# Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 2 - Identification of Potential Project Impacts

	Agency Ose Omy [II applicable]
Project:	Adoption of HOS Zoning Amendment
Date:	May 2024

Part 2 is to be completed by the lead agency. Part 2 is designed to help the lead agency inventory all potential resources that could be affected by a proposed project or action. We recognize that the lead agency's reviewer(s) will not necessarily be environmental professionals. So, the questions are designed to walk a reviewer through the assessment process by providing a series of questions that can be answered using the information found in Part 1. To further assist the lead agency in completing Part 2, the form identifies the most relevant questions in Part 1 that will provide the information needed to answer the Part 2 question. When Part 2 is completed, the lead agency will have identified the relevant environmental areas that may be impacted by the proposed activity.

If the lead agency is a state agency and the action is in any Coastal Area, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment.

## Tips for completing Part 2:

- Review all of the information provided in Part 1.
- Review any application, maps, supporting materials and the Full EAF Workbook.
- Answer each of the 18 questions in Part 2.
- If you answer "Yes" to a numbered question, please complete all the questions that follow in that section.
- If you answer "No" to a numbered question, move on to the next numbered question.
- Check appropriate column to indicate the anticipated size of the impact.
- Proposed projects that would exceed a numeric threshold contained in a question should result in the reviewing agency checking the box "Moderate to large impact may occur."
- The reviewer is not expected to be an expert in environmental analysis.
- If you are not sure or undecided about the size of an impact, it may help to review the sub-questions for the general question and consult the workbook.
- When answering a question consider all components of the proposed activity, that is, the "whole action".
- Consider the possibility for long-term and cumulative impacts as well as direct impacts.
- Answer the question in a reasonable manner considering the scale and context of the project

1. Impact on Land Proposed action may involve construction on, or physical alteration of, the land surface of the proposed site. (See Part 1. D.1)	□NC		YES
If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 2.  Small impacts may occur and the land surface could be altered. The intensity, density, parking capacity, water and wastewater would all be reviewed during the site plan/special use permit review. A site specific SEQR would be done at time of a proposed development. All NRI maps, and on-site conditions would be mapped and evaluated and all local, county and state rules met.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may involve construction on land where depth to water table is less than 3 feet.	E2d		
b. The proposed action may involve construction on slopes of 15% or greater.	E2f		
c. The proposed action may involve construction on land where bedrock is exposed, or generally within 5 feet of existing ground surface.	E2a		
d. The proposed action may involve the excavation and removal of more than 1,000 tons of natural material.	D2a		
e. The proposed action may involve construction that continues for more than one year or in multiple phases.	D1e	Ø	
f. The proposed action may result in increased erosion, whether from physical disturbance or vegetation removal (including from treatment by herbicides).	D2e, D2q		
g. The proposed action is, or may be, located within a Coastal Erosion hazard area.	Bli		П
h. Other impacts: Use of SWPPP and other development requirements would minimize impacts to land surfaces.			

2. Impact on Geological Features		****	
The proposed action may result in the modification or destruction of, or inhi access to, any unique or unusual land forms on the site (e.g., cliffs, dunes, minerals, fossils, caves). (See Part 1. E.2.g)	bit <b>Z</b> NO	) <u> </u>	YES
If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", move on to Section 3.			
There are no unique geologic features on any area proposed to be in the HOS Overlay District.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Identify the specific land form(s) attached:	E2g		
b. The proposed action may affect or is adjacent to a geological feature listed as a registered National Natural Landmark.  Specific feature:	E3c		0
c. Other impacts:			
3. Impacts on Surface Water	70001		
The proposed action may affect one or more wetlands or other surface water bodies (e.g., streams, rivers, ponds or lakes). (See Part 1. D.2, E.2.h)  If "Yes", answer questions a - l. If "No", move on to Section 4.	□no		YES
Small impacts may occur due to land uses near wetlands/Wappingers Creek. The updated zoning creating the HOS does not alter any of the required processes (Site Plan, Special Use, SEQR) or required existing environmental protections (DEC Wetland regulations, Federal Wetland Regulations, NYS DEC Stream Protections, etc.) to surface water protections.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may create a new water body.	D2b, D1h		
b. The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over 10% or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water.	D2b		
<ul> <li>The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body.</li> </ul>	D2a	Ø	
d. The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other water body.	E2h		
e. The proposed action may create turbidity in a waterbody, either from upland erosion, runoff or by disturbing bottom sediments.	D2a, D2h		
f. The proposed action may include construction of one or more intake(s) for withdrawal of water from surface water.	D2c	Ø	
g. The proposed action may include construction of one or more outfall(s) for discharge of wastewater to surface water(s).	D2d	Ø	
h. The proposed action may cause soil erosion, or otherwise create a source of stormwater discharge that may lead to siltation or other degradation of receiving water bodies.	D2e	Ø	
<ol> <li>The proposed action may affect the water quality of any water bodies within or downstream of the site of the proposed action.</li> </ol>	E2h	. 🗹	
<ol> <li>The proposed action may involve the application of pesticides or herbicides in or around any water body.</li> </ol>	D2q, E2h		
k. The proposed action may require the construction of new, or expansion of existing, wastewater treatment facilities.	D1a, D2d	Ø	

Other impacts: One location is Wappingers Creek area wetlands. Construction may cause erosion and runoff. Construction will meet NYS DEC/local rules to mitigate/avoid		Ø	
4. Impact on groundwater  The proposed action may result in new or additional use of ground water, or may have the potential to introduce contaminants to ground water or an aquif (See Part 1. D.2.a, D.2.c, D.2.d, D.2.p, D.2.q, D.2.t)  If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 5.	□NC er.	) [	YES
There is potential for small impacts to groundwater. No locations in HOS serve the Village water supply however. Site specific evaluation of water capacity would be conducted to determine if site conditions allowed for any proposed use including groundwater capacity on site, and on adjacent lots that also require groundwater. All aquifer protection requirements would still need to be met.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
<ul> <li>a. The proposed action may require new water supply wells, or create additional demand on supplies from existing water supply wells.</li> </ul>	D2c		
b. Water supply demand from the proposed action may exceed safe and sustainable withdrawal capacity rate of the local supply or aquifer.  Cite Source:	D2c	Ø	
c. The proposed action may allow or result in residential uses in areas without water and sewer services.	D1a, D2c	Ø	
d. The proposed action may include or require wastewater discharged to groundwater.	D2d, E2l		
e. The proposed action may result in the construction of water supply wells in locations where groundwater is, or is suspected to be, contaminated.	D2c, E1f, E1g, E1h	Ø	
f. The proposed action may require the bulk storage of petroleum or chemical products over ground water or an aquifer.	D2p, E2l	Ø	
g. The proposed action may involve the commercial application of pesticides within 100 feet of potable drinking water or irrigation sources.	E2h, D2q, E2l, D2c		
h. Other impacts: New water wells may be required. Locations are within aquifer areas. HOS uses are in areas without water/sewer. All requirements to protect aquifers to be met			
5. Impact on Flooding The proposed action may result in development on lands subject to flooding. (See Part 1. E.2) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", move on to Section 6. There are likely no to small impacts on flooded areas. All uses in HOS would need to stay out of the	□NO		YES
floodplain, follow local Flood Damage Prevention Rules, and be fully evaluated at the site specific level at time of a proposed development.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in development in a designated floodway.	E2i	Ø	
b. The proposed action may result in development within a 100 year floodplain.	E2j	Ø	
c. The proposed action may result in development within a 500 year floodplain.	E2k	Ø	
d. The proposed action may result in, or require, modification of existing drainage patterns.	D2b, D2e	Ø	
e. The proposed action may change flood water flows that contribute to flooding.	D2b, E2i, E2j, E2k	Ø	
f. If there is a dam located on the site of the proposed action, is the dam in need of repair, or ungrade?	Ele		

THE THE TANK			
g. Other impacts:			
6. Impacts on Air	Address.		
The proposed action may include a state regulated air emission source. (See Part 1. D.2.f., D.2.h, D.2.g)  If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", move on to Section 7.	<b>₽</b> NO		YES
No hospitality use in the HOS district would adversely impact state regulated air emissions sources.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
<ul> <li>a. If the proposed action requires federal or state air emission permits, the action may also emit one or more greenhouse gases at or above the following levels: <ol> <li>i. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>)</li> <li>ii. More than 3.5 tons/year of nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O)</li> <li>iii. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon equivalent of perfluorocarbons (PFCs)</li> <li>iv. More than .045 tons/year of sulfur hexafluoride (SF<sub>6</sub>)</li> <li>v. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide equivalent of hydrochloroflourocarbons (HFCs) emissions</li> <li>vi. 43 tons/year or more of methane</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g	0 0 0	0 0 0
b. The proposed action may generate 10 tons/year or more of any one designated hazardous air pollutant, or 25 tons/year or more of any combination of such hazardous air pollutants.	D2g		
c. The proposed action may require a state air registration, or may produce an emissions rate of total contaminants that may exceed 5 lbs. per hour, or may include a heat source capable of producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour.	D2f, D2g	С	0
d. The proposed action may reach 50% of any of the thresholds in "a" through "c", above.	D2g		
e. The proposed action may result in the combustion or thermal treatment of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour.	D2s	0	
f. Other impacts:			
7. Impact on Plants and Animals  The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna. (See Part 1. E.2. r  If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 8.	nq.)	□NO	<b>∠</b> YES
Small impacts to plants and wildlife could occur: Small because the HOS sites are already largely disturbed sites. The Cottonwood area is the one most sensitive due to Wappingers Creek and its Riparian Area. SEQR/Site specific review at time of proposal would need to be done, and building envelope along with scale, intensity, etc. would need to be developed to minimize or avoid impacts.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may cause reduction in population or loss of individuals of any threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2o	Ø	
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any rare, threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the federal government.	E2o		
c. The proposed action may cause reduction in population, or loss of individuals, of any species of special concern or conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2p	Ø	П
d. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any species of special concern and conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government.	E2p	Ø	

e. The proposed action may diminish the capacity of a registered National Natural Landmark to support the biological community it was established to protect.	E3c		
f. The proposed action may result in the removal of, or ground disturbance in, any portion of a designated significant natural community.  Source: NYS DEC/Washington NRI: Important area for rare terrestrial animals	E2n	Ø	
g. The proposed action may substantially interfere with nesting/breeding, foraging, or over-wintering habitat for the predominant species that occupy or use the project site.	E2m	Ø	
h. The proposed action requires the conversion of more than 10 acres of forest, grassland or any other regionally or locally important habitat.  Habitat type & information source:  NYS DEC/Washington NRI	E1b	Ø	
i. Proposed action (commercial, industrial or recreational projects, only) involves use of herbicides or pesticides.	D2q	Ø	
j. Other impacts: HOS area near Wappingers Falls important habitats, but none mapped on those parcels. Other HOS areas in/near riparian areas.		Ø	
		I	
8. Impact on Agricultural Resources  The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. a  If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9.	7,000.00	□NO	<b>∠</b> YES
Small impacts agriculture could occur but the purpose of HOS is to remove such uses from critical	Relevant	No, or	Moderate
farming areas in the Town. Ag soils do exist in the HOS area and they could be adversely impacted by proposed, new HOS development. Proposed HOS areas are not farmed now, and are already disturbed/built locations. It would not impact ability of other farmed areas to continue.	Part I Question(s)	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
by proposed, new HOS development. Proposed HOS areas are not farmed now, and are already		impact	impact may
by proposed, new HOS development. Proposed HOS areas are not farmed now, and are already disturbed/built locations. It would not impact ability of other farmed areas to continue.  a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the	Question(s)	impact may occur	impact may occur
by proposed, new HOS development. Proposed HOS areas are not farmed now, and are already disturbed/built locations. It would not impact ability of other farmed areas to continue.  a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.  b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land	Question(s) E2c, E3b	impact may occur	impact may occur
by proposed, new HOS development. Proposed HOS areas are not farmed now, and are already disturbed/built locations. It would not impact ability of other farmed areas to continue.  a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.  b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc).  c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of	Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb	impact may occur	impact may occur
<ul> <li>by proposed, new HOS development. Proposed HOS areas are not farmed now, and are already disturbed/built locations. It would not impact ability of other farmed areas to continue.</li> <li>a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.</li> <li>b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc).</li> <li>c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land.</li> <li>d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10</li> </ul>	Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb E3b	impact may occur	impact may occur
<ul> <li>by proposed, new HOS development. Proposed HOS areas are not farmed now, and are already disturbed/built locations. It would not impact ability of other farmed areas to continue.</li> <li>a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.</li> <li>b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc).</li> <li>c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land.</li> <li>d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District.</li> <li>e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land</li> </ul>	Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb E3b E1b, E3a	impact may occur	impact may occur
<ul> <li>by proposed, new HOS development. Proposed HOS areas are not farmed now, and are already disturbed/built locations. It would not impact ability of other farmed areas to continue.</li> <li>a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.</li> <li>b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc).</li> <li>c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land.</li> <li>d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District.</li> <li>e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system.</li> <li>f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development</li> </ul>	Question(s)  E2c, E3b  E1a, Elb  E3b  E1b, E3a  E1 a, E1b  C2c, C3,	impact may occur	impact may occur
by proposed, new HOS development. Proposed HOS areas are not farmed now, and are already disturbed/built locations. It would not impact ability of other farmed areas to continue.  a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.  b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc).  c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land.  d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District.  e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system.  f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development potential or pressure on farmland.  g. The proposed project is not consistent with the adopted municipal Farmland	Question(s)  E2c, E3b  E1a, Elb  E3b  E1b, E3a  E1 a, E1b  C2c, C3, D2c, D2d	impact may occur	impact may occur

9. Impact on Aesthetic Resources			
9. Impact on Aesthetic Resources  The land use of the proposed action are obviously different from, or are in sharp contrast to, current land use patterns between the proposed project and a scenic or aesthetic resource. (Part I. E.1.a, E.1.b, E.3.h.)	I N	0 [	YES
If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", go to Section 10.			
There are no officially designated federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resources in the proposed HOS district.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
<ul> <li>a. Proposed action may be visible from any officially designated federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource.</li> </ul>	E3h		
<ul> <li>The proposed action may result in the obstruction, elimination or significant screening of one or more officially designated scenic views.</li> </ul>	E3h, C2b	2	
c. The proposed action may be visible from publicly accessible vantage points: i. Seasonally (e.g., screened by summer foliage, but visible during other seasons) ii. Year round	E3h	<b>2</b>	
d. The situation or activity in which viewers are engaged while viewing the proposed	E3h		
action is:  i. Routine travel by residents, including travel to and from work	E2q,		
ii. Recreational or tourism based activities	Elc	2 2	
e. The proposed action may cause a diminishment of the public enjoyment and appreciation of the designated aesthetic resource.	E3h	Ø	
f. There are similar projects visible within the following distance of the proposed project:  0-1/2 mile  ½-3 mile  3-5 mile  5+ mile	D1a, E1a, D1f, D1g	Ø	
g. Other impacts: Fowler Road is a town-designated scenic road.			
10. Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources  The proposed action may occur in or adjacent to a historic or archaeological resource. (Part 1. E.3.e, f. and g.)  If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 11.	<b>✓</b> N0	D _	YES
There are no listed State or National Historic Resources in the proposed HOS. NYS SHPO can offer advisory opinions on any adaptive reuse plan. However, during site plan/special use review of a specific proposal, the Planning Board can require archaeological studies.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, any buildings, archaeological site or district which is listed on the National or State Register of Historical Places, or that has been determined by the Commissioner of the NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for listing on the State Register of Historic Places.	E3e		
b. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory.	E3f		<u> </u>
c. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an archaeological site not included on the NY SHPO inventory.	E3g	О	

***************************************			
d. Other impacts:			
If any of the above (a-d) are answered "Moderate to large impact may  e. occur", continue with the following questions to help support conclusions in Part 3:			**************************************
<ol> <li>The proposed action may result in the destruction or alteration of all or part of the site or property.</li> </ol>	E3e, E3g, E3f	0	
<ol> <li>The proposed action may result in the alteration of the property's setting or integrity.</li> </ol>	E3e, E3f, E3g, E1a, E1b	0	
iii. The proposed action may result in the introduction of visual elements which are out of character with the site or property, or may alter its setting.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E3h, C2, C3		
- Annual Marketin Company of the Com	***************************************		
11. Impact on Open Space and Recreation The proposed action may result in a loss of recreational opportunities or a reduction of an open space resource as designated in any adopted municipal open space plan. (See Part 1. C.2.c, E.1.c., E.2.q.) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 12.	<b>∠</b> N0	o [	YES
Small adverse impacts may occur related to open space. These are currently not open spaces used for recreation however. The HOS is a smart growth strategy to prevent building on green sites and to reuse already disturbed areas.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in an impairment of natural functions, or "ecosystem services", provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, wildlife habitat.	D2e, E1b E2h, E2m, E2o, E2n, E2p		
b. The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource.	C2a, E1c, C2c, E2q		<u></u>
c. The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in an area with few such resources.	C2a, C2c E1c, E2q	0	
d. The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource.	C2c, E1c		
e. Other impacts:			
12. Impact on Critical Environmental Areas  The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical environmental area (CEA). (See Part 1. E.3.d)  If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", go to Section 13.	<b>✓</b> No	o 🔲	YES
There are no designated critical environmental areas in any location proposed to be within the HOS Overlay District.	Relevant Part I	No, or small	Moderate to large
	Question(s)	impact may occur	impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d		
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quality of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d		
c. Other impacts:			

13. Impact on Transportation  The proposed action may result in a change to existing transportation systems (See Part 1. D.2.j)	s. 🔲 N	0	YES
If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 14.			
Small impacts due to traffic from HOS uses could occur. Parking lots and site capacity would have to be evaluated during site plan and special use review of a proposal. HOS areas are along major highways having capacity for additional vehicular use without impacting Level of Service. A Traffic Impact Analysis could be required by Planning Board if > 100 cars per day were expected.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Projected traffic increase may exceed capacity of existing road network.	D2j	Ž	
b. The proposed action may result in the construction of paved parking area for 500 or more vehicles.	D2j		
c. The proposed action will degrade existing transit access.	D2j	2	
d. The proposed action will degrade existing pedestrian or bicycle accommodations.	D2j		
e. The proposed action may alter the present pattern of movement of people or goods.	D2j		
f. Other impacts: HOS areas are on state highways/principal arterials. Increase in traffic volume will be small. Some small increase on Fowler Road or College Lane may occur.	, i		
		4	
14. Impact on Energy The proposed action may cause an increase in the use of any form of energy. (See Part 1. D.2.k)  If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 15.	<b>∠</b> N	0	YES
Some increase in energy use would be required to service hospitality uses in the HOS district. No new use would be allowed unless adequate energy sources supported the proposed intensity of use. Since these were pre-existing uses that previously used electricity, no adverse impacts on energy are expected.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation.	D2k		
b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use.	D1f, D1q, D2k		
c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity.	D2k		
d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed.	Dlg		
e. Other Impacts:			
	****		
15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light  The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor light (See Part 1. D.2.m., n., and o.)  If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 16.	ing. NO		YES
Small impacts related to noise and light may occur. Future construction may result in temporary noise. Lighting could decrease dark skies, but HOS requires use of dark sky compliant lighting, and site plan/special use reviews would evaluate light levels and glare, and work to plan site lighting to mitigate or avoid lighting impacts. Extra lighting would occur since current HOS areas are vacant.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may produce sound above noise levels established by local regulation.	D2m	Ø	
b. The proposed action may result in blasting within 1,500 feet of any residence, hospital, school, licensed day care center, or nursing home.	D2m, E1d		
c. The proposed action may result in routine odors for more than one hour per day.			

e. The proposed action may result in lighting creating sky-glow brighter than existing area conditions.	D2n, E1a		
f. Other impacts: Outdoor lighting may occur in HOS. Dark sky compliance will be required. Site Plan/Special Use reviews will evaluate and mitigate lighting impacts.		Ø	
16. Impact on Human Health			
The proposed action may have an impact on human health from exposure to new or existing sources of contaminants. (See Part 1.D.2.q., E.1. d. f. g. an If "Yes", answer questions a - m. If "No", go to Section 17.	nd h.)	0 🔲	YES
No HOS use would expose people to or use contaminants. During project review, the Planning Board can condition approval on no use of pesticides or herbicides - especially important along the Wappingers Creek. SWPPP would need to be designed to address runoff from site, and parking lot(s)	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No,or small impact may eccur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action is located within 1500 feet of a school, hospital, licensed day care center, group home, nursing home or retirement community.	Eld		
b. The site of the proposed action is currently undergoing remediation.	Elg, Elh		
c. There is a completed emergency spill remediation, or a completed environmental site remediation on, or adjacent to, the site of the proposed action.	Elg, Elh		
d. The site of the action is subject to an institutional control limiting the use of the property (e.g., easement or deed restriction).	Elg, Elh		
e. The proposed action may affect institutional control measures that were put in place to ensure that the site remains protective of the environment and human health.	Elg, Elh		
f. The proposed action has adequate control measures in place to ensure that future generation, treatment and/or disposal of hazardous wastes will be protective of the environment and human health.	D2t	G	
g. The proposed action involves construction or modification of a solid waste management facility.	D2q, E1f		
h. The proposed action may result in the unearthing of solid or hazardous waste.	D2q, E1f		
i. The proposed action may result in an increase in the rate of disposal, or processing, of solid waste.	D2r, D2s		
j. The proposed action may result in excavation or other disturbance within 2000 feet of a site used for the disposal of solid or hazardous waste.	Elf, Elg Elh		
k. The proposed action may result in the migration of explosive gases from a landfill site to adjacent off site structures.	Elf, Elg		0
l. The proposed action may result in the release of contaminated leachate from the project site.	D2s, E1f, D2r		а
m. Other impacts:			

D2n

d. The proposed action may result in light shining onto adjoining properties.

17. Consistency with Community Plans  The proposed action is not consistent with adopted land use plans.  (See Part 1. C.1, C.2. and C.3.)	<b>✓</b> NO	Y	ES
If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", go to Section 18.  No adverse impacts are expected and adoption of the HOS is consistent with the adopted Town Comprehensive Plan which specifically called for creation of a HOS district in these locations to promote small inn use. Additionally, adoption of HOS overlay district will prevent hospitality uses from being developed in more rural, undeveloped, and low density residential/agricultural areas.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action's land use components may be different from, or in sharp contrast to, current surrounding land use pattern(s).	C2, C3, D1a E1a, E1b		
b. The proposed action will cause the permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located to grow by more than 5%.	C2	0	
c. The proposed action is inconsistent with local land use plans or zoning regulations.	C2, C2, C3		
d. The proposed action is inconsistent with any County plans, or other regional land use plans.	C2, C2		
e. The proposed action may cause a change in the density of development that is not supported by existing infrastructure or is distant from existing infrastructure.	C3, D1c, D1d, D1f, D1d, Elb		
f. The proposed action is located in an area characterized by low density development that will require new or expanded public infrastructure.	C4, D2c, D2d D2j		
g. The proposed action may induce secondary development impacts (e.g., residential or commercial development not included in the proposed action)	C2a		0
h. Other:			
19 Consistency with Community Changeton			
18. Consistency with Community Character  The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character.  (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)  If "Yes" answer questions a - g. If "No" proceed to Part 3	□no	Y	ÆS
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)  If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.  Small impacts to community character could occur. Reuse of these locations would change vacant uses to more intense uses. Changes in aesthetics, traffic, and intensity of use could change local character in vicinity of HOS. The Plan, and proposed HOS are designed to prioritize community	Relevant Part I	No, or small impact	Moderate to large impact may
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character.  (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)  If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.  Small impacts to community character could occur. Reuse of these locations would change vacant uses to more intense uses. Changes in aesthetics, traffic, and intensity of use could change local character in vicinity of HOS. The Plan, and proposed HOS are designed to prioritize community character and ensure new uses in HOS are consistent with community design expectations.  a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)  If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.  Small impacts to community character could occur. Reuse of these locations would change vacant uses to more intense uses. Changes in aesthetics, traffic, and intensity of use could change local character in vicinity of HOS. The Plan, and proposed HOS are designed to prioritize community character and ensure new uses in HOS are consistent with community design expectations.  a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.  b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g.	Relevant Part I Question(s) E3e, E3f, E3g	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)  If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.  Small impacts to community character could occur. Reuse of these locations would change vacant uses to more intense uses. Changes in aesthetics, traffic, and intensity of use could change local character in vicinity of HOS. The Plan, and proposed HOS are designed to prioritize community character and ensure new uses in HOS are consistent with community design expectations.  a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.  b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire)  c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where	Relevant Part I Question(s)  E3e, E3f, E3g  C4  C2, C3, D1f	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)  If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.  Small impacts to community character could occur. Reuse of these locations would change vacant uses to more intense uses. Changes in aesthetics, traffic, and intensity of use could change local character in vicinity of HOS. The Plan, and proposed HOS are designed to prioritize community character and ensure new uses in HOS are consistent with community design expectations.  a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.  b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire)  c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing.  d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized	Relevant Part I Question(s)  E3e, E3f, E3g  C4  C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)  If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.  Small impacts to community character could occur. Reuse of these locations would change vacant uses to more intense uses. Changes in aesthetics, traffic, and intensity of use could change local character in vicinity of HOS. The Plan, and proposed HOS are designed to prioritize community character and ensure new uses in HOS are consistent with community design expectations.  a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.  b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire)  c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing.  d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized or designated public resources.  e. The proposed action is inconsistent with the predominant architectural scale and	Relevant Part I Question(s)  E3e, E3f, E3g  C4  C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a  C2, E3	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur

igency ose only mappineancy

roject : 🗚

Adoption of Hospitality Overlay Local Law

Date: June 3.

# Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 3 - Evaluation of the Magnitude and Importance of Project Impacts and Determination of Significance

Part 3 provides the reasons in support of the determination of significance. The lead agency must complete Part 3 for every question in Part 2 where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.

Based on the analysis in Part 3, the lead agency must decide whether to require an environmental impact statement to further assess the proposed action or whether available information is sufficient for the lead agency to conclude that the proposed action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact. By completing the certification on the next page, the lead agency can complete its determination of significance.

## Reasons Supporting This Determination:

To complete this section:

- Identify the impact based on the Part 2 responses and describe its magnitude. Magnitude considers factors such as severity, size or extent of an impact.
- Assess the importance of the impact. Importance relates to the geographic scope, duration, probability of the impact
  occurring, number of people affected by the impact and any additional environmental consequences if the impact were to
  occur.
- The assessment should take into consideration any design element or project changes.
- Repeat this process for each Part 2 question where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.
- Provide the reason(s) why the impact may, or will not, result in a significant adverse environmental impact
- For Conditional Negative Declarations identify the specific condition(s) imposed that will modify the proposed action so that no significant adverse environmental impacts will result.
- · Attach additional sheets, as needed.

- Attach addition	ar succis, as needed.			
See additional sheets for rea	sons supporting this determinat	ion.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Determination of S	Significance - '	Type 1 and Unl	listed Actions
SEQR Status:	✓ Type 1	Unlisted		***************************************
Identify portions of EAF	completed for this Project:	✓ Part 1	✓ Part 2	Part 3

Upon review of the information recorded on this EAF, as noted, plus this additional support information Local Law No. 3 of 2024. Town of Washington Comprehensive Plan (2023), Town of Washington Natural Resource Inver Zoning Code, public comments	itory (maps), To	own of Washington
and considering both the magnitude and importance of each identified potential impact, it is the conclusion of the		
A. This project will result in no significant adverse impacts on the environment, and, therefore, an estatement need not be prepared. Accordingly, this negative declaration is issued.	nvironmental	l impact
B. Although this project could have a significant adverse impact on the environment, that impact we substantially mitigated because of the following conditions which will be required by the lead agency:	ill be avoided	or
		PARRAMANA
There will, therefore, be no significant adverse impacts from the project as conditioned, and, therefore, thi declaration is issued. A conditioned negative declaration may be used only for UNLISTED actions (see 6		
C. This Project may result in one or more significant adverse impacts on the environment, and an enstatement must be prepared to further assess the impact(s) and possible mitigation and to explore alternation impacts. Accordingly, this positive declaration is issued.		
Name of Action: Adoption of Local Law #3 of 2024		~~*
Name of Lead Agency: Town of Washington Town Board		
Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: Gary Ciferri		
Title of Responsible Officer: Town Supervisor	***************************************	
Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency:	Date:	
Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer)	Date:	5/20/24
For Further Information:	ATT. A. S.	
Contact Person: Gary Ciferri		
Address: PO Box 667, Millbrook, NY 12545 (10 Reservoir Road, Millbrook, NY 12545		
Telephone Number: 845-677-3419		
E-mail: ccbriggs@washington.org		
For Type 1 Actions and Conditioned Negative Declarations, a copy of this Notice is sent to:		
Chief Executive Officer of the political subdivision in which the action will be principally located (e.g., T Other involved agencies (if any) Applicant (if any) Environmental Notice Bulletin: <a href="http://www.dec.ny.gov/enb/enb.html">http://www.dec.ny.gov/enb/enb.html</a>	own / City / V	Village of)