use 10:19	vibe 13:14	38:3	works 31:9
used 21:17,22	view 24:23	weeks 31:2	39:19
35:10 38:12	visited 6:14	39:18 40:3,8	written 30:24
uses 14:5	visual 6:5	41:25	30:24
usually 31:10	vote 9:13	welcome 9:16	wrote 17:20,21
38:12	W	went 6:13	X
v	waiting 40:5	whalen 2:13	x 1:4
vacate 16:15	walked 10:23	25:22	\mathbf{y}
value 13:3,15	wall 7:12	whatsoever	yadgard 1:11
14:18 23:14	want 3:8,11,11	19:2 29:5	2:12 3:1,4 4:1
24:17,24 25:7	7:9 9:23 11:4	whereof 45:14	4:20 5:1,8 6:1
32:15 33:10,19	12:9,10 13:16	wife 30:8	7:1 8:1,14 9:1
33:25 34:14	20:15,17,19	willing 35:15	10:1,6 11:1
35:6,13,14,21	28:21 30:9	39:16	12:1,23 13:1
38:20,23	32:22 35:11		12.1,23 13.1