# TOWN OF WASHINGTON PLANNING BOARD SEQRA NEGATIVE DECLARATION AND NOTICE OF DETERMINATION OF NON-SIGNIFICANCE FOR AN APPLICATION KNOWN AS MAILMAN CONSERVATION PRESERVATION AREA PROJECT

WHEREAS, the Town of Washington Planning Board (herein, the "Planning Board") has received an Application from Chatillon Realty Corporation (herein, the "Applicant") dated March 10, 2021 for a special use permit for a project described as follows: "Project to re-adapt an approximately 35-acre former mine site, which is part of a larger 162.7-acre parcel, for creation of a conserved area of woodland, meadow, and approximately 20-acres of open water and shallow wetland for maximum biodiversity" (herein, the "Application" or the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, the Application is for property known as 133 Woodstock Road, tax map number 135889-6666-00-110182-0000 (herein, the "Property"); and

WHEREAS, the Applicant applied to the Town of Washington Zoning Board of Appeals (herein, the "ZBA") for a use variance in relation to the Application; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has been duly designated as the Lead Agency for purposes of a coordinated environmental review of the Application and the address and contact information of the lead agency and contact person are as follows: Town of Washington Planning Board, 10 Reservoir Drive, P. O. Box 667, Millbrook, NY 12545, attention Paul Schwartz, Planning Board Chairman, with a telephone number of (845)677-3419; and

WHEREAS, the Applicant supplemented its Applicantion by the submission of materials from Sterling Environmental Engineering, P.C., dated July 21, 2021 containing 126 pages of materials, which have been reviewed and discussed by the Planning Board and which form a part of the record in this matter; and

WHEREAS, this determination of non-significance, i.e. negative declaration, is prepared in accordance with Article 8 of the Environmental Conservation Law: the NY State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQRA") and its implementing regulations set forth in 6 NYCRR Part 617 ("Regulations"); and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board, as lead agency, has classified this Action as a Type 1 action pursuant to 6 NYCRR §617.6 of the Regulations; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has caused the preparation of a Full Environmental Assessment Form (FEAF) for review of the Action; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board, as lead agency for the environmental review of the Action, has reviewed the Action and all relevant supporting information and documentation, has identified the relevant areas of environmental concern, has compared the reasonably expected results of the Action with the criteria set forth in 6 NYCRR §617.7 and has determined that there will be no significant adverse environmental impacts associated with the Action; and

WHEREAS, this negative declaration is supported and substantiated by the following findings and conclusions of the Lead Agency which are set forth in the combined form of a resolution and a notice of negative declaration; and

WHEREAS, additional information regarding the Project can be summarized as follows:

The site consists of 162.7 acres of land. About 35 acres were formerly mined and the reclaimed area is devoid of topsoil, limiting the growth of vegetation.

The Applicant proposes to remove approximately two million tons of material from the site over a ten year period in order to create the aquatic/open water habitat described in the Application. There will be no processing or sale of any excavated materials on-site.

A Mined Land Reclamation Permit will be required from the NYS Department of Environmental Conservation (herein, "DEC"). A preapplication meeting was held between DEC and the Applicant, but no application for such permit has yet been submitted.

The reclamation will result in an approximately 35 acre area of woodland and meadow, including a 20 acre aquatic habitat consisting of open water and shallow wetland areas.

The site is zoned RL-5, which does not permit soil mining either as a permitted use or a specially permitted use. The Applicant has applied to the ZBA for a use variance, but a decision on that matter will not be made until after the environmental review has been completed.

Planning Board members and other Town representatives conducted site visits attended by Christopher Mailman, the representative of the owner corporation.

**AND WHEREAS**, this negative declaration is supported and substantiated by the following findings and conclusions of the Lead Agency, using the criteria identified in 6 NYCRR 617.7(c)(1) as a guideline:

Will there be a substantial adverse change in existing air quality, ground or surface water quality or quantity, traffic or noise levels; a substantial increase in solid waste production; a substantial increase in potential for erosion, flooding, leaching or drainage problems?

The Planning Board finds that there will not be a substantial adverse change in existing air quality as a result of the Project. The Applicant has represented that there will be a limit of three people working on site during excavation and there will be no processing of excavated materials on site. The Project does not propose any state regulated air emission sources.

The Planning Board finds that there will be no adverse change in ground or surface water quality or quantity. There is an unnamed stream on the site, a tributary of Wappingers Creek, but its status is "unprotected", meaning that a Protection of Waters permit is not required. The Applicant represents that all excavation and related activities are outside of the stream banks and any buffer area. A Stormwater Sediment and Erosion Control Plan has been supplied by the Applicant, but it must be approved by the NYSDEC as part of the Mined Land Reclamation Permit review process. There are no state regulated wetlands on the Property. There are patches of federally designated freshwater wetlands on the property, but all are outside of the areas proposed for site disturbance, including all buffer areas that apply. The Applicant has represented that the hydrology of the federal wetlands is independent of, and would have no influence on, the hydrology of the proposed aquatic habitat.

The Planning Board has considered the Hydrogeological Narrative submitted by the Applicant in support of the Application. Water for the aquatic habitat would be supplied by available subsurface (groundwater) supplies, meaning it would not come from the above mentioned stream or wetlands or their buffer areas. Neighbors have expressed concerns about their wells, so the Applicant located water supply systems on

adjacent residential properties and obtained copies of some well completion reports. Well depth and yield information has been obtained for some of the wells. The Applicant investigated the operations of the nearby Route 82 Sand and Gravel facility, a much larger operation than the one proposed by the Applicant, and found no reports of incidents or complaints from neighboring landowners related to their wells. The Applicant has, however, committed to the adjacent landowners that if a disruption occurs in the quality or quantity of their wells, the Applicant will, at the Applicant's sole cost and expense, restore the wells to their pre-Application level of function. This commitment will be a condition of any approval which the Planning Board might ultimately grant for the Application, if any.

The Hydrogeological Narrative also contains an estimated water budget for the Project Area which shows that annual recharge will greatly exceed the water equivalent of the extracted mined material.

The Planning Board obtained and accepted a report from the Town Wetlands Administrator dated August 3, 2021. The report concluded that the "creation of an aquatic habitat feature is an appropriate option as part of a mined land reclamation." The report found that the prior reclamation involved a "low diversity seed mix" that resulted in "a monoculture of big bluestem with an assortment of other volunteers" and that the "low nutrient soils that remained do not provide a suitable base for a diverse vegetative community that would prove high quality habitat for the large number of bird, mammal and reptile species that could utilize this area". Although the report noted that no information was submitted about the process for the restoration site and no list of plants that will be used in the habitat area, the report further noted that it is likely that this level of detail would be provided as part of the DEC application and permit review process. Further, the Planning Board would require submission and approval of such information as part of any approval which the Planning Board might ultimately grant for the Application, if any.

The Planning Board finds that there will be no adverse change in traffic and noise levels. Although the Applicant was not required to submit a traffic study based upon NYS Department of Transportation or Institute of Transportation Engineers guidelines, the Applicant commissioned one anyway. The traffic impact assessment was

prepared by Greenman-Pedersen, Inc. and is dated July 20, 2021. The Assessment provides a detailed analysis of existing conditions and the impact which will result from the Project and represents that "the change in traffic operations between the existing condition and the worst-case scenario during construction will be negligible". The Applicant has agreed to limit hours of operation to Monday through Saturday, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., and to limit the number of people working on site to three. There is an existing paved and curbed access site from Route 82 to the property and the Applicant has agreed to restrict vehicular traffic to that access point. In other words, no vehicular access to the site will occur on Woodstock Road. The traffic analysis considered the impact of both the truck traffic for hauling purposes and the traffic generated by workers at the site. The Applicant has further agreed to limit truck traffic to 30 trucks per day (meaning 60 trips). Also, in relation to noise, the Applicant has agreed that J-brakes will not be used. These agreed upon limitations will be conditions of any approval which the Planning Board might ultimately grant for the Application, if any.

For purposes of additional review, the Planning Board retained The Chazen Companies to review the traffic impact assessment prepared by Greenman-Pedersen, Inc. dated July 20, 2021. A review letter was received from The Chazen Companies dated September 24, 2021 and is part of the record. That letter noted that the methodologies and analysis procedures for the evaluation of impact to NY Route 82 were consistent with standard traffic engineering guidance. That letter indicated that The Chazen Companies concurred with the assessment's finding that the project would have minimal impact on Route 82. That letter further addressed sight distance issues for the driveway to Route 82 and found that brush on the site appeared to be impacting needed sight distance. Therefore, any eventual approval from the Planning Board will contain a condition that brush be removed as necessary to create the required sight distance for the driveway.

There will be no new wells installed on the site for on-site water use and no septic disposal systems. Thus, there will be no substantial adverse change in these regards.

The Planning Board finds that there will be no substantial increase in potential for erosion, flooding, leaching or drainage problems. A Stormwater Sediment

and Erosion Control Plan has been supplied by the Applicant, and it must be approved by DEC as part of the Mined Land Reclamation permit review process. DEC will also review whether any coverage is needed pursuant to the SPDES Multi-Sector General Permit, which requires a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP). Also, there will be no process wastewater, because there will be no on-site processing of excavated material.

Will there be a removal or destruction of large quantities of vegetation or fauna; substantial interference with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species; impacts on a significant habitat area; substantial adverse impacts on a threatened or endangered species of animal or plant, or the habitat of such species; or other significant adverse impacts to natural resources?

The Planning Board finds that there will be no substantial adverse impacts for any of the above referenced criteria. The Applicant has reported that all topsoil was removed from the previously mined area and no topsoil was installed as part of the reclamation for that mining. The vegetation growing in that area is limited and is not of significance. The Applicant has reported that trees will be removed on an area encompassing between 15-20 acres of land, but this removal is necessary for slope considerations related to the soil mining and the installation of the proposed aquatic habitat. No threatened or endangered species of animal or plant (nor habitat for such) has been identified on the premises. A review of the United State Fish and Wildlife Service list of federal threatened and endangered species for the site and the NYS Natural Heritage records confirms these facts. No migratory fish exist in the area of proposed disturbance and although there probably are migratory animals, the soil mining would not cause a significant impact on any migration patterns. Finally, the eventual installation of the proposed aquatic habitat would produce improved vegetation, habitat and natural resources.

Will there be an impairment of the environmental characteristics of a critical environmental area as designated pursuant to section 617.14(g) of Part 6 of the NYCRR?

No such critical environmental area exists on or adjacent to the Property.

## Will there be the creation of a material conflict with the community's current plans or goals as officially approved or adopted?

The Property is not currently zoned for soil mining and the Applicant has an application pending with the ZBA for a use variance for that proposed use. The surrounding area includes properties which are zoned for soil mining. The surrounding area includes Route 82 Sand and Gravel, a current soil mining operation much larger than the one proposed. The Property was previously the subject of soil mining in the 1908's and 1990's.

The Town's Comprehensive Plan includes 4 Goals, followed by 14 Objectives, and then followed by Recommendations. The first Goal is "Keep the Town Scenic and Rural and the Village the One Developed Center" and the second Goal is "Protect Land, Water and the Natural Environment". The Project complies with those Goals. As part of the soil mining operation, the Applicant will be creating about 20 acres of aquatic/open water habitat with about 15 additional acres of meadow and woodland. Instead of constructing homes on this portion of the Property, the Applicant will be maintaining open space and improving the formerly mined area. This will assist in meeting the stated Goals and will result in an improvement to the environment over what currently exists at the site.

Based upon the above, the Planning Board finds that the Project will not create a material conflict with the community's current plans or goals as officially approved or adopted.

## Will there be an impairment of the character or quality of important historical, archeological, architectural or aesthetic resources or of existing community or neighborhood character?

The Property consists of vacant land, a portion of which was previously mined. Route 82 Sand and Gravel operates nearby. The Project does not propose the construction of new homes or other structures. In addition, the entire area of proposed disturbance is buffered, so that there will be no visual impact to surrounding properties. Thus, the Planning Board finds that there will be no impairment of the character or quality of important historical, archeological, architectural or aesthetic resources or of existing community or neighborhood character.

## Will there be a major change in the use of either the quantity or type of energy?

This criteria will typically apply to the construction of new buildings and to ensuring that the buildings and fixtures meet or exceed the standards in energy use codes. The Planning Board finds that there will be no major change in the use of either the quantity or type of energy.

#### Will there be the creation of a hazard to human health?

The soil mining operation will not be open to the public and requires a Mined Land Reclamation permit from NYSDEC. The Applicant will have to comply with all conditions of any such permit, as well as with any conditions imposed in an eventual special use permit of site plan approval. The Planning Board finds that the Project will not create a hazard to human health.

Will there be a substantial change in the use, or intensity of use, of land including agricultural, open space or recreational resources, or in its capacity to support existing uses?

The Property was previously mined and the reclamation was limited. The Applicant is proposing a use which previously occurred on the Property and is proposing a much more beneficial reclamation of the previously mined area. Open space will be preserved and no new structures are proposed. This is an area of the Town which has zoning for soil mining and Route 82 Sand and Gravel currently operates nearby. The Property is in Dutchess County Agricultural District 21, but is not currently used for agriculture and has not been so used in the recent past. The Planning Board finds that the Project will not result in a substantial change in the use, or intensity of use, of land including agricultural, open space or recreational resources, or in its capacity to support existing uses.

Will the Project encourage or attract a large number of people to a place or places for more than a few days, compared to the number of people who would come to the place absent the action.

The Planning Board finds that the Project will not encourage or attract a large number of people to the property. The soil mining operation is not open to the

public and is limited to three workers at the site. The eventual aquatic/open water habitat is not intended for public use. Open space will be preserved.

Will the Project create a material demand for other actions that would result in one of the above consequences?

The Planning Board finds that the Project will not create a material demand for other actions that would result in any of the consequences identified above. Once again, this is not a use which is open to the public and it will not create a demand for services for the public.

Will the Project result in changes to two or more elements of the environment, no one of which has a significant impact on the environment, but when considered together, result in a substantial adverse impact on the environment?

The Planning Board finds that there is no "cumulative" effect of changes or factors that could create a substantial adverse impact in the environment.

Are there two or more related actions undertaken, funded or approved by an agency, none of which has or would have a significant impact on the environment, but when considered cumulatively would meet one or more of the criteria identified above?

The Planning Board finds that this action is independent of any other action and there is no cumulative effect to be considered of any other action.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that based upon the above and the Full EAF and the record before the Board, the Planning Board determines that it is appropriate to adopt a Negative Declaration pursuant to 6 NYCRR §617.7; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the Planning Board hereby adopts this Resolution and Negative Declaration determining the Project will not result in any significant adverse environmental impacts and that a Draft Environmental Impact Statement will not be prepared for all the reasons specified herein; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the Planning Board hereby issues this Negative Declaration and notice thereof pursuant to the requirements of SEQRA and its implementing Regulations; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the Planning Board hereby authorizes the filing of this Negative Declaration and notice thereof pursuant to the requirements of SEQRA and 6 NYCRR §617.12 of its implementing Regulations.

The foregoing Resolution was voted upon with the Planning Board members voting as follows:

	YEA	NAY
Chairman Schwartz Member Cornell Member Drury Member Jorgensen Member Kulpa Colgred Member Meaney Member Spence	× × × × × × × ×	
Dated: Millbrook, New York October 5, 2021	Town of V	, Acting Secretary Vashington Planning Board

Project : CHATILI

ct:	:	CHATILLON APPLICATION

2021

## Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 3 - Evaluation of the Magnitude and Importance of Project Impacts and Determination of Significance

Part 3 provides the reasons in support of the determination of significance. The lead agency must complete Part 3 for every question in Part 2 where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.

Based on the analysis in Part 3, the lead agency must decide whether to require an environmental impact statement to further assess the proposed action or whether available information is sufficient for the lead agency to conclude that the proposed action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact. By completing the certification on the next page, the lead agency can complete its determination of significance.

#### Reasons Supporting This Determination:

Identify portions of EAF completed for this Project: Part 1

To complete this section:

- Identify the impact based on the Part 2 responses and describe its magnitude. Magnitude considers factors such as severity, size or extent of an impact.
- Assess the importance of the impact. Importance relates to the geographic scope, duration, probability of the impact
  occurring, number of people affected by the impact and any additional environmental consequences if the impact were to
  occur.
- The assessment should take into consideration any design element or project changes.
- Repeat this process for each Part 2 question where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.
- Provide the reason(s) why the impact may, or will not, result in a significant adverse environmental impact
- For Conditional Negative Declarations identify the specific condition(s) imposed that will modify the proposed action so that no significant adverse environmental impacts will result.

<ul> <li>Attach add</li> </ul>	ant adverse environment itional sheets, as needed	al impacts will result.			
Please see attached F	Resolution.				
	791712	Washington and the state of the			
	Determinati	on of Significance	- Type 1 and Unli	isted Actions	
SEQR Status:	✓ Type 1	Unlisted			

✓ Part 2

Part 3

Upon review of the information recorded on this EAF, as noted, plus this additional support information initial Application plus submission from Sterling Environmental Engineering, P.C. dated July 21, 2021 consisting of 126 pages of materials.
and considering both the magnitude and importance of each identified potential impact, it is the conclusion of the  Town of Washington Planning Board  A. This project will result in no significant adverse impacts on the environment, and, therefore, an environmental impact statement need not be prepared. Accordingly, this negative declaration is issued.
B. Although this project could have a significant adverse impact on the environment, that impact will be avoided or substantially mitigated because of the following conditions which will be required by the lead agency:
There will, therefore, be no significant adverse impacts from the project as conditioned, and, therefore, this conditioned negative declaration is issued. A conditioned negative declaration may be used only for UNLISTED actions (see 6 NYCRR 617.7(d)).
C. This Project may result in one or more significant adverse impacts on the environment, and an environmental impact statement must be prepared to further assess the impact(s) and possible mitigation and to explore alternatives to avoid or reduce those impacts. Accordingly, this positive declaration is issued.
Name of Action: Chatillon Application entitled Mailman Conservation Preservation Area Project
Name of Lead Agency: Town of Washington Planning Board
Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: Paul Schwartz
Title of Responsible Officer: Chalrman
Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency:  Date: 6 / 21
Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer)  Date:
For Further Information:
Contact Person: Paul Schwartz, Chairman
Address: Town of Washington Town Hall, 10 Reservoir Drive, P.O. Box 667, Millibrook, NY 12545
Telephone Number: (845)877-3419
E-mail:
For Type 1 Actions and Conditioned Negative Declarations, a copy of this Notice is sent to:
Chief Executive Officer of the political subdivision in which the action will be principally located (e.g., Town / City / Village of) Other involved agencies (if any) Applicant (if any) Environmental Notice Bulletin: <a href="http://www.dec.ny.gov/enb/enb.html">http://www.dec.ny.gov/enb/enb.html</a>

### Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 2 - Identification of Potential Project Impacts

	Agency Use Only [If applicable]
Project:	CHATILLON APPLICATION
Date:	, 2021

Part 2 is to be completed by the lead agency. Part 2 is designed to help the lead agency inventory all potential resources that could be affected by a proposed project or action. We recognize that the lead agency's reviewer(s) will not necessarily be environmental professionals. So, the questions are designed to walk a reviewer through the assessment process by providing a series of questions that can be answered using the information found in Part 1. To further assist the lead agency in completing Part 2, the form identifies the most relevant questions in Part 1 that will provide the information needed to answer the Part 2 question. When Part 2 is completed, the lead agency will have identified the relevant environmental areas that may be impacted by the proposed activity.

If the lead agency is a state agency and the action is in any Coastal Area, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment.

#### Tips for completing Part 2:

- Review all of the information provided in Part 1.
- Review any application, maps, supporting materials and the Full EAF Workbook.
- Answer each of the 18 questions in Part 2.
- If you answer "Yes" to a numbered question, please complete all the questions that follow in that section.
- If you answer "No" to a numbered question, move on to the next numbered question.
- Check appropriate column to indicate the anticipated size of the impact.
- Proposed projects that would exceed a numeric threshold contained in a question should result in the reviewing agency checking the box "Moderate to large impact may occur."
- The reviewer is not expected to be an expert in environmental analysis.
- If you are not sure or undecided about the size of an impact, it may help to review the sub-questions for the general question and consult the workbook.
- When answering a question consider all components of the proposed activity, that is, the "whole action".
- Consider the possibility for long-term and cumulative impacts as well as direct impacts.
- Answer the question in a reasonable manner considering the scale and context of the project

1. Impact on Land Proposed action may involve construction on, or physical alteration of, the land surface of the proposed site. (See Part 1. D.1)  If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 2.	□NC	) 🗾	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may involve construction on land where depth to water table is less than 3 feet.	E2d		
b. The proposed action may involve construction on slopes of 15% or greater.	E2f		
c. The proposed action may involve construction on land where bedrock is exposed, or generally within 5 feet of existing ground surface.	E2a		Ø
d. The proposed action may involve the excavation and removal of more than 1,000 tons of natural material.	D2a		Ø
<ul> <li>The proposed action may involve construction that continues for more than one year or in multiple phases.</li> </ul>	Dle		<b>9</b>
f. The proposed action may result in increased erosion, whether from physical disturbance or vegetation removal (including from treatment by herbicides).	D2e, D2q		
g. The proposed action is, or may be, located within a Coastal Erosion hazard area.	Bli	2	
h. Other impacts:			

2.	The proposed action may result in the modification or destruction of, or inhib	it		
	access to, any unique or unusual land forms on the site (e.g., cliffs, dunes, minerals, fossils, caves). (See Part 1. E.2.g)  If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", move on to Section 3.	NO		YES
	1) Tes , unswer questions a - c. 1) 140 , move on to section 3.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a.	Identify the specific land form(s) attached:	E2g		
	The proposed action may affect or is adjacent to a geological feature listed as a registered National Natural Landmark.  Specific feature:	E3c		0
c.	Other impacts:			
				L
3.	Impacts on Surface Water  The proposed action may affect one or more wetlands or other surface water bodies (e.g., streams, rivers, ponds or lakes). (See Part 1. D.2, E.2.h)  If "Yes", answer questions a - l. If "No", move on to Section 4.	□no		YES
		Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a.	The proposed action may create a new water body.	D2b, D1h		Ø
b.	The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over 10% or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water.	D2b	Ø	
c.	The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body.	D2a	Ø	
	The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other water body.	E2h		
	The proposed action may create turbidity in a waterbody, either from upland erosion, runoff or by disturbing bottom sediments.	D2a, D2h	<b>2</b>	
	The proposed action may include construction of one or more intake(s) for withdrawal of water from surface water.	D2c		
	The proposed action may include construction of one or more outfall(s) for discharge of wastewater to surface water(s).	D2d		
	The proposed action may cause soil erosion, or otherwise create a source of stormwater discharge that may lead to siltation or other degradation of receiving water bodies.	D2e		
	The proposed action may affect the water quality of any water bodies within or downstream of the site of the proposed action.	E2h		
	The proposed action may involve the application of pesticides or herbicides in or around any water body.	D2q, E2h	Ø	П
k.	The proposed action may require the construction of new, or expansion of existing,	D1a, D2d		

wastewater treatment facilities.

1. Other impacts:		Ø	
4. Impact on groundwater  The proposed action may result in new or additional use of ground water, or may have the potential to introduce contaminants to ground water or an aquife (See Part 1. D.2.a, D.2.c, D.2.d, D.2.p, D.2.q, D.2.t)  If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 5.	□NO er.		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may require new water supply wells, or create additional demand on supplies from existing water supply wells.	D2c	Ø	
b. Water supply demand from the proposed action may exceed safe and sustainable withdrawal capacity rate of the local supply or aquifer.  Cite Source:	D2c		
c. The proposed action may allow or result in residential uses in areas without water and sewer services.	D1a, D2c		
d. The proposed action may include or require wastewater discharged to groundwater.	D2d, E21	Ø	
e. The proposed action may result in the construction of water supply wells in locations where groundwater is, or is suspected to be, contaminated.	D2c, E1f, E1g, E1h		
f. The proposed action may require the bulk storage of petroleum or chemical products over ground water or an aquifer.	D2p, E21	M	
g. The proposed action may involve the commercial application of pesticides within 100 feet of potable drinking water or irrigation sources.	E2h, D2q, E2l, D2c		
h. Other impacts:			П
			***************************************
<ul> <li>5. Impact on Flooding The proposed action may result in development on lands subject to flooding. (See Part 1. E.2) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", move on to Section 6.</li> </ul>	NO		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in development in a designated floodway.	E2i	ū	
b. The proposed action may result in development within a 100 year floodplain.	E2j		
c. The proposed action may result in development within a 500 year floodplain.	E2k		
d. The proposed action may result in, or require, modification of existing drainage patterns.	D2b, D2e		0
e. The proposed action may change flood water flows that contribute to flooding.	D2b, E2i, E2j, E2k	0	
f. If there is a dam located on the site of the proposed action, is the dam in need of repair, or upgrade?	Ele		

g (	Other impacts:			
ъ.	outer angues.			
				<del></del>
6.	Impacts on Air			***
	The proposed action may include a state regulated air emission source. (See Part 1. D.2.f., D.2.h, D.2.g)	NO		YES
	If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", move on to Section 7.			
		Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. I	f the proposed action requires federal or state air emission permits, the action may also emit one or more greenhouse gases at or above the following levels:  i. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> )  ii. More than 3.5 tons/year of nitrous oxide (N <sub>2</sub> O)  iii. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon equivalent of perfluorocarbons (PFCs)  iv. More than .045 tons/year of sulfur hexafluoride (SF <sub>6</sub> )  v. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide equivalent of hydrochloroflourocarbons (HFCs) emissions  vi. 43 tons/year or more of methane	D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g	0 0	0
h	The proposed action may generate 10 tons/year or more of any one designated nazardous air pollutant, or 25 tons/year or more of any combination of such hazardous ir pollutants.	D2g		
r	The proposed action may require a state air registration, or may produce an emissions ate of total contaminants that may exceed 5 lbs. per hour, or may include a heat ource capable of producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour.	D2f, D2g		
d. T	the proposed action may reach 50% of any of the thresholds in "a" through "c", bove.	D2g	a	
e. T	he proposed action may result in the combustion or thermal treatment of more than 1 on of refuse per hour.	D2s		
f.O	ther împacts:		0	
			<del>-</del>	<del></del>
7.	Impact on Plants and Animals The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna. (See Part 1. E.2. r  If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 8.	mq.)	□NO	<b>∠</b> YES
		Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
th	he proposed action may cause reduction in population or loss of individuals of any preatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the Federal overnment, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2o		
aı	he proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by my rare, threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the federal overnment.	E2o	Ø	
sp	the proposed action may cause reduction in population, or loss of individuals, of any opecies of special concern or conservation need, as listed by New York State or the ederal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2p	Ø	
d. Tl ar	he proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by my species of special concern and conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government.	E2p		

e. The proposed action may diminish the capacity of a registered National Natural Landmark to support the biological community it was established to protect.	E3c		
f. The proposed action may result in the removal of, or ground disturbance in, any portion of a designated significant natural community.  Source:	E2n	Ø	
g. The proposed action may substantially interfere with nesting/breeding, foraging, or over-wintering habitat for the predominant species that occupy or use the project site.	E2m	Ø	
h. The proposed action requires the conversion of more than 10 acres of forest, grassland or any other regionally or locally important habitat.  Habitat type & information source:	Е1ь		<b>2</b>
i. Proposed action (commercial, industrial or recreational projects, only) involves use of herbicides or pesticides.	D2q	Ø	
j. Other impacts:		Ø	П
	·———	L	
8. Impact on Agricultural Resources  The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. a If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9.	and b.)	NO	YES
	Relevant	No, or	Moderate
	Part I Question(s)	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.	į.	small impact	to large impact may
<ul> <li>a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.</li> <li>b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc).</li> </ul>	Question(s)	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
NYS Land Classification System.  b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land	Question(s) E2c, E3b	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
<ul> <li>NYS Land Classification System.</li> <li>b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc).</li> <li>c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of</li> </ul>	Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
<ul> <li>b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc).</li> <li>c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land.</li> <li>d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10</li> </ul>	Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb E3b	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
<ul> <li>b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc).</li> <li>c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land.</li> <li>d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District.</li> <li>e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land</li> </ul>	Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, E1b E3b E1b, E3a	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
<ul> <li>NYS Land Classification System.</li> <li>b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc).</li> <li>c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land.</li> <li>d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District.</li> <li>e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system.</li> <li>f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development</li> </ul>	Question(s)  E2c, E3b  E1a, Elb  E3b  E1b, E3a  El a, E1b  C2c, C3,	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
<ul> <li>NYS Land Classification System.</li> <li>b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc).</li> <li>c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land.</li> <li>d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District.</li> <li>e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system.</li> <li>f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development potential or pressure on farmland.</li> <li>g. The proposed project is not consistent with the adopted municipal Farmland</li> </ul>	Question(s)  E2c, E3b  E1a, E1b  E3b  E1b, E3a  E1 a, E1b  C2c, C3, D2c, D2d	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur

9. Impact on Aesthetic Resources  The land use of the proposed action are obviously different from, or are in sharp contrast to, current land use patterns between the proposed project and a scenic or aesthetic resource. (Part 1. E.1.a, E.1.b, E.3.h.)  If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", go to Section 10.	N	) [	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
Proposed action may be visible from any officially designated federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource.	E3h		
b. The proposed action may result in the obstruction, elimination or significant screening of one or more officially designated scenic views.	E3h, C2b		
c. The proposed action may be visible from publicly accessible vantage points: i. Seasonally (e.g., screened by summer foliage, but visible during other seasons) ii. Year round	E3h	0	0
<ul> <li>d. The situation or activity in which viewers are engaged while viewing the proposed action is:</li> <li>i. Routine travel by residents, including travel to and from work</li> <li>ii. Recreational or tourism based activities</li> </ul>	E3h E2q, E1c	0	G G
e. The proposed action may cause a diminishment of the public enjoyment and appreciation of the designated aesthetic resource.	E3h	0	
f. There are similar projects visible within the following distance of the proposed project:  0-1/2 mile ½-3 mile 3-5 mile 5+ mile	Dla, Ela, Dlf, Dlg		0
g. Other impacts:	*****	0	
	······		
10. Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources  The proposed action may occur in or adjacent to a historic or archaeological resource. (Part 1. E.3.e, f. and g.)  If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 11.	<b>⊌</b> N(	) [	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, any buildings, archaeological site or district which is listed on the National or State Register of Historical Places, or that has been determined by the Commissioner of the NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for listing on the State Register of Historic Places.	E3e	٥	
b. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory.	E3f		
c. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an archaeological site not included on the NY SHPO inventory.  Source:	E3g		

d. Other impacts:			
If any of the above (a-d) are answered "Moderate to large impact may e. occur", continue with the following questions to help support conclusions in Part 3:			
<ol> <li>The proposed action may result in the destruction or alteration of all or part of the site or property.</li> </ol>	E3e, E3g, E3f		
<ol> <li>The proposed action may result in the alteration of the property's setting or integrity.</li> </ol>	E3e, E3f, E3g, E1a, E1b		
iii. The proposed action may result in the introduction of visual elements which are out of character with the site or property, or may alter its setting.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E3h, C2, C3		
11. Impact on Open Space and Recreation  The proposed action may result in a loss of recreational opportunities or a reduction of an open space resource as designated in any adopted municipal open space plan.  (See Part 1. C.2.c, E.1.c., E.2.q.)  If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 12.	<b>√</b> N0	D	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in an impairment of natural functions, or "ecosystem services", provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, wildlife habitat.	D2e, E1b E2h, E2m, E2o, E2n, E2p		
b. The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource.	C2a, E1c, C2c, E2q		
c. The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in an area with few such resources.	C2a, C2c E1c, E2q	0	
d. The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource.	C2c, E1c		
e. Other impacts:			
12. Impact on Critical Environmental Areas  The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical environmental area (CEA). (See Part 1. E.3.d)  If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", go to Section 13.	<b>№</b> NO		YES
•	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d		0
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quality of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d		
c. Other impacts:		0	
	1		

13. Impact on Transportation			
The proposed action may result in a change to existing transportation system (See Part 1. D.2.j)	ns.	10	YES
If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 14.			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Projected traffic increase may exceed capacity of existing road network.	D2j		
<ul> <li>b. The proposed action may result in the construction of paved parking area for 500 or more vehicles.</li> </ul>	D2j	Ø	
c. The proposed action will degrade existing transit access.	D2j	Ø	
d. The proposed action will degrade existing pedestrian or bicycle accommodations.	D2j	Ø	
e. The proposed action may alter the present pattern of movement of people or goods.	D2j		
f. Other impacts:			
		<u> </u>	
14. Impact on Energy  The proposed action may cause an increase in the use of any form of energy.  (See Part 1. D.2.k)  If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 15.	<b>⊘</b> N	0 🔲	YES
	Relevant Part I	No, or small	Moderate to large
	Question(s)	impact may occur	impact may
a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation.		impact	impact may
<ul> <li>a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation.</li> <li>b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use.</li> </ul>	Question(s)	impact may occur	impact may occur
b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a	Question(s)  D2k  D1f,	impact may occur	impact may occur
<ul> <li>b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use.</li> <li>c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity.</li> <li>d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed.</li> </ul>	Question(s)  D2k  D1f, D1q, D2k	impact may occur	impact may occur
<ul> <li>b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use.</li> <li>c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity.</li> <li>d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square</li> </ul>	D2k D1f, D1q, D2k D2k	impact may occur	impact may occur
<ul> <li>b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use.</li> <li>c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity.</li> <li>d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed.</li> <li>e. Other Impacts:</li> </ul>	D2k D1f, D1q, D2k D2k	impact may occur	impact may occur
<ul> <li>b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use.</li> <li>c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity.</li> <li>d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed.</li> <li>e. Other Impacts:</li> <li>15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor ligh (See Part 1. D.2.m., n., and o.)</li> </ul>	Question(s)  D2k  D1f, D1q, D2k  D2k  D2k	impact may occur	impact may occur
<ul> <li>b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use.</li> <li>c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity.</li> <li>d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed.</li> <li>e. Other Impacts:</li> <li>15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light  The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor ligh (See Part 1. D.2.m., n., and o.)  If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 16.</li> </ul>	Question(s)  D2k  D1f, D1q, D2k  D2k  D1g  ting. NO  Relevant Part I Question(s)	impact may occur	impact may occur
<ul> <li>b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use.</li> <li>c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity.</li> <li>d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed.</li> <li>e. Other Impacts:</li> <li>15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor ligh (See Part 1. D.2.m., n., and o.)</li> </ul>	Question(s)  D2k  D1f, D1q, D2k  D2k  D1g  ting. NO	impact may occur	impact may occur
<ul> <li>b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use.</li> <li>c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity.</li> <li>d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed.</li> <li>e. Other Impacts:</li> <li>15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light  The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor ligh (See Part 1. D.2.m., n., and o.)  If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 16.</li> <li>a. The proposed action may produce sound above noise levels established by local</li> </ul>	Question(s)  D2k  D1f, D1q, D2k  D2k  D1g  ting. NO  Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	impact may occur

d. The proposed action may result in light shining onto adjoining properties.	D2n		
e. The proposed action may result in lighting creating sky-glow brighter than existing area conditions.	D2n, E1a	Ø	
f. Other impacts:		Ø	
16. Impact on Human Health			
The proposed action may have an impact on human health from exposure to new or existing sources of contaminants. (See Part 1.D.2.q., E.1. d. f. g. an If "Yes", answer questions a - m. If "No", go to Section 17.	nd h.)		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No,or small impact may eccur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action is located within 1500 feet of a school, hospital, licensed day care center, group home, nursing home or retirement community.	E1d	a	
b. The site of the proposed action is currently undergoing remediation.	Elg, Elh		0
c. There is a completed emergency spill remediation, or a completed environmental site remediation on, or adjacent to, the site of the proposed action.	Elg, Elh		۵
d. The site of the action is subject to an institutional control limiting the use of the property (e.g., easement or deed restriction).	Elg, Elh		
e. The proposed action may affect institutional control measures that were put in place to ensure that the site remains protective of the environment and human health.	Elg, Elh		
f. The proposed action has adequate control measures in place to ensure that future generation, treatment and/or disposal of hazardous wastes will be protective of the environment and human health.	D2t	0	
g. The proposed action involves construction or modification of a solid waste management facility.	D2q, E1f		
h. The proposed action may result in the unearthing of solid or hazardous waste.	D2q, E1f		
i. The proposed action may result in an increase in the rate of disposal, or processing, of solid waste.	D2r, D2s	o	
j. The proposed action may result in excavation or other disturbance within 2000 feet of a site used for the disposal of solid or hazardous waste.	Elf, Elg Elh	0	
k. The proposed action may result in the migration of explosive gases from a landfill site to adjacent off site structures.	Elf, Elg		
The proposed action may result in the release of contaminated leachate from the project site.	D2s, E1f, D2r		
m. Other impacts:			

17. Consistency with Community Plans	***		
The proposed action is not consistent with adopted land use plans. (See Part 1. C.1, C.2. and C.3.)	NO		YES
If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", go to Section 18.			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action's land use components may be different from, or in sharp contrast to, current surrounding land use pattern(s).	C2, C3, D1a E1a, E1b	Ø	
b. The proposed action will cause the permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located to grow by more than 5%.	C2		
c. The proposed action is inconsistent with local land use plans or zoning regulations.	C2, C2, C3		Ø
d. The proposed action is inconsistent with any County plans, or other regional land use plans.	C2, C2	2	
e. The proposed action may cause a change in the density of development that is not supported by existing infrastructure or is distant from existing infrastructure.	C3, D1c, D1d, D1f, D1d, Elb	Ø	
f. The proposed action is located in an area characterized by low density development that will require new or expanded public infrastructure.	C4, D2c, D2d D2j		
g. The proposed action may induce secondary development impacts (e.g., residential or commercial development not included in the proposed action)	C2a	Ø	
h. Other:		2	
			-
18. Consistency with Community Character  The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character.  (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)	□NO	<u> </u>	TES .
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character.			
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)  If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)	Relevant Part I	No, or small impact	Moderate to large impact may
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)  If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.  a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character.  (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)  If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.  a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.  b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g.	Relevant Part I Question(s) E3e, E3f, E3g	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)  If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.  a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.  b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire)  c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where	Relevant Part I Question(s)  E3e, E3f, E3g  C4  C2, C3, D1f	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)  If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.  a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.  b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire)  c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing.  d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized	Relevant Part I Question(s)  E3e, E3f, E3g  C4  C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)  If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.  a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.  b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire)  c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing.  d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized or designated public resources.  e. The proposed action is inconsistent with the predominant architectural scale and	Relevant Part I Question(s)  E3e, E3f, E3g  C4  C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a  C2, E3	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur