



Town of Washington, NY
2022 Community Survey Results

TOWN OF WASHINGTON, NEW YORK

May 25, 2022

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION & BACKGROUND

Introduction & Background.....	1
Executive Summary.....	3

SURVEY RESULTS

PART I - Background Information.....	6
PART II - Hospitality & Location.....	34
PART III - Characteristics.....	54
PART IV - Short-Term Rentals.....	47
PART V - Final Thoughts.....	57
APPENDIX - Full Written Comments.....	61

Prepared by:



INTRODUCTION & BACKGROUND

Introduction. In the Spring of 2022, the Town of Washington, NY conducted a town-wide survey related to hospitality uses. The purpose of this survey was to measure the public's opinion as to whether the town comprehensive plan should be amended to address future hospitality development, and if so, to measure what the public desired for hospitality development.

This survey process was led by an appointed group of local representatives who comprised the Comprehensive Plan Review Committee (CPRC), with the assistance of planning consultants from Regrowth Planning and Community Planning & Environmental Associates (CP&EA). This survey results document, prepared as a precursor to the final recommendations report (to be prepared by the consultant team in a subsequent step), provides the background, details and final results of that survey effort.

SURVEY DESIGN

Focus Groups & Open House Meeting. In preparation for the design of the survey, two focus group meetings were held with a selection of local residents and business owners to identify early issues related to hospitality. This was followed by an Open House on February 26, 2022 at the Millbrook Firehouse in Millbrook Village. The purpose of the Open House was to introduce the planning effort to the community, collect early input on ideas and concerns the public had about hospitality, and to test some preliminary questions. This in-person Open House was followed by a "virtual" online version of the same material for people who were not able to attend originally. Approximately 118 people attended the live event, and 113 people participated in the virtual event.


The information collected at these events was useful in identifying some new issues and questions which should be addressed in the larger, town-wide survey effort. Following this event, the CPRC and the consultants worked collaboratively to develop each of the survey questions which were ultimately used. The consulting team was then responsible for creating, managing and facilitating the actual survey, tabulating results and presenting these to the CPRC.

GEOGRAPHIC EXTENTS & DISTRIBUTION

Paper & Online. The target audience for this survey was intended only for people within the geographic extents of the Town of Washington and Village of Millbrook, New York. Residents, property owners and business owners within this area were invited to participate. Although the purpose of this survey was focused on results for the Town of Washington only, people within the Village of Millbrook were included as they are also town residents.

In order to make the survey easily accessible to people within the target area, the survey was provided electronically online as well as in hardcopy paper format. Paper copies could be picked up or returned at the Town Hall.

PUBLIC SURVEY



Town of
Washington

Town of Washington Community Survey

The Town of Washington Comprehensive Plan Review Committee (CPRC) is conducting an important town-wide survey regarding future hospitality uses, and we urge all residents, property and business owners to participate by providing your input.

To access the survey online, use this web address or QR code:

www.surveymonkey.com/r/Washington-cp



SCAN ME


Paper copies of the survey can also be picked up at Town Hall, located at:
10 Reservoir Drive in Millbrook Village.

This survey is expecting to collect responses until **May 6th**.

For more information, contact: CompPlan@washingtontny.org
www.washingtontny.org/boards-commissions-committees/comprehensive-plan-review

SURVEY ADVERTISEMENT

In order to advertise, promote and encourage participation in the survey, a collaborative effort by the CPRC was conducted to spread the word in advance of the survey and during the survey period. Posters advertising the effort were developed and distributed at numerous locations around the town, and social media posts were developed online as well as announcements on the town website. Postcards were then mailed out to 4,375 households which invited them to take the survey and provided them a web address and QR code link to find it.



SURVEY VALIDATION

In order to help protect the integrity of the survey and limit responses only to people within the intended geography, respondents were asked to provide their local street address of their residence, business or landowner property within the town or village. This data was kept anonymous and confidential by the consulting team managing the survey and was not shared with any outside parties. The consulting team conducted a review of the street addresses provided and attempted to manually verify each one using available GIS street address data and the Dutchess County Parcel Access online information. During these reviews, approximately 52 responses were omitted from the final survey results because either the address provided appeared to be outside of the survey jurisdiction or invalid information was provided.

FINAL SURVEY STATS

Postcard Invitations Sent:	4,375
Online Surveys Completed:	676
Paper Surveys Completed:	14
TOTAL SURVEYS COMPLETED:	690

SURVEY PERIOD

The online survey was officially opened on Monday April 11th, 2022 and the survey was kept open for 26 days† until the end of day (midnight) on May 6th.

PAPER SURVEY RESULTS & TABULATION

Approximately 14 paper hardcopies of the survey were completed and returned to a drop box in Town Hall. These paper copies were delivered to the consulting team who manually inputted each response into the online survey to merge all of the results together.

†The postcards advertising the survey were inadvertently mailed out before the survey text had been finalized. As a result, approximately 10 people completed the survey online before it was ready. The survey had to be taken offline in the afternoon of April 11 for a period of about 45 minutes to make the final edits, and was open again at 4:33 pm April 11th in its final form. These final edits were primarily intended to clarify that people in the Village of Millbrook were allowed to voice their ideas for what they would like to see in both the town and the village, deleted some answer options and removed a suggestion that people who responded "No" to updating the comprehensive plan could optionally skip the remaining questions in the section and proceed to Part IV on Short-Term Rentals. It is not believed that these edits had any substantive impact on the final survey results. The paper surveys which were provided only included the finalized text after the edits and were not modified.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

GENERAL/BACKGROUND INFO. The survey represents residents and landowners from all parts of the Town of Washington, including the Village of Millbrook.

- A majority of the survey respondents were from the Town (486) compared to the Village (184). However, the Village represented the largest number of responses compared to all of the other sub-areas in Town, which ranged from a low of 84 (southeast area of Town) to a high of 120 (southwest area).
- Most of the participants (81%) were full time, year-round residents, with about 15% part time residents, and 2% landowners but not residents.
- Most of the participants were not business owners in either the Town or Village (80%).
 - Eighty-one respondents (12%) said they owned or operated a business within the Town, and fifty-six respondents (8%) said they owned or operated a business in the Village.
- Generally speaking, in many questions it appeared that respondents from the Village were more open to new development than respondents from the Town.
 - For example, 77% of Village residents were in favor of amending the Comprehensive Plan, compared to 61% of Town residents; Village residents were more likely to identify any area within town as “appropriate” for hospitality development; and other responses.

AMENDMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

- The majority of participants (66%, or 412 people) indicated that they thought the Town of Washington should amend the Comprehensive Plan to allow for development of more hospitality venues, with certain constrictions or conditions.
 - 34% (210 people) did not feel the Comprehensive Plan should be amended to allow for development of more hospitality venues.

HOSPITALITY LOCATIONS

- When asked where appropriate locations would be for more hospitality, three areas were identified as more appropriate

than others: Within the Village, in the Washington Hollow area, and just outside the Village of Millbrook.

- Areas within the Village of Millbrook were identified as appropriate by 51% of participants. At the same time, 24% said the Village was somewhat appropriate, and 24% said it was not appropriate.
- Outside the Village, the Washington Hollow area was identified as appropriate by 48% of participants, and the area just outside the Village of Millbrook was identified as appropriate by 42%.
- The eastern area of town, northeast area, northwest area, southwest area, and southeast areas had more people saying those areas are not appropriate for hospitality uses (43% to 45%).
- Responses for Mabbettsville were mixed with about 33% identifying that area as not appropriate, 29% as somewhat appropriate, and 38% appropriate.
- The most important characteristics in determining if a new hospitality venue was appropriate were as follows: that it avoids disturbance of sensitive environmental areas (84%), that the architectural scale and character be compatible with the rural setting (83%), the size of venue and number of guest rooms (80%), and the location (74%). In written comments, architecture that blends with the surrounding character, and preservation of natural resources was most common.

HOSPITALITY SIZE

- Hospitality venues sized from 4 rooms to 10 rooms were deemed very appropriate by a large majority of participants (73% and 60% respectively).
 - There were mixed feelings about 20 room venues (30% very appropriate, 36% somewhat appropriate, and 27% not appropriate.)
 - Larger venues (30, 40 and 50+ rooms) were deemed not appropriate by the majority of respondents. This was especially true for 40 and 50+ room venues which were scored at 71% and 81% respectively as not appropriate.
- Most participants felt smaller hospitality properties less than 5 acres in size were very appropriate.

- A sizeable number (24%) did not feel a property less than 2 acres was appropriate.
 - People were divided on the issue of new hospitality properties between 6-10 acres in size.
 - Support for new hospitality properties above 10 acres in size dropped steadily, with a majority of people indicating they were not appropriate.
- Size of property, whether it would bring customers to support other local businesses, and whether it would generate tax dollars were also very important to somewhat important by the vast majority of participants.

BENEFITS

- The majority of comments regarding desired benefits of new hospitality centered around the desire for a new venue to support local businesses, to provide new tax revenue, and to add more jobs.
 - Other less common comments centered on wanting venues to provide lodging for their guests, for more restaurants, for more cultural activities, for improving existing buildings, and for more amenities for town residents to use.

CONCERNS

- The majority of comments regarding concerns for new hospitality centered around traffic, change in character of rural or residential areas, impacts to the environment, and infrastructure capacity (water, roads, emergency, etc.).
 - Other concerns included parking issues, affordability to locals, increased crime, light pollution, and trash.

ACCESSORY USES

- Restaurants or Bars were received favorably as potential accessory uses to a hospitality venue. This was followed in order of support by outdoor recreation/sports, hosted events, and spa/shops.
- Condominiums, camping/glamping or similar lodging, and on-site residences were potential accessory uses that were strongly opposed or opposed by the majority of respondents.

SCALE & CHARACTER

- When asked about appropriate architectural scale and character, the most popular images selected were of small inns, that were single-family oriented in their design and in traditional/historic buildings.
- There was large support for zoning to have special architectural or site design standards for hospitality venues to meet. Written comments noted that the architecture should fit with existing and rural character of the Town, that it should favor small-scale and of a building consistent with the area, and that it should not be highly visible.
- 70% of participants support a provision in Town zoning to require set asides of permanent open space for conservation on a larger property used for hospitality.

VISIBILITY

- A majority of people felt that new hospitality buildings set back far from the road with limited or no visibility from the road at all were most desirable.

ADAPTIVE REUSE

- Participants most supported (~65%) limiting new hospitality uses to adaptive reuse scenarios when the structures used are either historic buildings or were former hotel/inn properties.
- There was slightly less support (~55%) for allowing hospitality uses as part of adaptive reuse of simply vacant or otherwise underutilized properties. The same percentage supported adaptive reuse of any property, even if it was in a location in town they considered appropriate for new hospitality uses.
- Despite the above, adaptive reuse of an existing building ranked relatively low in the scale of important characteristics to consider, falling below visibility from the road and generating tax revenue.

WEIGH IMPACTS

- Between 68% and 81% of respondents felt that community character and environmental considerations of waterways, habitats, aquifers, forests, views, farmland soils and rural roads were “very important” considerations to weigh. Environmental impacts and community character are clearly important to the community.

- In contrast to the above, only 46% of respondents felt that tax revenues were a “very important” consideration, with 41% feeling they were “somewhat important” and 12% saying they were “not important”.

SHORT-TERM RENTALS

- 59% felt that short-term rentals should be allowed with some specific approvals, restrictions and standards. Only 26% said that they should be allowed to operate without regulation, and 15% said they wanted no short-term rentals.
 - When asked to identify what kinds of regulations or restrictions the Town should consider for short-term rentals, the highest amount of support was found for: penalties/fees for violations; registration or permit to operate; and loss of permit for multiple violations, which all received 60% or more support.
 - Payment of an occupancy fee, and limiting the number of guests/bedrooms received 50% or more support.
 - Over 40% supported noise restrictions and a special complaint process for short-term rentals.
 - The remaining regulations and limitations received far less support, at or below 30%, with requiring the owner to occupy the house during the rental being the least popular at only 17%.
- Written comments related to short-term rentals were (in order of popularity) were that property owners should do as they wish, that there was concern for noise issues, that there is need for regulation, that influx of money would be good for local businesses, that compliance/enforcement may be an issue, and that short-term rentals would undermine the needed supply of affordable housing in Town.

OTHER USES NEEDED IN TOWN

- Other (non-hospitality) uses desired by some in Town include restaurants, more recreation, and entertainment (movie theater). Others noted that the Town needs affordable housing, and that the environment and open spaces need to be protected.

FINAL THOUGHTS



- Final comments from people commonly reiterated a strong desire to preserve the rural character of the neighborhood and town; that more cultural/entertainment venues are needed, and that no large resorts are desired.

PART ONE

BACKGROUND INFORMATION


QUESTION 2 - Please select the number which corresponds to the area where you live on the map of the Town of Washington below. *[Select one]*

Answered: 680 Skipped: 10



QUESTION 3 - **Are you a full-time or part time resident?** *[Select one]*


Answered: 674 Skipped: 16



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Full time resident, year round	81.01%	546
Part time resident	15.28%	103
Not a resident, but I own land in the town or village	2.37%	16
Not applicable	1.34%	9
TOTAL		674

QUESTION 4 - Please indicate if you are a business owner in either the Town of Washington or the Village of Millbrook. *[Select all that apply]*

Answered: 674 Skipped: 16



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
I own or operate a business in the Town of Washington	12.02%	81
I own or operate a business in the Village of Millbrook	8.31%	56
Not applicable	79.67%	537
TOTAL		674


PART II

HOSPITALITY & LOCATION

QUESTION 5 - Should the Town of Washington amend the Comprehensive Plan to allow for development of more hospitality venues? *[Select one]*


Combined Town and Village Responses

Answered: 622 Skipped: 68




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
No	33.76%	210
Yes - but with conditions or restrictions on location, size, etc. that will be explored in the following questions.	66.24%	412
TOTAL		622

Town Responses Only




Village Responses Only




QUESTION 6 - Please indicate if each of the following locations on the map below - either in the Town OR the Village - would be appropriate locations for new hospitality venues? *[Refer to map below. Areas shown are approximate - numbers correspond to the general area around them, not individual properties.]*

Combined Town and Village Responses

Answered: 594 Skipped: 96






	NOT APPROPRIATE	SOMEWHAT APPROPRIATE	APPROPRIATE	TOTAL
Area V (Within the Village of Millbrook - Not within the Town)	24.44% 142	24.44% 142	51.12% 297	581
Area 6 (Washington Hollow area)	25.09% 143	27.19% 155	47.72% 272	570
Area 7 (Vicinity just outside Village of Millbrook)	29.82% 170	28.25% 161	41.93% 239	570
Area 8 (Mabbettsville hamlet)	33.10% 188	29.05% 165	37.85% 215	568
Area 3 (Eastern area)	44.94% 253	25.22% 142	29.84% 168	563
Area 2 (Northeast area)	44.21% 248	26.38% 148	29.41% 165	561
Area 1 (Northwest area)	43.65% 244	27.55% 154	28.80% 161	559
Area 5 (Southwest area)	42.83% 239	28.85% 161	28.32% 158	558
Area 4 (Southeast area)	44.34% 247	29.44% 164	26.21% 146	557


Town Responses Only

Answered: 421 Skipped: 65



Village Responses Only

Answered: 165 Skipped: 19




PART III

CHARACTERISTICS


QUESTION 7 - **How important are each of the following characteristics when considering if a new hospitality venue would be appropriate in the Town of Washington?** *(Only pertains to the area(s) you selected on the map as being appropriate for hospitality uses)*

Combined Town and Village Responses




	NOT IMPORTANT	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	VERY IMPORTANT	TOTAL
Avoids disturbance of sensitive environmental areas	2.15% 12	13.11% 73	84.74% 472	557
Architectural scale and character is compatible with rural setting	3.24% 18	13.67% 76	83.09% 462	556
Size of building / number of guest rooms	2.54% 14	16.88% 93	80.58% 444	551
Location	5.38% 28	20.19% 105	74.42% 387	520
Size of property / number of acres	4.72% 26	26.86% 148	68.42% 377	551
It is a type which would bring customers that are likely to support existing local businesses	4.88% 27	26.94% 149	68.17% 377	553
Would generate additional tax dollars for the town	8.84% 49	39.89% 221	51.26% 284	554
Low visibility from road or other areas in town	14.83% 82	37.97% 210	47.20% 261	553
Adaptively re-uses an existing building (not new construction)	14.80% 82	46.57% 258	38.63% 214	554
Property was formerly an Inn or Hotel that is no longer in operation	24.50% 136	40.18% 223	35.32% 196	555
If the facility hosts on-site events (Weddings, conferences, parties, etc)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0
If the facility provides on-site amenities (Restaurants, bars, spa, outdoor recreation, etc.)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0

Town Responses Only



Village Responses Only



QUESTION 7 - **Summary of Written Responses to “Other”.**

A total of 103 of those responding to this question commented under “Other (Please Specify)”. Below is a summary of the top ten, most numerous comments, compiled into categories. Refer to the Appendix for a complete listing of all written comments.

Summary of Written Comments

Thirteen (13) persons gave responses that noted a desire to blend new architecture with the surrounding character.

Ten (10) persons responding noted that there was a need to consider environmental impacts, and to preserve natural resources.

Nine (9) of those commenting on this question remarked that they are concerned about infrastructure needs.

Eight (8) respondents favored independent businesses with character/ no resorts.

Seven (7) respondents favored limits on the size of venues and the number of guests.

Six (6) respondents favored repurposing existing buildings.

Four (4) persons responding noted a desire to preserve the character of residential areas.

Four (4) persons responding noted a desire to preserve the character of rural areas.

Three (3) persons responding noted that there should be options from high end to affordable.

Three (3) of those commenting on this question have concern regarding issues with noise.

QUESTION 8 - What benefits would you like to see come from potential new hospitality venues in the town? *[Write in box below]*

There are a total of 466 write in responses to this question. Below is a summary of the top most numerous comments, compiled into categories. Refer to the Appendix for a complete listing of all written comments.

Summary of Written Comments

One hundred (100) persons gave responses that indicated there would be increased support for local businesses.

Ninety (90) persons gave responses that noted tax revenue as a potential benefit.

Fifty-nine (59) persons responding noted there would likely be more jobs available.

Thirty-seven (37) persons responding noted they would like (affordable) lodging for their guests.

Twenty-six (26) persons responding noted a desire for more restaurants/eateries.

Thirteen (13) persons responding noted a desire for more culture/activities.

Thirteen (13) persons responding noted that there may be improvements/re-use of existing buildings.

Twelve (12) persons responding noted that they would like amenities for town residents to use.

Nine (9) persons responding noted a desire for infrastructure development.

QUESTION 9 - **What concerns do you have about potential new hospitality venues in the town?** *[Write in box below]*

There are a total of 499 write in responses to this question. Below is a summary of the top most numerous comments, compiled into categories. Refer to the Appendix for a complete listing of all written comments.

Summary of Written Comments

One hundred thirty-seven (137) persons noted concern for traffic issues.

One hundred fourteen (114) persons noted concern for change in character of rural or residential areas.

Seventy (70) persons are concerned for the environment and natural resources.

Sixty-two (62) persons noted concern for infrastructure (many noted water) issues; this category also includes roads, emergency, sewer, etc.

Fifty-three (53) persons noted concern for potential increase in noise.

Twenty-seven (27) persons feel there will be related parking issues.

Fifteen (15) persons are concerned that venues will not be affordable to many locals.

Twelve (12) persons are concerned that there will be an increase in crime.


Ten (10) persons feel there will be light related problems.

Ten (10) persons are concerned that there will be more pollution/trash.

QUESTION 10 - **SIZE OF OPERATIONS.** How appropriate do you think each of the following sizes of hospitality venues, in terms of number of guest rooms, would be within the Town of Washington? *(Only pertains to the area(s) you selected on the map as being appropriate for hospitality uses)*

Combined Town and Village Responses


Answered: 543 Skipped: 147



	NOT APPROPRIATE	SOMEWHAT APPROPRIATE	VERY APPROPRIATE	UNSURE / NO OPINION	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
4 Rooms	8.06% 42	12.09% 63	73.32% 382	6.53% 34	521	2.78
10 Rooms	8.18% 44	26.77% 144	60.22% 324	4.83% 26	538	2.62
20 Rooms	27.22% 144	35.54% 188	31.57% 167	5.67% 30	529	2.16
30 Rooms	55.49% 293	21.40% 113	15.53% 82	7.58% 40	528	1.75
40 Rooms	71.27% 377	11.34% 60	9.45% 50	7.94% 42	529	1.54
50 Rooms or more	80.65% 425	6.45% 34	5.69% 30	7.21% 38	527	1.39

Town Responses Only

Answered: 388 Skipped: 98



Village Responses Only


Answered: 148 Skipped: 36



QUESTION 11 - SIZE OF PROPERTY. How much land area do you feel would be appropriate for a hospitality use in the Town? (i.e. The amount of land actually developed for buildings, parking, lawn, outdoor activities, etc., not lands left wild or unused.) *(Only pertains to the area(s) you selected on the*

Combined Town and Village Responses


Answered: 539 Skipped: 151



	NOT APPROPRIATE	SOMEWHAT APPROPRIATE	VERY APPROPRIATE	UNSURE / NO OPINION	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Less than 2 acres	24.90% 128	21.60% 111	42.22% 217	11.28% 58	514	2.40
2 - 5 acres	19.96% 105	30.80% 162	40.11% 211	9.13% 48	526	2.38
6 - 10 acres	28.82% 151	29.20% 153	30.53% 160	11.45% 60	524	2.25
11 - 20 acres	38.96% 203	22.07% 115	26.30% 137	12.67% 66	521	2.13
21 - 40 acres	48.55% 251	13.35% 69	22.44% 116	15.67% 81	517	2.05
41 - 75 acres	55.06% 283	8.56% 44	20.82% 107	15.56% 80	514	1.97
75+ acres	57.39% 295	6.61% 34	20.23% 104	15.76% 81	514	1.94


Town Responses Only

Answered: 386 Skipped: 100



Village Responses Only


Answered: 146 Skipped: 38



QUESTION 12 - ACCESSORY USES. Would you want any of the following on-site accessory uses or facilities to be allowed as part of a new hospitality venue in the Town of Washington? *(Only pertains to the area(s) you selected on the map as being appropriate for hospitality uses)*

Combined Town and Village Responses


Answered: 548 Skipped: 142



	STRONGLY OPPOSE	OPPOSE	NEUTRAL	SUPPORT	STRONGLY SUPPORT	TOTAL
Restaurant or Bar	7.59% 41	3.52% 19	17.22% 93	43.89% 237	27.78% 150	540
Outdoor recreation or sports	10.87% 59	6.63% 36	25.41% 138	38.86% 211	18.23% 99	543
Hosted events (weddings, parties, conferences, etc)	12.25% 67	9.87% 54	24.86% 136	37.29% 204	15.72% 86	547
Spa & Shops	12.57% 68	11.46% 62	27.54% 149	34.94% 189	13.49% 73	541
Condominiums, Single-family or Tiny-House residences	39.67% 217	18.10% 99	23.22% 127	13.89% 76	5.12% 28	547
Camping, glamping or similar temporary lodging	35.16% 192	17.22% 94	23.81% 130	19.05% 104	4.76% 26	546
On-site residences which could potentially be used as Short-term Rentals	37.66% 206	17.73% 97	27.06% 148	12.98% 71	4.57% 25	547


Town Responses Only

Answered: 391 Skipped: 95



Village Responses Only

Answered: 150 Skipped: 34



QUESTION 12 - Summary of Written Responses to “Other”.

A total of 69 of those responding to this question commented under “Other (Please Specify)”. Below is a summary of the most numerous comments, compiled into categories, as well as the full written comments.

Summary of Written Comments

Nine (9) persons gave responses that noted a desire to keep everything small in scale.

Six (6) persons responding noted that housing is needed, especially affordable and smaller.

Six (6) persons responding noted that a sports facility/recreational opportunity is desired.

Five (5) persons responding noted that more restaurants are needed in the area.

Five (5) persons responding noted concern for noise issues, and loss of a peaceful setting.

Five (5) persons responding noted that new hotels/inns should have limited venue space, so as not to compete with local businesses.

Three (3) persons gave responses that noted concern for parking and traffic issues.

Full Written Responses

1. ** Support if Socio-economically appropriate for full year residents
2. Strongly oppose new hospitality venues
3. This is clearly a rigged question list as was the lead up to this survey.
4. Sports facility, automotive repair and sales, large restaurant venues, weddings etc, chain food stores, Starbucks, KFC, McDonald’s etc
5. Strongly oppose on-site residences. A hotel or inn could be considered, but NO residences, houses,camping, etc.
6. We are not talking Air bb. Totally different subject so don't confuse the two
7. Fun
8. In support of a small spa offering, but I don't believe shopping is necessary. Juniper and Corrine have us covered.
9. Condo, single family , tiny house residences - is a broad category : they need to view as separately : employee housing needs to be discussed


10. limit event size and amount annually
11. Please do not allow this to happen.
12. Millbrook has no life to it. Something should happen
13. Limited size hotel/motel B&B, restaurants I would support. The type of use is less important that the location and size of the venue.
14. Must always be mindful of the peaceful Country/agricultural setting we live in.
15. Worry over future uses in case a grand plan fails and less appropriate use tKesover
16. We need housing for Millbrook residents who wish to downsize
17. As long as we can control noise, traffic, peace
18. outdoor sports/ rec is way too board a category , needs more definition and sub categories
19. I oppose any new hospitality venues in the Town of Washington
20. Is this survey specifically about Migdale? It's hard to think that it's not. Even how you start with “strongly oppose” shows your bias - which is unfortunate
21. Owner must be present to rent space.
22. I see a real need to have more lodging available close to the village or in the village so people can walk in or have a short drive. I am not opposed to a resort location outside of the village. I feel there should be options in term of cost for all people with different economical means.
23. hotel or inn with limited venue space so people staying use local restaurants and local shopping
24. If the goal is to support our local businesses, the venues shouldn't openly compete with them for customers or employees....right?
25. Affordable housing, NOT LUXURY CONDOS FOR THE RICH
26. It is impossible to answer this question across the board, but I am absolutely opposed to fundamentally changing zoning or land use to accommodate development. We have plenty of spaces that already clearly support hospitality and we should be focusing on those.
27. The people of the town have said they don't want Migdale, what don't you understand.
28. What pray tell does “Hospitality “ even mean ?it is simply a developers wet and a community nightmare dream
29. New opportunity for new type of housing always interesting
30. On site residents constitutes a development not an inn
31. We could use a few more good restaurants in the area.
32. key would be meticulous maintenance of any new venue, and complete environmental vetting

- 33.** Would prefer a few small inns with restaurants and perhaps some sports like tennis or pools for swimming.
- 34.** Any facilities would have to be open to non-guests - so that local residents could use as well.
- 35.** That washed up dude will gadera should reimburse the town for all money spent on this
- 36.** This question is not clear. I am fine with a small Spa but shopping is questionable. Is Shopping 1 or 2 shops or 10 - 20. Is outdoor recreation a pool area (I am oK with this) or a huge complex like Rocking Horse Ranch. I am fine with weddings/parties but is conferences a large complex with 20 conference rooms. Are you saying the rooms they use for a wedding can also be used for a conference? I think everything has to be to small scale.
- 37.** I think allowing private home to AirBnB should be welcomed. I'm not for any new hotels being built or transform from current large homes or properties
- 38.** NO condominiums, small homes or camping or glamping - yuck. great way to destroy an a beautiful environment.
- 39.** Increase size of b and b's
- 40.** I would want anything in the outskirts of the Village to support the restaurants in the Village.
- 41.** For all of these uses, and for number of rooms I would support, the amount acreage is key. If there is a lot of acreage and the property is not visible from the road, I would support more rooms and more varied uses.
- 42.** Tiny homes should be allowed as residents they are a smaller carbon footprint and allow people to live cheaper and could be rented as air b n b
- 43.** No big box chain hotels or motels
- 44.** Restaurants not bars
- 45.** Events shall be indoor only
- 46.** None
- 47.** no large development
- 48.** There should also be workforce housing.
- 49.** Please note, regarding "short term rentals" I do not believe people should be allowed to rent for months at a time from airbnb in our location but I do believe that people should be allowed to use airbnb for rentals for 10 days or less.
- 50.** Creating affordable rentals/options to buy, I would strongly support, especially for young families.
- 51.** Short term rentals are not what our village/town needs. Afordable housing for people that want to live in Millbrook as opposed to Pleasant Valley or Poughkeepsie is needed.
- 52.** The determining factor has to be that the integrity of local character is preserved and the local economy benefits on a consistent basis. Bringing dollars into the local community to support infrastructure is key
- 53.** No condos, no single family residences; if there are Troutbeck like houses on the property that could be rented for 1-2 weeks maximum, that is OK. Glamping TBD based on how many rooms /people it would add to the property. Again Inness & Troutbeck have done a wonderful job of keeping the area rural, their buildings aesthetic in keeping with the countryside and all of that being away from the roads.
- 54.** Small performance spaces or music venues - Support
- 55.** Biased, development-oriented series of questions. This survey has no value.
- 56.** I must reiterate that a hospitality venue should only be allowed in the village so some of these questions are not appropriate.
- 57.** Again, your question excludes the Village location which is where I think is the only appropriate venue for potential hospitality
- 58.** Just looking for attractive places for visitors to stay while they enjoy our Village and our beautiful countryside.
- 59.** perhaps its too much to ask but it would be great to have walking and or bike paths that couldn't be safely used to get into the village without going on Route 44, 343, and 82
- 60.** McMansions built around golf courses, which use enormous amounts of water and fertilizers to keep the courses green.
- 61.** Distinctions need to be made in these questions as to type of place: a home being used as an airbnb or seasonal rental is one thing; a hotel with lots of out buildings as rentals is another thing. No clear option for these distinctions here.
- 62.** Inns or rural settings
- 63.** I do not feel Tiny-House residences should be included in Condominiums and Single Family Homes otherwise my choice would have been support.
- 64.** Short term rentals should 9nly be located in low density areas and assessed accordingly
- 65.** I would support hospitality venues with rooms for short-term stays -- not potential housing. Not sure how the latter fits into a hospitality venue.
- 66.** housing estate, multi family dwellings or mixed use commercial
- 67.** I am strongly opposed in the town or village to airbnb type rentals where the landlord is absent. Either the owner should be present on the property or the property should be a professionally managed establishment with a staff. Absentee landlord short-term rentals are not a good thing. Again, noise is an issue. If I were a neighboring land owner I wouldn't want a wedding venue. In fact, as a resident of the village the only part of the Thorne Building plan I do not support is that the focus was on for-profit private events. In some ways it was marketed to the public as a glorified wedding hall and not a community center. The same thing with hospitality, it can't be marketed a quaint place and then have a huge party.
- 68.** I'd love to see a more vibrant Millbrook full of offerings besides real estate agencies; I find that I often go to Kent, CT and other nearby towns for services.
- 69.** Air bnbs should be allowed to a degree. However, owners should be required to live at the residence and held to that. Enforcement of the rules needs to be quick, and with serious consequences when those rules are broken.

QUESTION 13 - CONSERVATION. Would you support a provision in the Town’s zoning which would require hospitality properties, if permitted, to set aside a portion of any larger acreages in permanent conservation? *(Only pertains to the area(s) you selected on the map as being appropriate for hospitality)*

Combined Town and Village Responses


Answered: 541 Skipped: 149



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Yes	69.87% 378
No	9.80% 53
Unsure / No Opinion	20.33% 110
TOTAL	541


Town Responses Only

Answered: 386 Skipped: 100



Village Responses Only


Answered: 148 Skipped: 36



QUESTION 14 - Please select the example images below which you feel are appropriate in terms of architectural scale and character for the Town of Washington. *(Only pertains to the area(s) you selected on the map as being appropriate for hospitality uses) [Select any that apply]*

Combined Town and Village Responses

Answered: 538 Skipped: 152



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Image 2	85.13%	458
Image 4	68.40%	368
Image 3	62.45%	336
Image 1	45.35%	244
Image 6	43.87%	236
Image 5	39.41%	212
Image 7	33.83%	182
Image 8	31.04%	167
None of the above	6.88%	37
Image BLANK	0.00%	0
Total Respondents: 538		



Image 1



Image 2



Image 3



Image 4



Image 5



Image 6




Image 7



Image 8


Town Responses Only

Answered: 383 Skipped: 103



Village Responses Only


Answered: 149 Skipped: 35



QUESTION 15 - If additional hospitality uses were permitted, should the zoning have special architectural or site design standards for them to comply with? *[Select one]*

Combined Town and Village Responses


Answered: 548 Skipped: 142



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	80.29%	440
No	6.75%	37
Unsure / No Opinion	12.96%	71
TOTAL		548


Town Responses Only

Answered: 389 Skipped: 97



Village Responses Only

Answered: 153 Skipped: 31



QUESTION 16 - Please provide any additional thoughts or comments you may have about the desired sizes of buildings, sizes of property or architectural character of hospitality uses in the Town. (Write in box below)

There were a total of 227 write in responses to this question. Below is a summary of the most numerous comments, compiled into categories. Refer to the Appendix for a complete listing of all written comments.

Summary of Written Comments

Fifty-two (52) persons responding noted desire for architecture to fit with existing (many noted rural) character of town.

Thirty-two (32) persons responding favor only small-scale hospitality; building size consistent with the area.

Twelve (12) persons responding noted they do not want visible exposure of venues; also parking areas should not be highly visible.

Eight (8) persons responding favor adaptive reuse of existing buildings.

Six (6) persons responding do not want to see chain type hospitality venues.

Six (6) persons expressed concern for available water resources.

Five (5) persons felt that larger buildings are fine if designed well, and on a larger piece of land.


Four (4) persons expressed concern for possible related traffic issues.

Four (4) persons responding said that generally a moderate size is fine.

QUESTION 17 - **How desirable are each of the following characteristics to you when considering the appropriate visibility of a new hospitality venue in the Town of Washington?** *(Only pertains to the area(s) you selected on the map as being appropriate for hospitality uses)*

Combined Town and Village Responses


Answered: 518 Skipped: 172



	NOT DESIRABLE	SOMEWHAT DESIRABLE	VERY DESIRABLE	UNSURE / NO OPINION	TOTAL
Hospitality buildings and parking set back far from road with limited visibility	10.31% 53	33.27% 171	43.58% 224	12.84% 66	514
Hospitality buildings and parking not visible from road at all	10.55% 54	27.54% 141	42.38% 217	19.53% 100	512
Hospitality buildings and parking not visible from anywhere off the property, including views of hillsides from surrounding area	17.32% 88	23.62% 120	32.48% 165	26.57% 135	508
Hospitality buildings readily visible from road, but parking areas not visible	22.57% 116	43.39% 223	21.79% 112	12.26% 63	514
Hospitality buildings and parking areas readily visible from road	54.88% 281	25.59% 131	5.27% 27	14.26% 73	512


Town Responses Only

Answered: 369 Skipped: 117



Village Responses Only


Answered: 143 Skipped: 41



QUESTION 18 - The Town of Washington could potentially limit new hospitality uses only to cases where it was an adaptive re-use of an existing building (not new construction). Which of the following adaptive re-use scenarios would you support within the Town? (Only pertains to the area(s) you selected

Combined Town and Village Responses


Answered: 520 Skipped: 170



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
When structures are historic buildings or otherwise contribute positively to the architectural character and charm of the town	66.15% 344
When properties/structures were formerly a hotel or inn which has ceased operations	65.77% 342
When structures have been vacant or otherwise underutilized	55.77% 290
When structures are located only in areas I have identified as appropriate for hospitality uses	55.38% 288
When structures are an adaptive re-use of any existing building	50.38% 262
Other (please specify)	8.08% 42
None of the above	7.50% 39
Total Respondents: 520	


Town Responses Only

Answered: 373 Skipped: 113



Village Responses Only

Answered: 141 Skipped: 43



QUESTION 18 - **Summary of Written Responses to “Other”.**

A total of 42 of those responding to this question commented under “Other (Please Specify)”. Below is a summary of the most numerous comments, compiled into categories, as well as the full written comments.

Summary of Written Comments

Only if previous properties are not in violation of zoning or wetland protection.

New uses must be consistent with current energy laws and codes.

When the existing buildings are located in existing hospitality areas.

Only if water sources are adequate, and soil is appropriate for development.

Not to exceed the existing footprint, no additional structures on the parcel. 2

Small structures, limited to ten guest rooms, located on different properties that are not connected by land.

When structures are an adaptive reuse of main building, or a large-scale structure

Consider moving a vacant structure in an undesirable location for a hospitality venue to a more suitable location.

Use any existing structure, if mindfully re-designed to adapt for re-use (barns, out-buildings, garages, carriage houses).

Do not re-use buildings that are beyond repair.

Re-use of old mansions may cause a drain on water, energy and other resources.

We can't have modern, big, underused white elephants.

Full Written Responses

1. no requirement of adaptive reuse should be imposed
2. all buildings including new construction
3. All of the above
4. When is structures can bring revenue to Millbrook
5. Small structures limited to ten guest rooms located on different properties that are not connected buy land.
6. They should be allowed anywhere possible, this town and village has already chased any potential investors away so I doubt this will ever happen again
7. Not opposed to new construction
8. Let them build. Let the town flourish.
9. Cannot exceed existing footprint, no additional structures
10. think this is unrealisic expectation given housing limitation
11. I feel any option works, as it would have to be a case by case situation. Bennett college couldn't have been restored, but it's unfair to say a new building couldn't have been constructed in its place.
12. What's wrong with magnificent new construction? Jobs!
13. I am fine with new construction
14. I think that is not a smart idea that a building has to be preexisting to be a hospitality location
15. All of these work
16. difficult to answer pro forma.
17. This question makes no sense.
18. Can't have modern big underused white elephants
19. I am a huge fan of using existing structures and not adding new places when possible.
20. Let the taxpayers vote on these projects, not the planning board.
21. There are places, like former Aurelia's and other buildings in or around the village that would be terrific for hospitality. There may be existing B&Bs or former inns that could be appropriate, but it would be hard to make a blanket statement without understanding the zoning and who/what surrounds those structures.
22. only if there are adequate water sources and the soil is appropriate for the authorized development-- eg sewage should not be contaminating wells..
23. When located in existing hospitality regions
24. New uses must be consistent with current energy laws and codes
25. Only if the previous properties were not already in violation of zoning or wetland protection.
26. New construction is not an enemy. Overall and cumulative size of all


hospitality uses is what is important.

27. It's important, however, that old mansions be carefully considered for the drain that they may have on water, energy, and other resources.
28. I would support other adaptive -re-use scenarios as well.
29. I would hope that the town would not limit new hospitality to adaptive re-use buildings.
30. No new hospitality wanted or needed. prefer look and feel of our community as is.
31. Rehab and reutilize old buildings, of course. However, allow for new construction as well, where appropriate.
32. Refer to comments previous. Yes let's utilize what we have and make it beautiful again. Give ppl e choices. Sore than one revitalization!
33. i would not support any such limitation.
34. I would allow any new construction and would not support limiting new hospitality to having to reuse only existing buildings
35. I would encourage / heavily favor proposals that reuse existing buildings however not limit it to exclusively reusing existing buildings---in part as some buildings are beyond repair (as we just saw with the girl's school at the edge of town!)
36. Once again, a biased, development-leaning survey
37. Any existing structure, mindfully re-designed to adapt for re-use (barns, stables, out-buildings for farm equipment, garages, carriage houses.....etc. etc.)
38. when they meet characteristics defined earlier
39. Might want to consider moving a vacant structure in an undesirable location for a hospitality building to a more suitable one. Eg. soon to be around already vacant schools.
40. When structures are an adaptive reuse of main building or a large scale structure
41. Question is confusing. I would support adaptive re-use of existing bldgs, but don't have an issue with new construction either.
42. Saving and restoring is preferred, but new is not necessarily bad as long as the scale and design are appropriate.

QUESTION 19 - **How important is it that the Town of Washington weigh the value of each of the following when considering the potential impacts of hospitality development in the Town?** *(Only pertains to the area(s) you selected on the map as being appropriate for hospitality uses)*

Combined Town and Village Responses


Answered: 521 Skipped: 169



	NOT IMPORTANT	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	VERY IMPORTANT	TOTAL
Community character	2.69% 14	15.58% 81	81.73% 425	520
Waterbodies and waterways	2.90% 15	16.02% 83	81.08% 420	518
Wetlands and hydric soils	3.66% 19	15.80% 82	80.54% 418	519
Sensitive natural habitats	2.71% 14	16.67% 86	80.62% 416	516
Aquifer / aquifer recharge zones	3.49% 18	18.22% 94	78.29% 404	516
High quality forests	4.83% 25	20.66% 107	74.52% 386	518
Scenic views	3.87% 20	21.66% 112	74.47% 385	517
Farmland soils	5.02% 26	22.39% 116	72.59% 376	518
Rural roads	6.40% 33	24.81% 128	68.80% 355	516
Tax revenues	12.19% 63	41.20% 213	46.62% 241	517


Town Responses Only

Answered: 371 Skipped: 115



Village Responses Only

Answered: 144 Skipped: 40




PART FOUR

SHORT TERM RENTALS

QUESTION 20 - How Which of the following best describes your position on short-term rentals in the Town of Washington? *(This question pertains to areas of the Town outside the Village) [Select one]*

Combined Town and Village Responses


Answered: 536 Skipped: 154



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Short-term rentals should be allowed with some specific approvals, restrictions and standards.	59.14%	317
Short-term rentals should continue to be allowed to operate without regulation as they are today.	25.75%	138
I do not want short-term rentals to be allowed in the Town of Washington.	15.11%	81
TOTAL		536


Town Responses Only

Answered: 381 Skipped: 105



Village Responses Only


Answered: 149 Skipped: 35



QUESTION 21 - **Should the Town of Washington consider regulating any of the following aspects of short-term rentals?** *(This question pertains to areas of the Town outside the Village) (Select any that apply)*

Combined Town and Village Responses


Answered: 525 Skipped: 165



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Penalties / fees for violations	64.00%	336
Required registration or permit to operate	62.67%	329
Loss of registration or permit for multiple violations	61.14%	321
Owner must pay occupancy fee or business tax to town	54.86%	288
Limit number of guests, bedrooms, or parking spaces used	52.57%	276
Noise restrictions (beyond what the town code already limits)	47.81%	251
Special complaint process / Enhanced code enforcement	47.62%	250
Limit number of days/year to operate any rental property	31.81%	167
Limit total number of rental properties in town which can operate at one time	30.86%	162
Limit (minimum or maximum) duration of stay for guests	28.19%	148
Minimum distance from adjacent neighbors	26.48%	139
Limit to specific geographic areas of town	23.81%	125
Different requirements for rural/isolated locations with no nearby neighbors	23.24%	122
Owner must occupy house during rental period - (Hosted / Owner Occupied)	17.90%	94
None of the above	14.10%	74
Other (please specify)	6.29%	33
Total Respondents: 525		


Town Responses Only

Answered: 372 Skipped: 114



Village Responses Only

Answered: 147 Skipped: 37



QUESTION 21 - **Summary of Written Responses to “Other”.**

A total of 32 of those responding to this question commented under “Other (Please Specify)”. Below is a summary of the most numerous comments, compiled into categories, as well as the full written responses.

Summary of Written Comments

Five (5) persons responding noted concern for potential noise issues.

Four (4) persons responding noted that the owner should be a local resident at least six months of the year/ no absentee landlords.

Two (2) persons responding noted that there should be registration/ permit to operate.

Two (2) persons responding noted that there should be health, fire and safety regulations.

Other comments include:

- There should be regulation of light pollution.
- There’s a desire for fees for property owners, when in violation.
- Neighbors should be notified if a permit to operate is granted.
- All buildings should be code compliant.
- There is concern for neighborhood character.
- There is concern for absentee landlords or investment properties being used as rental mills.

Full Written Responses

1. All buildings need to obtain a Certificate of Occupancy before being rented to be sure they are code compliant
2. The village and the outlying town need different specs in order to properly ask these questions.
3. Just adapt state, fire, safety laws or will chase them away
4. Health, fire and safety regulations to protect guests and community.
5. No short term rentals should be allowed
6. We have good friends who are forced to endure a new houseful of guests arriving and partying every week. This is unacceptable. We chose to live in the village, yes, but have done so with the expectation of some privacy and quiet.
7. I know very little about AirBnB rentals and do not feel qualified to comment
8. Owner must be local resident in the rental at least 6 months of the year.
9. do not over regulate - make sure the operator has a permit and has rules that guests must follow to reduce issues of garbage or noise. Any wonderful place in the world allows for short term rentals. its part of the beauty of visiting our area and we dont want to constrict it.
10. You should be able to rent your property as you please. As long as town rules are followed
11. I don't have an opinion- I would prefer to use a hotel or motel
12. This is a hard one. STRs are good as they don't require additional build or impact the environment in any new ways, and owners should be free to capture additional income if it suits them. But large, noisy guests is unfair to the neighbors. Could the limit be no parties more than 4 and a minimum age requirement of 30 years old?
13. Nearby neighbors should be informed if permit to operate is granted
14. I am not in favor of short-term rentals
15. I think a notice to town would be a good idea; but I am not sure if permitting is a good idea; unless we are talking about a larger scale use.
16. People contemplating renting a room or group of rooms to guests are often clueless about the amount of work involved, both paperwork (health dept., sale and occupancy taxes) and housekeeping/upkeep. When the task is more than bargained for, properties tend to degrade. So I think TOW must interview potential hospitality business owners very closely and specifically. There needs to be a plan that allows the TOW to close a business that is in violation of basic, commonsense regulations.
17. Short-term renters changes the feel of the neighborhood for sure. I have experienced this in adjacent properties. But noise and light pollution need to be regulated for many resident/owners who don't "get" what living in the country looks like and light up their properties like airplane hangers. There is a significant disruption to wildlife as well from bright lights and loud noises.
18. In general short-term rentals erode the residential character of neighborhoods and create a transient feeling. Short term renters possibly

are less interested in good neighborly relationship. Therefore the bed and breakfast model where the owner lives on the premises is the better model. Also under current regulations owners who rent their properties as short-term rentals don't pay taxes in the community. The revenue goes to the community of their primary residence.

19. Requirements for noise minimizing features, like sound fences
20. As long as people rent somebody else's home to reside in it for a while, I am not sure this needs to be regulated. This is different from a person building cabins for rental, which I oppose.
21. Include Washington Hollow
22. I'm opposed because you can see in the city how these facilities are rented, then the renters throw parties for hundreds of attendees.
23. Permits from Dutchess County and taxes are already collected on these. Tiny houses and short term rental are far preferable and not a drag on the town's resources, septic, schools etc. Far preferable to a large development.
24. If these rentals already exist and don't have a visible impact
25. Not sure. Until there are specific problems identified I'm not sure we need to be placing regulations on these businesses.
26. STR should be severely limited so that first time homeowners and families are not excluded from the community because they are unable to compete with business purchases with exclusive intent of creating STR
27. I don't feel that I am qualified or educated enough on the topic
28. I don't believe in too much regulation
29. This can be an important income stream to some members of our community. Also without many nearby hotels that are comfortable, family members (like mine) are forced to stay in an airbnb. At the moment there aren't that many airbnbs in the area/it hasn't disrupted our housing opportunities, to the best of my knowledge. I'm in favor of basic rules like registration & ensuring the peace & quiet of our wonderful town is maintained!
30. The town should prohibit short term rentals
31. Limit to primary residence of owner --- if so, wouldn't necessarily need to be a hosted situation where the owner is on-site during the STR. This would have the added bonus of functionally self-limiting how often the STR could be rented.
32. All of these are important, but NO absentee landlords or investors on short-term rentals.

QUESTION 22 - **Please provide any additional comments or suggestions you may have regarding short-term rentals in the Town.** *(This question pertains to areas of the Town outside the Village) [Write-in Comment]*

There were a total of 156 write in responses to this question. Below is a summary of the most numerous comments, compiled into categories. Refer to the Appendix for a complete listing of all written comments.

Summary of Written Comments

Twenty (20) persons stated that property owners should do as they wish; do not over-regulate

Fifteen (15) persons responding noted that there was concern for noise issues

Eleven (11) respondents said there should be regulations/ restrictions

Ten (10) people said that the influx of money is good as it will support local business

Ten (10) persons had concern for compliance/ enforcement issues that may arise

Ten (10) respondents noted that housing (affordable) for locals is needed, not STRs

Six (6) people said there should be a limit number of guests allowed, and a parking limit (including for parties)

Five (5) persons noted that Town services (emergency, garbage) may be burdened

Four (4) respondents noted a desire to not lose the surrounding rural character of the area

Four (4) people thought there should be a tax on STR's (occupancy tax)

PART FIVE

FINAL THOUGHTS

QUESTION 23 - Are there other types of uses (besides hospitality) which would be appropriate in the town that you think are needed? *(This question pertains to areas of the Town outside the Village) [Write-in Comment]*

There were a total of 193 write in responses to this question. Below is a summary of the most numerous comments, compiled into categories. Refer to the Appendix for a complete listing of all written comments.

Summary of Written Comments

Twenty-seven (27) persons stated that restaurants are needed (many said affordable).

Sixteen (16) persons noted that more recreation would enhance the area (most comments - ice skating rink; bike paths; tennis; swimming; skateboard park, and sports).

Fifteen (15) persons responded that open space; wetlands, forests, and agriculture should be protected.

Thirteen (13) persons noted that culture/ entertainment (highest response - movie theater) venues are needed.

Nine (9) persons stated that affordable housing is needed.

Seven (7) people would like to see more specialty food shops, a bakery, or grocery store.

Six (6) persons noted that commercial uses or light manufacturing could be allowed; three noted in the Washington Hollow area.

Six (6) respondents desire more retail shops (half said affordable)

Four (4) persons noted that more farmers markets would be nice.

Three (3) people noted a need for support for the elderly.

QUESTION 24 - Please provide any additional thoughts or comments you may have about the consideration of future hospitality within the Town of Washington and/or within the Village of Millbrook. *[Write-in Comment]*

There were a total of 176 write in responses to this question. Below is a summary of the most numerous comments, compiled into categories. Refer to the Appendix for a complete listing of all written comments.

Summary of Written Comments

Eighteen (18) persons gave responses that desire to preserve the character of neighborhoods and small town, preserve the rural character.

Eleven (11) persons noted that culture/ entertainment venues are needed.

Ten (10) persons responding noted that no huge resorts are desired.

Nine (9) persons responded were concerned about noise; desire a peaceful place to live.

Seven (7) persons stated that new businesses should be affordable and serve the community.

Six (6) persons responded stated concerns with Town operation – Town lacks transparency (3 persons); Town politics are corrupt (2 persons) and (1 person) stated that the Town Zoning Board operates inconsistently.

Six (6) persons responding noted a desire to follow the comprehensive plan.

Five (5) persons responding stated that infrastructure may be an issue.

Four (4) persons noted a need for starter homes/ affordable housing for families.

Four (4) persons noted a concern for traffic issues.

APPENDIX

FULL TRANSCRIPT OF WRITTEN COMMENTS

QUESTION 7 - How important are each of the following characteristics when considering if a new hospitality venue would be appropriate in the Town of Washington? (Only pertains to the area(s) you selected on the map as being appropriate for hospitality uses)

1. Obstructionism using "environmental " reasons is not a good policy for the towns future
2. No large resort type places. No fancy spa/ hotel a la second mountain
3. Do not develop Migdale. We should not be messing with our town plan for a single developer.
4. We should be considering what our town will look like for decades to come, based on potential tax revenues. "Money" should not have anything to do with this issue, as money can and will be generated by any and all development. And if Tax revenue is part of the consideration, then any development is okay, it would seem.
5. NA (see previous page answers)
6. It is critical that any development would not strain our water or other natural resources
7. Business owners in millbrook are suffering and business from Troutbeck and Siloh Ridge have greatly increased traffic. The town and village are impacting business... people like to talk about water tables but are unable to produce a map of tables or aquifers.... Town needs to support its businesses not millionaires with thousand acre ranches who oppose new inns.
8. In the past we had the Altamont Inn, Millbrook Manor and cottonwood inn. The right location, type of hospitality and proper architecture (if visual to others) is important. If , for instance, Migdale would mirror the old Altamont inn it would be fantastic for weddings, dinner venue, overnight or longer stays. If all environmental issues are met it would be invisible to all residents. Done right, hospitality would be a good thing for the town.
9. The Migdale project was exactly the kind of development that would be a disaster for the Town. The bucolic character of the Town would be severely damaged, the location was awful, the size was ridiculous and putting our water supply in jeopardy was a travesty. It would also be bad for the Town economy.
10. Environmentally sound business plan and architecture that uses solar or other renewable power sources and minimizes water use.
11. New accommodations should fit in style wise and not be jarring. Small not large in stature. Or, dispersed in the town, but small. Concerns about the environment are key. Client targeting is key as guests at large expensive hotels do not spend money and will not spend money in Millbrook Village. The perfect example is the growing cancer called Silo Ridge. S.R. is a blight on the landscape destroying the little fabric that exists in the town of Amenia. This can not be allowed to happen in the Town of Washington.
12. We need more massive growth that will enhance business and population and hopefully attract business and people so this depressing town and village will grow and provide more necessities to live
13. Has facilities that are open to locals, ie park, restaurant, spa, not exclusive or fenced
14. Common sense approach. Is the old Millbrook training center going to have the same negative impact as Migdale. Obviously not!! Migdale's plans won't support the community as much as the Mike Marcel's proposed plans. And it's less impact physically. Run down unsafe building!! No brainer!!!! I'm not opposed to Migdale's thought, but not sustainable.
15. Is no more than three stories in height.
16. Venues not offering all inclusive to the property is most important. To have visitors stay in location is not helpful to the local business community
17. I said not appropriate to all locations.
18. no objection to a hotel or restaurant of modest scale. emphasis on the word modest, which btw must be in keeping with the character of the area
19. Water usage
20. Consider infrastructure needs, e.g., power, water/sewer and cell tower reception. Also consider traffic, access, parking and NEIGHBORING homes/ uses.
21. We Ddo not want this development in Millbrook.
22. Project approval should NOT be driven by potential tax revenues.
23. avoiding locations that alter the rural residential areas
24. That it does not unfairly utilize resources, like the water table
25. ToW and surrounding areas have a dearth of nice places to stay for a reasonable cost. Careful, modestly scaled businesses that do not ONLY cater to the very rich seems appropriate.
26. Renovating the Cottonwood Inn would be perfect for increasing hospitality.
27. A person with a great vision should be allowed, encouraged, and supported in their efforts. NO ONE would deliberately make poor choices when their efforts were geared towards success
28. Looking forward to having more to do. More shops, more restaurants.. Small Movie theatre would be great!
29. There is concern about this, but not concern how un-attractive Stewarts is or the type of folks in the parking lot???
30. No Holiday inn, Ramada, Hilton etc., small boutique style preferred.
31. Each application should be evaluated. Too many variables to apply across the board
32. The comprehensive plan should not be changed, nor should spot zoning be allowed in the Town.
33. Just needs to be appropriate with the country or village setting. Small, unobtuse, quiet, tasteful.
34. No tenting, no glamping. A noise zoning code. Limited activities on site - no shooting, no fireworks, etc. Any trails for hiking requiring a buffer zone from neighboring properties. Ground fires in proper structures. Any food/ beverage with health department approvals and inspections. AirBB /RBO/ VRBO?etc - limited to 2 or 3 people of same surname, all registered and inspected by town on yearly basis with a yearly fee to cover the inspection costs. Adequate parking on site to avoid road parking and congestion. Job at locations to be 80-90% full time and at or above the minimum wage.
35. It can't be near existing homes, that would create a terrible disturbance. I would not support any inn that was built next an existing residential home.
36. Affordable rates
37. Support local businesses? If more businesses in town sold affordable needed items, the locals would do a fine job of supporting them. Who needs Alpaca sweaters that only the rich can afford. Need the Dept Store type thing

back. Town already too crowded with outsiders

- 38. I like the town as it is. Don't fool with success. I love rural nature of the town and the friendly town. I would enforce the zoning that exists now and understand what an oasis Washington/Millbrook is and keep it that way.
- 39. I dint answer question 4 b/c it's a hypothetical question. Who decides?
- 40. There should be options to choose from high end to more affordable but still look nice.
- 41. The premise that it would "bring customers" is a hypothesis that has not been tested or proven. Silo Ridge with MANY residents for example has done nothing for Amenia because they are able to exist without needing to interact with the town (restaurants and activities all on site).
- 42. No resorts. The town has plenty of good options for hospitality without allowing a housing development disguised as 'cabins' at Migdale.
- 43. It is critically important that any hospitality business is not a disturbance to existing homeowners either in terms of noise, light or the addition of structures. The village - and existing inns/B&Bs - really seems like the most appropriate places for hospitality in a town of this size.
- 44. The cottonwood inn would be ideal. Would not create traffic/parking issue in village. Use of existing structure/site would be sustainable.
- 45. People who live in the village should decide on the village People in the town should decide on the town
- 46. Limited size, architecture that is entirely acceptable to our rural environment
- 47. Independent business with character
- 48. Impact to environment is my #1 concern
- 49. No one wants a Hamptons in duchess co
- 50. Limitation on size/number of guests is #1 priority. The village cannot handle a large influx, the character will change entirely in a bad way with too many transient guests. The village currently has about all it can handle in the summer months.
- 51. I don't want more hospitality development.
- 52. Creates local jobs for local folk
- 53. We need more hospitality in/around Millbrook, just restrict # of rooms and impact.
- 54. We must Re-vitalize this area after local college closed. Tax income will help infrastructure upgrades
- 55. If venue would use natural resources (like water) that would affect neighboring residences and businesses.
- 56. Millbrook has not been ruined by "progress" yet. Don't start now.
- 57. Environmental - water / waste management Infrastructure - roads / accessibility
- 58. Intelligent, well-thought out development, consistent with the area; respectful to neighbors and community; and that is consistent with infrastructure.
- 59. Keep the rural character as defined in original comprehensive plan
- 60. The town should target high-end limited capacity hospitality. The design and architecture should be in line with the rural setting. If existing buildings can be repurposed that would be great.
- 61. No Lego town for a washed up restaurant person from NYC. Don't amend the comp plan just for him. It's spot zoning and illegal. Migdale will ruin this town and I'll move.

- 62. Hotels should stay in Village or extremely close to the Village, such as Wash Hollow where they already exist in a neglected state as long as there is adequate room for wetlands/water protection in Wash Hollow (?). The Village residents and businesses should NOT be the driving force of hotel developments in the Town. Village businesses will always be modest income producers in a small rural town location. Village residents vote in the Town's elections, and play an out-sized role in this hotel issue. But the Town residents cannot vote in Village elections, even though they are the customer base for Village businesses. Further, Village residents and Village elected officials know virtually nothing about the sensitive environmental habitats in the Town and many do not seem to care, frankly. The ONLY aspects of this Comp Plan that should be revisited are the multiple suggestions written into the 2015 plan that require further identification of sensitive enviro areas in the town and Zoning changes to protect those areas. If the environmentally sensitive areas were protected by zoning as required by the 2015 Comp Plan, projects like Migdale and their Disney world concepts would have been a non-starter prohibited from ever coming before the town PB (whose previous members praised and pushed for Migdale publicly). Yet here we are, trying to build hotels in the town after the Migdale debacle, which is obviously waiting in the wings to pounce again promising fairy tales to Village businesses. No doubt a tsunami of investors (many right here in Millbrook) are anxious to build and profit in this town in the coming months and years, unprotected sensitive environmental areas be damned - and there are a lot of them! But all of this depends on the the Town Board's priorities and the integrity of their planning boards: environmental protection for all (air, water, soil, climate resilience) or profit for a few insiders and/or outsiders waiting in the wings for this comp plan study to potentially help them along? Who are the investors behind Migdale potentially influencing the town leaders behind the scenes? Transparency matters in a democracy, especially in matters of planning and environmental protection.
- 63. Will not overly tax resources and services - water, sewer, etc
- 64. Preserves the residential character of the neighborhood. No AirBnB and VRBO short term rentals.
- 65. Provides conservation and public recreational element for those in more rural districts outside of the village and hamlets. Where possible, re-use of old railbeds as non-motorized corridors.
- 66. Rehabbing the Cottonwood would be great. If there is a business in the village that is not being used and can be properly converted to small lodging that would be good. Converting the old IES house/building to a small boutique hotel would be OK. I like the idea of keeping lodging in the general area of the Motel, in the village, or the area where Bennet was (if appropriately done). I should be in the area of 10 - 15 rooms. I prefer reuse of the current building but would not be opposed to new construction if small and done appropriately so it matches the Millbrook character ie the area of the current Motel, Bennett. Migdale was going to be too big and expansive If the vineyard was going to do something to accommodate a small number of people who may want to stay there, I would be OK with that.
- 67. New hospitality venues can be kept within business districts utilizing and enhancing existing buildings. This would also encourage patronage of other local business's
- 68. Should not spill noise; should not be ugly; should not have large outdoor signs; should not significantly add to traffic clutter; should not be a tax burden; should not be a place for selling and consuming illicit drugs.
- 69. no camping or glamping please!
- 70. Appropriate dining facilities for the guests.
- 71. Driveways need to be single wide only. Not attractive nuisances, for parking.

- 72.** dont change the character of the area
- 73.** Should not impact zoning laws. No free standing houses that require new zoning.
- 74.** We would love to have more of a restaurant/inn/bar option in town to allow for local residents to visit in addition to hotel guests. We are open to a large hotel if it is discrete/away from road and has a character in line with local setting. Do not disrupt the sight lines & views like that Silo Ridge!
- 75.** The purpose should not be to generate tax revenue.
- 76.** Small outfits only, respectful of the local environment and historical characteristics. Need to pay close attention to vehicular traffic, no trucks or delivery vehicles on rural roads.
- 77.** I used to live in Berkshire which is rapidly becoming unrecognizable due to groups coming and overdeveloping properties. Noise, congestion, building not suitable to aesthetic of area are a real issue.
- 78.** No new hospitality wanted or needed. prefer look and feel of our community as is.
- 79.** New Paltz was a very cute town. Over building along Main Street in the past 10 years has turned it unrecognizable. I want Millbrook to stay the same. It's rural. It's quiet. We don't need to be Rhinebeck. We don't tourists, who then want to build homes here. There is nothing to buy. Land is impossible to find. Homes for sale are rare and expensive. Why do we need to change?
- 80.** This is a rural community and this was an important consideration for us to buy a property in Millbrook / Town of Washington. You are risking this unique characteristic in opening the door to development that could get out of hand. Imagine the worst case: a casino in the area. Do we really want that? We say "no".
- 81.** I also think immediate neighbors should be able to weigh in on their support or concerns about any hospitality ventures.
- 82.** I am worried about the Town's water and other environmental concerns.
- 83.** There is nowhere for friends and family to stay that is clean and decent these days. It would be a great additive to our beautiful town. Such a shame it wasn't done years ago with Bennet. Would have been a wonderful revival!
- 84.** Type- eg Airbnb, motel, inn, etc.
- 85.** Prefer use of existing buildings. No new building of 2,3,4-story hotels.
- 86.** Projects well insulated (ie on large areas of land) and/or otherwise with limited visibility are particularly appealing - regardless of all other factors and should be considered as such. Ie the "scale" of the project cannot be considered in a vacuum. a small 20 room highly visible commercial structure could be far LESS appealing than a large 200 room structure with aesthetic appeal and/or well insulated.
- 87.** it only matters that it serves customers as a nice place to stay and also will keep many visitors here longer when we have places for them to stay. This is a desperate need in our community!
- 88.** No chains or big box hotels.
- 89.** Do not want hospitality use of any type. This is a biased set of questions leading toward such uses.
- 90.** Most importantly, proposed projects should be reviewed and made public asap after receipt. Town consultants and internal code review should make their findings public - thereby, eliminating nonsense gossip, streamlining application process and ultimately approving or denying an application.
- 91.** I feel an Inn would be great for Millbrook but only within the village where it would fit in properly in a commercial setting and not in the town which should remain rural.
- 92.** fit the character of the village and town
- 93.** I think any hospitality location is best to support and be located within the Village. I do not think the Comprehensive Plan should be amended to add this to the Town.
- 94.** Prime locations for country inns would be. South Millbrook which used to have both the Red Pheasant and Ramble Hill. The area where the tennis courts used to be and the area where the troopers barracks, Charlotte's and the Blacksmith Shop restaurant used to be should be considered. South Millbrook used to be an attractive and vibrant hamlet. Allowing some country inn type places as well as tasteful multi family (condo type) development there would be good for both the Town and the Village and not involve our large acre zones.
- 95.** Why does area #6 include the proposed businesses at Silverbrook Manor? this has never been part of the business district in Washington Hollow!
- 96.** Water use limited, parking lot size limited, noise prohibited, number of rooms and facilities limited
- 97.** Let's develop the business of the village, it needs it! Millerton has some amazing shops and is a good model. We are so fortunate to have the rural nature of the TOW, which is so special, much more so than any hotel will be!
- 98.** Should be near Route 82 or 44 and be compatible with rural setting and the character of Millbrook - colonial or traditional styles.
- 99.** I think that the Town should find other ways to raise tax revenue than allowing development, including hospitality
- 100.** The hospitality venue should not include a housing estate or mix-used commercial or multi-family dwellings
- 101.** Noise and light pollution are very important issues related to allowing any business expansion as well. Those factors and their impact on residents MUST be considered regardless if the commercial business (or not-for-profit) is in the town or village. Millbrook is a special place and all potential problems should be anticipated and PRE-addressed with the appropriate regulations.
- 102.** Development must not result in disturbance of environmentally sensitive areas or loss of forested and open areas.
- 103.** highly important not to disturb areas that are sensitive environmentally

QUESTION 8 - What benefits would you like to see come from potential new hospitality venues in the town? *[Write in box below]*

1. upscale restaurants
2. revenue for the Town, more affordable businesses that everyone can utilize.
3. small impact e.g. troutbeck
4. Local employment
5. More non-resident visitors to spend their money locally at various businesses and locations of interest.
6. Visually attractive hotels, dining facilities and public house serving alcohol. Visually attractive mean new construction or renovations of existing building that are sensitive to, and in keeping with, the best characteristics of the surrounding area.
7. Jobs and increased sales for local businesses.
8. Support local businesses. Provide rooms for people here for local functions.
9. I would like to see old structures rehabilitated or refurbished to both beautify and restore Town history, while also attracting visitors to the Town.
10. reusing and rehabilitating old structures for hospitality use.
11. I'd like to see residents offered employment opportunities.
12. Increase the vitality of the village. Provide lodging for visitors in places consistent with the rural character of the community.
13. Increased revenue and sustainability for Millbrook village and mabbettville shops and restaurants.
14. Provide lodging for guests of homeowners, people visiting the area, provide a connection to the the Millbrook village Mabbettville hamlet businesses.
15. It cannot be either a large establishment or rarefied activity-laden bubble or both -- that will certainly annoy the wealthy neighboring land owners and will have no benefit to the vibrancy of the village either. It should attract a crowd that is interested in exploring the area, who shop locally and patronize restaurants. It should be open to the public for local residents to enjoy the establishment. An inn/small hotel with a bar/restaurant, for example is ideal.
16. N/a
17. Increases in revenue for local businesses as well as the town, an increase in local jobs, as well as a "Destination" for Day Trippers and Overnights.
18. A restaurant and inn for visitors that can be used for hospitality events such as weddings.
19. A comfortable place for relatives to stay if needed
20. To provide lodging for visitors in small scale inns designed to be consistent with the rural character of the overall community. Support for businesses in the Town.
21. High quality only
22. I think the greatest benefit would be actual places for visitors to stay overnight if, for instance, someone were in the area for a wedding or other special event.
23. A small hotel might showcase our unique attributes like the Hotel Tivoli does in Tivoli.
24. Increased employment opportunities
25. Additional lodging opportunities that foster economic development within the Village and Town, support of local businesses.
26. Employment opportunities Tax revenue, both sales and real estate tax Bolster businesses in Town and Village
27. Revenue for town
28. Affordable accommodations for out of town guests
29. Patronage to local businesses and restaurants. Low impact on current infrastructure. all and any new infrastructure financially covered (and bonded) by proposed development, not taxpayers.
30. Overnight accommodations for visiting speakers, authors, researchers, wedding guests possibly (but not on a large scale).
31. Adaptive re-use of existing structures. Investment in ecologically-sensitive design with compatible rural aesthetics which will bring tax revenue. Accommodations for visitors to support the future Thorne Building Community Center programs, Halcyon Hall/Bennett Park, area amenities.
32. It would be nice to have a place for guests to stay. With the teardown and re-development of the Cottonwood and Training Center properties, I worry that rooms will be priced for city folks and be out-of-reach for everyday middle class residents & guests. Cottonwood never should have been sold & closed. They had plenty of rooms and reasonable prices. Now we get developers seeking to maximize profits for their same ilk. Ask me why I'm leaving Millbrook/Washington in the next 18 months ?
33. More options
34. I do not want to see new hospitality
35. Some flexibility and community understanding
36. New Jobs
37. Business for the Village
38. None
39. Not looking for any new hospitality venues in the town other than perhaps a B&B allowed already by special permit.
40. Taxes, being an amenity for the village, bring visitors to area and businesses, bring jobs
41. Possibility to make Millbrook the venue of choice for interesting conferences, festivals, other events that appeal to a more diverse interests... science, arts, landscape etc/
42. FOOT TRAFFIC
43. More to offer in the area, though, being done responsibly while taking into consideration the all of the Town of Washington residents when making these decisions so that is does not compromise the residents right to have quiet enjoyment of their property.
44. I'd love to see more amenities available to residents as part of the hospitality developments. I'd also like see more tourism result in more and longer lasting restaurant and bar options in the village of Millbrook.
45. Support of local businesses. Otherwise, there are no benefits.

46. Bring a modest amount of visitors to area. Additional lodging for residents' families and friends.
47. Local employment opportunities, dining open to general public,
48. Local employment opportunities. Dining open to general public.
49. Tax base
50. Revenue to the town and village for improvements
51. Nice places to stay for friends/family when having large parties
52. Support local businesses + restaurants. Have a little bit more local tourism in the same way that Rhinebeck does (or even Millerton)
53. Influx of revenue to local businesses. More accommodation options for people traveling to the area, since it is currently so limited.
54. Currently there aren't enough options in town and village to meet the needs (or events which require overnight stays) at Millbrook School, Millbrook Horse Trials, Orvis, the Winery and other private schools nearby
55. would help some existing businesses with additional tax revenue
56. Revenue for the Town and much needed economic stimulus for the Village. For the first time the master plan committee is composed of both Town and Village residents. It is a positive move to keep both municipalities in mind when this revision is done.
57. Share tax burden and bring business to the Village. Employ local residents.
58. As I said I think any new hospitality should be within the Village (not 'town' as in this question). Benefits would be the potential for in-Village foot traffic that may help support local businesses and restaurants. Also, there is a potential for an in-hospitality restaurant which the local community can visit, however, I don't want this to drain business from existing Village restaurants.
59. More people being able to stay in our area. When your child is getting married and you want it to be held in your hometown, there are limited venues and places to stay. Tired of newly arrived residents trying to limit the potential business opportunities that exist in the Village or Town.
60. Instead of bed & breakfast, motels, etc. I would like the town to allow for Air B&B's, VRBO on current resident's property
61. additional rooms and restaurant for outside guests.
62. Using local business
63. Supports local businesses
64. more vibrant town/village, new businesses, more offerings and variety to support all different communities (locals and visitors)
65. Provide upscale lodging for visitors. Generate jobs.... and have a good restaurant for the community... The Inns would help generate foot traffic for all the businesses within the village.
66. I believe that the all-in costs of any such development will vastly exceed any benefits to the Town.
67. Great for local businesses.
68. There would not be any benefits, but there would be a variety of costs, including adverse fiscal impacts, not covered in this survey.
69. Amenities that residents and their families / guests can have access to: additional choices of lovely places to stay overnight or a short vacation. Potentially a health and well-being spa facility (like Mohonk), including indoor and outdoor swimming options; healthy fine dining; if properties are large parcels affixing conservation easements / committing to "open space" and/or Dutchess Land Conservancy - with caveat that Millbrook Town and Village residents be allowed to access designated public trails on the property.
70. More restaurants/bars/dining options for residents
71. Outside of Hotel's and bed and breakfast, We are in need of a Supermarket
72. Continuing support for art and music.
73. More availability of rooms. Fun venue for spa/dining. Tax revenues for town
74. Accommodations for over night visits.
75. It will be great that people can stay here as a weekend getaway and then spend time in our town and spend money here
76. Millbrook seems to appreciably lag other well known hudson-river valley towns with regard to local attraction, fine dining and destination stay opportunities. The latter clearly drives the former. It would be of tremendous benefit to locals hoping to host events as well as to business owners who would invariably benefit from regular "paying" traffic. There are tremendous potential tax benefits to the town, and it would increase the appeal to quality vendors of operating retail in town.
77. A bar or restaurant that is open to non hotel guests and/or other kinds of programming that is open to non hotel guests. No Silo Ridge (insular gated community or crazy big construction). Troutbeck is a little expensive but they have done a wonderful job of restoring an old estate and giving people reason to visit the area without disrupting the surrounding area.
78. Ability for people who enjoy what Dutchess County has to offer and who would frequent local businesses and services
79. would allow friends and relatives of local folks to find accommodations in the area
80. Places for people who are visiting residents or drawing people who are interested in supporting local businesses
81. Increased foot traffic in town to support local businesses allowing those businesses to increase operational hours and encouraging new businesses to open making Village and town an economically healthier more vibrant community for the sustainable future. More (or really any at all) hospitality options for friends and family to visit Millbrook.
82. More availability of venues , music, food for my use as well. Make rents reasonable for these businesses.
83. The TOW needs to develop community activities that will attract full time families and create a culture and a vision for a healthy lifestyle for full time residents.
84. More business for local businesses
85. Bring a reasonable amount of new visitors to the Town who would support our local businesses and allow for the addition of more businesses (restaurants, retail) that would also be attractive to full-time residents.
86. High end restaurant dining to attract vibrancy to the town.
87. Taxes, increased use of town businesses
88. More money for the town. More visitors to the town and an increase in jobs.
89. I would like to see more places for visitors and guests to stay that would also benefit other local businesses.
90. varied offerings. accommodations suitable for families and pets. more diversity.
91. Tax benefit and diversity of town visitors
92. More business- for example there are barely any restaurants that serve

lunch on a Saturday

- 93. Bringing families to the area to enjoy the parks and hiking.
- 94. Our restaurants and shops would see more traffic to supplement their businesses. Perhaps some of the stores would become down to earth and fun to go in. The high end that only the well off can afford is depressing.
- 95. places for people to stay , hotel etc.
- 96. A place for visitors to stay
- 97. Don't think any are needed
- 98. Preserving existing buildings and putting them back to use. Maintaining and improving the environment.
- 99. Revenue
- 100. Restaurants and affordable accommodations
- 101. More restaurants and other shops in nearby towns
- 102. Appropriate and useful businesses in the village.
- 103. More restaurants, better gourmet food options, better take out options.
- 104. Providing jobs for our community
- 105. We see few if any benefits. There are many more risks. We should promote more small business in the town that could attract day visitors.
- 106. If the new hospitality provides income for local businesses without losing the charm of the village then it should be considered.
- 107. I would like to venues that are intended to attract a reasonable amount of attention/business to the Millbrook and our local shops/restaurants. I would like to see a slight expansion to the amount and variety of shops/restaurants in town, but understand that they need more business to support their operations, which new hospitality venues would provide. I would also like to see contributions to the town tax base from the hospitality activities.
- 108. A few small B&Bs is ok. But I don't think we need this.
- 109. Accessibility for locals to use facilities.
- 110. Tourism, attractiveness of community, enhancing assessment values of Town, jobs, accommodating current needs
- 111. Increase restaurants, shops in town
- 112. To help the business in Millbrook
- 113. Jobs and tax revenue
- 114. I would like to see a draw to the Village. More opportunities for customers equals more business.
- 115. More Tax Revenue, Stores, Restaurants and Jobs.
- 116. Taxes
- 117. Generate tax revenue. New hospitality venues to explore.
- 118. No new hospitality wanted or needed. prefer look and feel of our community as is.
- 119. New business, restaurants, and shops to attract young families and tourists
- 120. More jobs
- 121. A high end gym and spa that locals can use exactly like Mirbeau in Rhinebeck
- 122. Expand tax base, increased utilization of businesses especially restaurants.
- 123. Better dining, more activity in town

- 124. Increased tax revenue and increases in local business
- 125. Support of local businesses
- 126. Jobs
- 127. For Millbrook keeping it a vital country town, but not a "Southampton" type one. Country comfort with elegance but still affordability so as not to alienate long term residents who want Millbrook to feel like their town not a tourist venue.
- 128. None. It would harm the town immeasurably.
- 129. Income, taxes
- 130. New restaurants - the current options are awful for the type of town this is. There are empty places on Main Street and also outside of Barbaro, Les Baux, there is no fine dining. For a town like Millbrook, there needs to be more choice. Of course the benefit is to attract larger tax base and provide residents better options - otherwise we drive to Rhinebeck - which we have to do all the time.
- 131. Support for local businesses, including local agriculture.
- 132. A restaurant or town that locals can visit as well. Troutbeck is a bit expensive but the way that has been developed—using old buildings, adding charm, having some programming open to public, is a nice model.
- 133. Build community for the neighborhood events or possibly markets craft fairs
- 134. Convenient lodging for visitors attending local events
- 135. Better restaurants and activities for non hotel guests as well.
- 136. Support for local businesses.
- 137. more dining options
- 138. A place for residents and visitors to enjoy serving good food and quality lodging without having to drive 30 min. Having a similar venue to Troutbeck is appealing
- 139. more tax revenue
- 140. restaurants, tax revenue
- 141. Bring more revenue and people to patron Existing businesses
- 142. Re-invigoration of Village downtown area, although skeptical aside form seasonal weekends and vacation periods, there will be a huge trickle down benefit
- 143. We need more places for guests of locals and other visitors to stay. There are not enough accommodations now. I'd like to see more people in the Village, shopping and dining.
- 144. Revitalize the village center with businesses and people; small b&bs or inns would provide potential customers for restaurants and businesses. Could encourage a crafts "industry" in the area that would draw more people.
- 145. Don't think we really need new hospitality venues
- 146. More public accommodations and increased support of local businesses. Adding to the tax role is also a benefit.
- 147. supporting the local business' if the village can support the parking and congestion
- 148. Increased tax revenue
- 149. More revenue and upbeat positivity. New location for local community to attend such as new restaurants and stores, green space, gardens, walking paths , bike riding paths.
- 150. Aesthetically appealing venue that provides high quality service and

products that are affordable to a majority of residents, not just out of town visitors they will attract. A primary goal should be that the venue appeals to people who will patronize other local business in addition to the venue itself.

- 151.** A choice of places with a range of prices for people to stay who may be visiting in the area for another purpose or who would like to visit.
- 152.** I'd love a new restaurant space, some other entertaining spaces perhaps, a nice place for relatives and friends to stay, and increased foot traffic through town to aid businesses.
- 153.** New and equitable tax revenues for the town. Low environmental impact.
- 154.** And you venue is not important. More hospitality would only hurt the town of Washington
- 155.** More first responders
- 156.** Increase in foot traffic in the village to support additional businesses and restaurants. We would favor several small inns in different locations close to the village that would not overwhelm the town, rather than large-scale projects that would involve years of construction and would concentrate traffic in one area. Also, the rooms/amenities should be affordable to residents, not just wealthy visitors.
- 157.** Tax revenue
- 158.** support and boost existing local businesses. being a net tax contributor
- 159.** Business/ tax revenue
- 160.** Lower taxes
- 161.** More dining venues, event spaces
- 162.** Support of local businesses
- 163.** Lodging for family to stay when visiting family in the area that do not have enough room.
- 164.** Revitalization of the town and village
- 165.** Customers for local stores
- 166.** Local businesses support
- 167.** positive activity for restaurants and shops.
- 168.** Places for visitors to stay for more than day trip
- 169.** none
- 170.** Provide rooms and restaurant facilities to the community. An owner that would respect the community. tax revenue
- 171.** I, like many other residents, and not interested in seeing big time hospitality here. Example- the reason we are even completing this survey- migdale. We don't want it. We don't want this outsider to come in and profit off our backs. We won't see a gain but he will.
- 172.** improvement and revitalization of older existing buildings.
- 173.** Appropriate business to support tourism and guest accommodation for village and town residents. The rural feel must be maintained and rooms limited. It is essential that the businesses are environmentally friendly and do not adversely affect neighbors and their present life style. No high rise and density controlled
- 174.** Greater support for local businesses
- 175.** Revenue to the Town
- 176.** Increased business to the village, support local venues like Vineyards and Horse Trials.

- 177.** I would like anything that is built improve the town/village infrastructure not just the new hospitality venue.
- 178.** employment, support for and increase in number and quality of in-town businesses, particularly restaurants , improvement in area water quality
- 179.** direct revenue to the town
- 180.** More tourism and interests into town
- 181.** Not to pricey but clean and welcoming place for friends and relatives and other visitors to stay.
- 182.** Tax revenue
- 183.** Places for family to stay while in town. Will bring in restaurants and shops that can occupy existing empty buildings.
- 184.** None. There should be no new hospitality venues in most of the Town of Washington. I only want to see a natural resources inventory that properly protects fragile habitats through zoning changes and stops the rampant potential environmental destruction by multiple developers headed our way, and from those developers who are already living here. We are working on the wrong priorities in this Comp Plan review despite the sincere motivations of this well-meaning committee. Protecting the land/enviro should have come well before any hotel discussions, which seem to all have been triggered by the developers of Migdale and their potential friends in the Town and on the town boards who praised that project publicly.
- 185.** More business for locals
- 186.** Housing for guests. Perhaps a modest but very nice restaurant/bar, particularly with a pleasant and quiet outdoor area.
- 187.** Jobs,
- 188.** Jobs
- 189.** Tax dollars and customers for town businesses.
- 190.** If in the village- tax revenue and support of local businesses. We should already be taxing air brb type places. But no fake resort bullshit place that will only increase burden on our water and roads with no local benefit
- 191.** Support of local businesses in the town, raise tax revenue
- 192.** More consistent business for existing shops, cafes and restaurants
- 193.** Don't see many benefits coming from new hospitality venues. Those we have don't seem crowded!
- 194.** I have lived in the area close to the village for about 25 years I find it hard to accept how the businesses in the village have changed...many of the new businesses I feel cater to those that are more financially well off ...there used to be the Corner News..the Millbrook Department store..at least Reardon Briggs is still there and embodies the small town feel...whatever comes in has to be more affordable for a wider group ...
- 195.** -local employment - places for our relatives to stay when visiting - additional - longer tourist visits (\$)
- 196.** More thoughtful high-end businesses opening in and around Millbrook but with limits. More business for existing businesses.
- 197.** Help tax base l
- 198.** Additional foot traffic in Millbrook village to support local businesses, especially existing and future restaurants in the village.
- 199.** Hopefully it would increase the number of restaurants in the town of Washington the village of Millbrook.
- 200.** Lower Taxes for seniors of low income
- 201.** Further use of shops and restaurants

- 202.** Further use of our shops and restaurants
- 203.** Enhanced recreational activities, additional cultural opportunities, greater variety of dining choices, attractions/ activities that appeal to local residents as well as visitors.
- 204.** Increased business for existing businesses in the Town
- 205.** Would provide more choice of accommodation for out of town guests. Bring some revenue to the town.
- 206.** Whatever is done must keep the beautiful, natural, rural nature of Millbrook intact.
- 207.** Affordable hospitality VERY accessible to village businesses
- 208.** Increased revenue for existing businesses and opportunity for some more to open, e.g., cinema, medical offices
- 209.** accommodation for family and other visitors to the area
- 210.** More rooms available.
- 211.** More foot traffic in the village. There is no foot traffic and every business struggles. Local residents do not support local businesses.
- 212.** Contribution to tax base, support of existing local businesses, encouragement of new businesses
- 213.** Increase commercial activity for town businesses and job opportunities and provide additional tax revenue
- 214.** economic activity for local businesses, jobs for local residents
- 215.** More tax revenue and traffic for local businesses
- 216.** Jobs
- 217.** To provide out of town guests and visitors a comfortable place to stay within a ten minute drive of everything in the TOW
- 218.** Conservation of climate resilient habitats, maintaining and enhancement of bio diversity corridors (see NYS DEC). Appropriate public recreational access.
- 219.** Hospitality would bring improved restaurants and shopping to the village.
- 220.** There are no benefits. Who would be staying there?
- 221.** It might benefit existing businesses or attract new businesses in the village
- 222.** Environmentally friendly venues in proximity of existing commercial spaces. Preferably repurposing of existing inns.
- 223.** More business, including restaurants and retail.
- 224.** better economic support for the area
- 225.** In the Village, I would like to see visitors patronizing the shops and restaurants.
- 226.** Lower property taxes for residential properties
- 227.** support local businesses with out ruining what is special about the town
- 228.** Small scale hotels & inns would provide a helpful influx of clientele for the local businesses & for residents' guests convenience as well as visitors. But MUST be small-scale! No big developments, & must use existing zoning.
- 229.** More dining More opportunity to host family events
- 230.** More good paying jobs and more business generated for existing businesses.
- 231.** Ability to explore old buildings not available to public, restaurants,

- places to get together, SOME hotel rooms.
- 232.** Restaurants and Facilities open to residents, additional foot traffic for the Village businesses
- 233.** Creates local jobs for local folk
- 234.** increased beds, tax revenue, control of location and size support businesses in town and village
- 235.** Additional jobs for local residents or support for local businesses.
- 236.** A small Inn in town where friends could stay
- 237.** Increased revenue and vitality to existing businesses
- 238.** None
- 239.** Thanks
- 240.** More horses More quiet No disgusting developers ,less traffic and NO more car dealership expansions
- 241.** The only benefits flow to the developers and owners of the venues. The "employment" opportunities are illusory - the jobs are for low paying cleaning and maintenance positions which end up getting filled with workers from Poughkeepsie. The only benefit is if an old, beautiful building/land is saved and repurposed.
- 242.** To be honest- I like things the way they are. It might be nice to have a new restaurant to go to, but if it involves huge scale development- it will ruin OUR town. I don't want congestion, extra people..... frankly, if development were to come in, it has to abide by our existing guidelines. I think our town's tax revenue is just fine. Any development should be carefully considered. I don't want to live in another suburb. Things are getting too busy as is. I see minimal benefits! Keep our land rural- that's where the real potential will be found!!!!!! Conserve, conserve, conserve!!!!!!
- 243.** To support local business in the area. To attract more revenue for the town to be able to maintain the beauty of the town.
- 244.** Hiring local residents and providing amenities for locals free of charge
- 245.** Business and cultural activity
- 246.** *places for my guests to stay. *more lively in the village *busier shops
- 247.** Businesses supported. Inclusiveness of population.
- 248.** Support local businesses. Services available to locals— even for a fee— but add some life to Village of Millbrook and local training and hiring.
- 249.** Revenue for Millbrook businesses
- 250.** Ability to host my own guests, adding a restaurant, bar for us to meet in
- 251.** Amenities that town residents could enjoy - restaurant, spa, events. Destination for out of town friends and family. Energy and increased foot traffic in the village.
- 252.** Employment predominantly local population (necessary training to be given) facilities including activities and dining available to the local population, additional taxes to the town and village
- 253.** We need something that not only draws business for any new hospitality venues but also for existing businesses in the Town of Washington as well. We don't need a proposed "shining star" that outshines other businesses. We need neighborly support.
- 254.** i am generally not interested in new hospitality venues
- 255.** There are no real benefits, maybe some tax benefits at the cost of living in a beautiful un molested area .
- 256.** Places for family and friends to stay. Bring business to the area.

- 257.** Expand the restaurant scene within the village and help support existing businesses
- 258.** affordable public accessibility
- 259.** Other than supplying rooms I believe inviting so many city dwellers will have negative impact on our village (rices go up, traffic, tourists on the street, businesses catering to such tourists, etc
- 260.** There would have to be either a clear and realistic connection to an increase in business in the village or the town, or substantial taxes, to outweigh the many potential downsides to adding hospitality in any meaningful way.
- 261.** Increased traffic to local businesses
- 262.** Jobs for locals. Bringing more people to shop at local businesses.
- 263.** Our stores and restaurants can stay open and thrive.
- 264.** Better restaurants that are kid friendly.
- 265.** Attracts people to the village. Provides hotel rooms
- 266.** more restaurants, hiring locals
- 267.** Tax dollars to benefit our schools.
- 268.** There would be no benefit
- 269.** Actual hospitality
- 270.** Affordable and nice place for family to stay when visiting Support local restaurants- not encourage people to stay on property
- 271.** Better ability for local businesses to thrive.
- 272.** AN INCREASE IN VISITORS TO THE AREA BY MAKING MILLBROOK MORE OF A DESTINATION AND PROVIDING AN INCREASE IN FOOT TRAFFIC AND CUSTOMER VISITS TO THE LOCAL BUSINESSES. PROVIDING MUCH NEEDED ACCOMODATION FOR VISITORS TO THE AREA THIS WILL ALLOW FOR MORE EVENTS, BOTH PUBLIC AND PRIVATE, TO BE HELD IN AND AROUND THE TOW, AGAIN BOOSTING LOCAL BUSINESSES OF ALL TYPES STRUCTURED INCENTIVES FOR OWNERS OF SAID VENUES TO HIRE LOCAL RESIDENTS WHENEVER POSSIBLE WOULD BE BENEFICIAL TO OUR LOCAL POPULATION, ESPECIALLY AMONG YOUNG PEOPLE INCREASED TAX REVENUE FOR TOW
- 273.** Would like to see some increased traffic for current businesses
- 274.** Increased revenues for Town and its businesses
- 275.** Support of businesses in town and the ability to provide lodging for family and friends visiting Millbrook
- 276.** Increased tax revenue in support of schools, parks, environmental protection/preservation and property tax relief.
- 277.** Places for people to stay when visiting and for events to that these visitors are able to interact with the town. Scale and use should be appropriate for that - not allow it to be something that is able to exist outside interacting with our village and businesses.
- 278.** More vibrant down town, greater use of existing businesses. Places for out of town guests to stay
- 279.** Extended family could stay and enjoy everything Millbrook and surrounding area has to offer.
- 280.** More foot traffic in the Village
- 281.** Tax revenue and more income for area businesses
- 282.** Employment opportunities for local residents; affordable lodging for visitors; anything that would act as a draw to this area so as to support existing businesses.
- 283.** It would bring people and business to the town.
- 284.** Become part of the township family and care
- 285.** provide income to town and stores; make town a destination for shopping
- 286.** affordable amenities for local residents
- 287.** see above
- 288.** Lower taxes
- 289.** Support of local business.
- 290.** N/a I don't want any new "hospitality" venues.
- 291.** Added tax revenue
- 292.** Tax dollars to support the community without negatively impacting the character of the town and village.
- 293.** I am against hospitality venues in general as I believe they will continue to undermine the rural character and small town feel. Given the direction the town seems to be moving, these venues will likely cater to the wealthy, further contributing to the elitism that is growing in this community. I understand the need to increase tax revenue but the town needs to remain affordable for full-time residents and I worry that increased "tourism" will lead to increased prices for all. A balance must be struck.
- 294.** I'm concerned about shadowy groups like FOTW and my millbrook trying to use money to influence the residents of the town and village with their fear and smear campaigns, when most of their supporters are either newcomers, part-timers or both. It's also unclear who runs and who funds FOTW.
- 295.** Support for local restaurants, markets and tourist sites.
- 296.** -Public access to land / hiking trails -Preserving said land / rural setting -Having a place for friends and family to stay when they come visit
- 297.** Provide accommodations nearby for out-of-town visitors.
- 298.** A safe place for families to enjoy and use.
- 299.** Being economical for more than just the wealthy.
- 300.** Local place for family to stay during holiday and special family events.
- 301.** I see no benefit
- 302.** New restaurant(s) and opportunities for gathering with friends/family
- 303.** They leave quickly.
- 304.** Beautiful and interesting old buildings can be repurposed Additional support for existing businesses Additional tax revenue
- 305.** Things that protect rural character while brining in outside interest, money, people that interact with the village.
- 306.** Revenue
- 307.** Bringing revenue to Washington
- 308.** Increased activity in village although it's hard to say if that would actually happen
- 309.** Increased commercial tax revenue to help reduce the current unjustifiably high property taxes
- 310.** Affordable lodging for out of town family/guests to stay when visiting short term
- 311.** I would like to see some change, some new vibrant hospitality venues, but I don't think the village could handle it. It needs to be far enough out of the village and more towards 44A or 343 to Amenia.

- 312. Tax ratables, support for local businesses
- 313. Tax money, support of village businesses.
- 314. More, and more affordable places to stay closer to Millbrook School (boarding school). More customers for local restaurants and shops.
- 315. Improvement to the area in regards to quality hospitality development, lifestyle, and not only able to service the needs for more accommodations but able to attract guests to the area that lifts up the community to higher caliber and brings business to the village, town, and Hudson Valley.
- 316. I see no benefits to new hospitality venues.
- 317. A small country inn with restaurant would seem appropriate. Nothing too grand or out of scale with the rural nature of the community. Something akin to the Mayflower Inn in Ct. would be a positive for the community.
- 318. Would not like to have any new hospitality venues in the Town of Washington
- 319. A place for people to stay when there are things going on in the area like the Millbrook Horse Trials. Many of these people have to travel to Poughkeepsie to sleep. Something quaint & moderately priced. Like the Cottonwood Motel.
- 320. increased tax revenue
- 321. Attract short term visitors
- 322. tax income, more amenities (restaurants, cafes/bars)
- 323. A comfortable level of visitors that can support local businesses. Jobs.
- 324. More tax revenue and increased tourist dollars
- 325. Give back to the community-maybe a park or pool
- 326. The right kind of hospitality venues will a higher quality of life for residences and help the community become more vibrant. When the community center and theater is build, who would be going there if guests have no place to stay? We need to consider the future. How can we inspire the younger generation to grow up here and stay? Millbrook needs more short-term housing for parents of students, families and friends of residences with children and pets. More housing to support the ability for people to work, live, and enjoy and celebrate Millbrook's bucolic charm. It would be nice to have more places to meet friends for tea or coffee during the day.
- 327. taxes, people shopping in the town or village
- 328. Tax revenue is the major benefit
- 329. Local business growth.
- 330. Full time jobs for local people, not out of area people. Tax revenue for the town.
- 331. Ability to support local retailers
- 332. More customers for local businesses and more good jobs
- 333. Economic vitality
- 334. More places for people to stay when visiting
- 335. Bring additional support for local businesses and eliminate the need for short-term rentals (i.e. AirBnB) opening up housing stock for more permanent residents.
- 336. I would not like to see any new hospitality venue in town. I think we have what we need, and we don't need to let outsiders in to make some millions on our backs.
- 337. Increased tax revenue. Increased revenue for local businesses. Increased business for local contractors. Increased tax revenue allows local government to make infrastructure and public benefit improvements e.g.

- parks & services, public recreational programs.
- 338. More hotel type space in keeping with the size of the town. (In other words not "resort" type structures. Small hospitality suites
- 339. A place for friends, families and visitors (ie of Innisfree gardens, Millbrook winery, the Village, etc) who need to stay for a night or two.
- 340. No benefit at all to the town, it would destroy the beauty and character of our community
- 341. Tax benefits to the town, revenue to local businesses and individuals (housekeepers, landscapers, etc)
- 342. An increase in business for the village
- 343. Lower Taxes
- 344. More dollars spent in village
- 345. Jobs for teens. Jobs for adults that provide a living wage in our town and / or benefits such as paying for college classes, providing on-site childcare.
- 346. Support local businesses
- 347. N/a
- 348. Reasonable priced "village" stores
- 349. Bring in more business
- 350. More dining options in the Town
- 351. I don't want it at all if possible. I don't see many benefits, and I do see many downsides to the character of the area and our natural resources. I doubt it would be well regulated and people with money and connections would take this 'in' - if we change policies- and continue to expand until our whole area is changed.
- 352. Preservation of history/important structures
- 353. Increase revenue
- 354. Hopefully will support the local businesses
- 355. Give visitors a place to stay while in the area. Help local residents with business and employment opportunities
- 356. We need to grow!
- 357. Ice cream parlor, bed and breakfast, wine store/bar, outdoor store (hiking, biking, etc), bakery, health food store
- 358. Taxes generated from hospitality use, additional eating venues.
- 359. Creation of new well paying jobs that are not just temporary.
- 360. More accommodations for visitors to the township and area. A source of revenue for the town.
- 361. Funnel the people towards business. Bring some live entertainment that area people can also attend. Drive up property values. Breath life to desolate areas. Help give local teens jobs.
- 362. There are a limited number of motel/hotel and B&B rooms available in the TOW. If the demand for more units exists such venues would be welcome, in appropriate locations.
- 363. Liven up the town of Millbrook which I characterized as sleepy most of the time. The town will need some additional people to help keep the Thorne cultural center busy.
- 364. More to do!
- 365. Offering employment to locals of all ages, abilities, and skill base. Also, clientele or visitors should not have to be of a certain social status- all classes of people Should be able to afford to visit.

- 366.** Life in the town and village. It is very alienating for so many people
- 367.** Job creation
- 368.** Lower taxes
- 369.** The current code was well researched and written. Between air bnb and an existing hotel, I am not sure we need much more
- 370.** Jobs for local people so they can afford to stay in the area.
- 371.** I think small bed and breakfasts, 1-3 family homes the offer short term rentals are great. They benefit the residents that call this place home. Large scale stuff is not going to benefit us, and I believe generally it's not wanted. This review of the plan is a path for a leach attorney and a leach city person to come and exploit our wonderful town for their benefit and not ours.
- 372.** opportunity for local employment, expansion of food and gathering options in town and added accomodation options for guests.
- 373.** Special Use Tax that would Lower the full time residents taxes for both Village and school.
- 374.** Possibly a break on taxes, but I don't think any large scale venue is wanted or needed.
- 375.** Re-use of existing abandoned buildings rather than new construction. Support the Town and Village businesses without overwhelming its resources and "excluding" its full time residents.
- 376.** Increased tax revenue, very modest increase in visitors to town business that does NOT stretch Town resources or make Town employees rich.
- 377.** Places for visitors to stay. There are no options other than VrBO or hotels in poughkeepsie, too far away.
- 378.** New restrurants
- 379.** Keep the economy of the area vital
- 380.** I see no benefits until there are more intersting shops in town
- 381.** Places for guests to stay, boost the tax base
- 382.** affordable short stay options very close or within village
- 383.** Jobs, provide services/accommodations in demand but currently lacking.
- 384.** Increase of tax revenues
- 385.** Employment opportunities for residents; possibility for partnerships with local businesses; increased foot traffic in the Village and patronage of local businesses
- 386.** Options for guests to the area.
- 387.** We do not want a Hospitality Venue in Millbrook.
- 388.** Tax revenue
- 389.** Gathering for community
- 390.** A new hospitality venue would bolster the economy of the town
- 391.** Enliven downtown Village with visitors and more activity with businesses. Guests may also increase cultural activities like concerts, art shows, theater, etc. for the Thorne Building restoration.
- 392.** The support of local businesses. NOT huge 'all-inclusive' resorts that will not help the local village businesses by providing everything on-site.
- 393.** Class
- 394.** Tax revenue, well-paying jobs, increased economic activity for local businesses and, frankly, better restaurants.
- 395.** I wouldn't like to see new hospitality venues
- 396.** Tax dollars and business ventures for local residents.
- 397.** some new revenue for the business community perhaps.
- 398.** More income for residents
- 399.** smaller scale inn/hotel to fulfill immediate needs of events within township and family occasions
- 400.** More business for current businesses
- 401.** They could exposes this area to a more diverse population.
- 402.** Additional tax revenue; amenities not currently available
- 403.** Employment for local residence who live year round and can benefit from the accommodation of the new site.
- 404.** New hospitality venues should help bolster the key activities and past times that bring life to our Town. Shooting, riding and school-related events are inherently limited (in both frequency and size) by the area's capacity to facilitate over-night stays, today. Hospitality offerings should be of quality, driving patrons from neighboring areas to engage in the Town, with the right amount of amenity to sustain a viable business, but not so much that it silos its operations (and business) from the rest of the Town and what it has to offer.
- 405.** Sustainability Long term consistent & slow growth economy Support of existing/future Commercial brick & mortar businesses on Franklin Ave 12 month operational services (not just seasonal) Relationships to local peripheral support services, organizations & businesses Awareness of historical community use of property & resources Internship & training opportunities for the local community (for all ages) Limited light population Responsible use of energy, water and recycling, etc Provide needed funds (public &/or private) for improvements of Town & Village infrastructure (water, sewer, electric grid , roads , sidewalks , parking, parks, maintenance, etc) Accommodations & lodging to support existing & future annual events &/or festivals Architecture design to compliment or mimic/resemble or acknowledge existing historic scale & vernacular
- 406.** tax revenue
- 407.** To have some actual life in town(there is no life anywhere near the village of millbrook)
- 408.** Wider variety of business'. A place for relatives visiting to stay that also represents the charm of this area.
- 409.** Revenue
- 410.** provide accommodations for guests of residents and tourists. limited food service for guests only
- 411.** Employment for residents of the village
- 412.** Development of infrastructure.
- 413.** No hospitality venues
- 414.** Increased traffic for local businesses, stimulate construction/ renovation/maintainence and related activities
- 415.** Better use of local businesses. And a revitalization of a dilapidated property that is very much a welcome Mat for Millbrook. We have to do better
- 416.** Increased tax revenues, increased foot traffic, increased employment opportunities
- 417.** Local Business boost
- 418.** More people visiting the businesses.

- 419. More visitors to the area.
- 420. Greater support of local businesses in the Village of Millbrook and an increase of new businesses in the Village of Millbrook Increase in real estate values in the Village of Millbrook
- 421. 1. Tax Revenue, 2. Bringing revenue to the local businesses in the TOW
- 422. I see no benefits whatsoever . We are a rural town that values our countryside. No commercial enterprises as proposed for Migdale estate would be appropriate.
- 423. It would not benefit me.
- 424. More growth so it will bring more people and more business in this depressed business environment of the village and town where now there is limited resources to attract " people"
- 425. Local spending, not spending within the accommodation where they never leave the property (i.e. typically in big hotels) that are all inclusive. As well any thought of a hotel situation must fit within the community thinking. I.E. Our town is beautiful, we want to keep it that way in a world of growing eyesores the town of Washington is a Mona Lisa. We do not need boils on her face.
- 426. Attract more patrons for local business
- 427. Welcome people into our town whom might otherwise not come unless they have a place to stay. I believe it would bring a boost to our locally owned businesses and generate tax revenue.
- 428. Bed & Breakfasts are quite acceptable as they are within existing residential dwellings and do not upset our rural tranquility at all. Large-scale resorts, hotels, and similar units are not necessary for the economic vitality of our community.
- 429. More income for people who can no longer survive by farming
- 430. supporting income of local businesses
- 431. employment, tax revenue, ability to keep open space.
- 432. The Cottonwood is dilapidated and visible already. It should be encouraged to be rehabilitated. Smaller inns which rehabilitate dilapidated properties should be encouraged.
- 433. To make the Village of Millbrook a more attractive tourist destination.
- 434. It would bring additional tax dollars to the town. Also put to use the vacant buildings that are on Rte 44
- 435. More visitors, more revenue for our Village and surrounding businesses. Good use of some properties that might not be very sellable for residential use.
- 436. places for people to stay so they can have longer visits over just a day visit to our area. New jobs, new life to our town, something to be proud of
- 437. More hotel rooms. More/better restaurants.
- 438. A place for guests to stay for local events and to support local businesses. Generate tax revenue.
- 439. Increased traffic for local businesses. Expanded options for visiting friends and family.
- 440. People on the street. Someone to buy Aurelia's... MORE LIFE!
- 441. Employment opportunities, tax revenue, more options off Franklin Ave
- 442. Diverse job availability, increase of customers to local businesses
- 443. Customers for local businesses and tax revenue
- 444. Do not support new hospitality venues
- 445. Reuse and reclaiming of existing hotel and Inn structures, adapt currently non-conforming unused commercial structures into an Inn, hotel, or BnB. a strengthening of the village's business community.
- 446. generate enough money to put in small movie theater like the one in Millerton.
- 447. Accessible for all, not just the extremely wealthy.
- 448. Bring people up from the city which adds energy to Millbrook.
- 449. Increased tourism and revenue for local businesses
- 450. Helping existing local businesses.
- 451. Places for visitors to stay
- 452. More money back into the community
- 453. More job opportunities. More stores that are affordable to everyone. More variety In restaurants
- 454. Possibilities of part-time jobs, more money spent in our area businesses, increased tax base which would lead to improvements in our schools.
- 455. enjoyment of area - consumers of local businesses
- 456. Additional bedrooms for guests. Vegan inspired eateries, using local produce, with reasonable prices, open 7 days a week.
- 457. Restaurants bakeries
- 458. road improvements
- 459. More employment opportunity for local residents
- 460. Increased tax base; affordable options for visiting family and friends
- 461. Not Migdale. Would like to see something in the village where visitors could walk and utilize local business.
- 462. It should be in or right next to the village to drive visitors to the the village. For instance, the cottonwood, revamped, is perfect. Something like what second mountain is proposing would do nothing for local business in a long term way. It would in fact take away from local restaurants and spas. We would be welding our own silo ridge, which has been a disaster for Amenia
- 463. New businesses
- 464. Local business would make more money from weekenders
- 465. Tax revenue and synergy with existing business
- 466. Taxes

QUESTION 9 - What concerns do you have about potential new hospitality venues in the town? *[Write in box below]*

1. Change in our rural environment
2. opens the floodgates to uncontrolled development.
3. They will only be affordable to some, that traffic will increase, that they will only be for those that can afford to spend money in the more expensive stores.
4. Large impacts - environmental, traffic, visual
5. Traffic, proper water usage, destruction of rural character of town.
6. Particularly that short-term hospitality venues will be unmanageable and will destabilize the character and safety of the Town of Millbrook.
7. Will new development tax our limited resources (water, sewage, roads)
8. Disruptive scale, poor taste, negative impact to the environment
9. None
10. water -any change to our residential agricultural land and rural environment as it is now zoned
11. environmental impact, change to beautiful rural scenery
12. That the new construction and/or renovations of existing structures will be unattractive and out of character with the surrounding area. That new construction and/or renovations of existing structures will be aesthetically unattractive.
13. Traffic, noise/light pollution, water supply
14. That they be "tacky".
15. I do not want new development to have a large footprint, or to create fragmentation. Short term rentals like AirBnB should be allowed but not as the primary use of a property (owners live on the property most of the year, but can rent out part or all of a property for part of the time).
16. I would be against new construction of large buildings (i.e., large hotels) or new development that has a large footprint. I would be concerned about people turning houses for short term rentals such that it displaced housing in the town. Any short term rental should be for a small fraction of the year, with the owner living in it the rest of the year.
17. The size and how the numbers will impact the natural environment.
18. Impact on infrastructure.
19. Creating effectively gated communities for elite/rich customers and/or convincing zoning exemptions on the promise of services/facilities that only benefit high income TOW residents - with lower income community benefit being limited to service jobs. Bypassing zoning and community sentiment to develop residential properties or equivalent.
20. I am concerned that the unique character and environment of this town will be permanently damaged by intensive-use and franchise hospitality developments.
21. Although I live in the village, I understand and want to help preserve the rural nature that has survived in the town. It is a special place as we all know. Scale limits and strict regulation over noise and aesthetics will hopefully help to find the right balance for hospitality and happy neighbors in the town. Something has to give, obviously. That having been said, Millbrook as compared to other places of its caliber and wealth is not known for its civic innovation or the speed at which things move. That is evidenced in the village, especially. The future Thorne Building aside, the village could be a greater beacon for the entire town, however, the local landlords, prominent families, business owners, weekenders and long-time residents need to come to the table together to envision something better. The aversion for engagement is profound by all parties. There is no reason why village or hamlet properties that are not exhibiting their highest and best use could not be developed into hospitality as well.
22. Too many people
23. That there should be affordable hospitality venues for all.
24. That the hospitality venue will be the mask of a developer intending to build multi-use commercial, multi-family dwellings or housing estate to exploit the land values
25. Traffic, parking, losing the quaintness and cleanliness of town, overuse of town facilities
26. Water supply, environmental damage, traffic, infrastructure needs, compromises that impair the rural character of our community.
27. Not enough financing to execute plan
28. My greatest concern would be an overly ambitious hospitality venue incompatible with the current Comprehensive Plan. In particular I would be concerned about water, traffic, noise and light pollution, the long-term viability of the venue and the fiscal impact on the town.
29. That the hotel might actually become a housing development.
30. Environmental impacts
31. Don't want something out of scale with the area that could become a large-event mill where everything is provided on-site and visitors have no need to leave the facility and shop/eat in the Village. Also, if short-term rentals are being considered, they should only be allowed for the property owner's primary residence (to avoid reducing housing availability for others).
32. Size, scale and architecture should be in harmony with rural setting. No 5+ story hotels
33. Decent design and in keeping with our natural landscape
34. Loss of affordable housing if airbnb's are allowed in the areas of town or village that are higher in density.
35. impact on existing infrastructure, creation of new infrastructure causing hardship to the community, negative environmental impacts
36. Traffic, increased need in emergency infrastructure and traffic lights, etc. that only costs the taxpayer. I would want to see sustainability of local business, employment of local residents and trades (like Mohonk does?), and definitely, absolutely build on our local agricultural food sources.
37. Increased strain on fresh water resources, disruption of ecosystems and open land, investment for profit/gain vs. investment with existing property owner collaboration and community support
38. Rates will be unaffordable for most except the wealthy.
39. Density and spoiling of rural character
40. Once more hospitality is allowed, costs to the Town will increase. The costs of roads, traffic, emergency services, police services, etc. will be passed on to taxpayers and only the developers or hotel owners will benefit

- 41. Over development and loss of our open space and sense of our community
- 42. A greater influx of people! Traffic, noise, litter etc
- 43. They will be large, unattractive and quickly fall into disuse.
- 44. More hospitality is not necessary
- 45. Destroying the beauty of the TOW, more traffic, water problems, noise, hurting property value, garbage and recycling issues, expenses. Don't develop the TOW further, let's develop the village!
- 46. None
- 47. 1. Not carefully thought out; 2. dominance of decision-making by self-interested persons; 3. Failure to have long range, multi-faceted approach 4. Not finding better ways to get the opinions and help of diverse population living and working here. 5. That there will not be careful vetting of the financial capability of any given developer and that the project will be done and get done with high quality and expeditiously. 6. That the economics of such hospitality offerings be a factor so there is something for everyone. 7. Saratoga has done some nice things tho different but still some good ideas. Same with Manchester, Vt. And Berkshire towns.
- 48. PARKING, TRAFFIC, POLLUTION
- 49. Being done without planning and over saturation. Rhinebeck is losing smaller businesses due to poor planning and not taking the smaller businesses into consideration while making these decision. The Haven is now for sale due to the loss of business due to the new spa. Traffic flow is at an all time high with no policing of such which is a complaint by many. The roads in our area are not constructed to handle the amount of traffic as it is right now. Speeding and aggressive driving is a huge problem in our area and needs to be addressed while considering all.
- 50. Traffic, noise, taste of venues, resulting litter and poor effects of tourism.
- 51. Not enough water to support large venues with too many buildings, town and village character diminished, use of pesticides to keep lawns green seeping into streams, killing wildlife, too many visitors who are disinterested in the town. "Luxury" hospitality should be discouraged, as the demands of these visitors are too high for the resources the town currently has in hand.
- 52. Heavier traffic, crowded venues, less peace and tranquility.
- 53. I'm concerned that people won't let it happen, I don't view it as a negative
- 54. That people won't let it happen.
- 55. noise, noise noise. and visibility
- 56. Traffic, crowding, unsightliness, burdening water and other resources
- 57. Traffic
- 58. Concerned about large buildings changing the rural nature of the Town
- 59. I am concerned that lots of new massive hospitality venues in town would totally go against Millbrook's small town values and furthermore that it would subtract from Millbrook's charm. I love that Millbrook is pastoral and does not feel suburban and over-developed. I am worried that a lots of hospitality venues would irreversibly change Millbrook in this respect.
- 60. No concerns
- 61. The building design will be ugly, not environmentally responsible, and stress the infrastructure of the town.
- 62. traffic light pollution, parking in village, too much density
- 63. overdevelopment, traffic, light pollution, parking in village
- 64. With proper site plan review and approval I think they would add to the vitality of our hamlets, including South Millbrook and Washington Hollow. I do

- not favor them in our 5 or 10 or LC zones. B&Bs should have some permitting process and should pay something to the Town from their rental revenue.
- 65. Noise, the scale which may disturb the natural surroundings.
- 66. As I said previously, I do not think the hospitality venue should be in the 'town' as this question implies by using that word. Regardless, my concerns include, added traffic, burdening of the Village/Town water with added usage, increased potential for vehicular accidents from impaired drivers, increased noise levels, increased truck traffic with deliveries to the concept venue, unattractive architecture or oversized scale of buildings out of character with the local community buildings are among my concerns.
- 67. No concerns
- 68. I lived on North Tower Hill Road for 23 years and moved last month. Water availability is definitely an issue on all of Tower Hill. The Town should limit how many new people/dwellings/hotels etc are available that would impact the water usage. Why are VRBO's and Air B&B's not allowed in the Town of Washington? They seem to have a lot less impact on changing things.
- 69. Shouldn't alter the peaceful rural environment.
- 70. Too much traffic as it is right now, the village would be overwhelmed
- 71. I'm am concerned with building up it will become a dense population/ congestion issue. Millbrook losing the old charm and being moved into a "New". We relocated from the city to be closer to family, because of this Millbrook has helped mold my children into respectful young adults they are. I know if we did not make the move our children would not be who they are today: It would be upsetting if the old charm of everyone saying hi to all is lost.
- 72. venue in size, scale and character "too big" for town/village enough parking in village to come with it need incentives for new businesses to come in
- 73. My biggest concern is that the venues should NOT be placed anywhere within the town. We should respect the original findings and conclusions of the Comprehensive Plan. The town should remain rural. I also think any structures should be scaled appropriately in size for the village and have a country feeling.
- 74. We have empty failed hotel/motels in town now. We do not need more beds.
- 75. That residents would not utilize local businesses, services etc.
- 76. Damage the serenity & beauty of the area.
- 77. It is essentially an end-run around the extensive, multi-year, over \$100,000 comprehensive plan update. This survey is an inadequate tool for dealing with issues that that comp plan update addressed years ago.
- 78. Paramount: Dutchess County Health Department in conjunction with Town officials perform design review of septic and water systems for a project. (Note: Town of Greenwich, CT - permit set of drawings accompanying an application include as an example, but not limited to, the likes of LID (Low Impact Design), to the extent of even providing a list of plantings for a site, drainage, water use. Point is: no round-up on lush lawns; all water and septic is managed on-site by design with long-range planning.
- 79. That the town won't allow any.
- 80. Influx of tourists who don't stick along for the long-haul. Increased traffic. Higher prices for goods (i.e. NYC prices)
- 81. New venues are always good to have. But they must follow rules and policies and the surrounding areas of peacefulness.
- 82. Noise, visitors who do not respect our community and take liberties they

shouldn't (trespass on private property)

- 83.** That the wealthy neighbors will unfairly restrict development through campaigns of lies and misinformation because it's too close to them
- 84.** That it will mushroom out and we look like lower Dutchess County
- 85.** I have no concerns as I know all laws would be followed.
- 86.** Generating transient traffic without generating real revenue for the town and/or without generating a consistent flow of lucrative consumers that would inspire growth in the community seems foolish. Well located, high-end destination hospitality - particularly if well insulated by a large piece of property seems optimal
- 87.** Big box hotels/chains or a wedding factory. Keeping the area's unique character & unique mix of both sophisticated and rural/farming is key to any endeavor.
- 88.** Development of something that was insular that was secluded and not a part of the local community would not add any value to the region. We want people who come to the area to participate in area activities that are accretive to the local economy in a way that is in keeping with the local character. A water park for instance would provide a few jobs, some tax revenue but not much else. Visitors would parachute in and out without visiting local businesses with profits being exported from the region.
- 89.** would be too large; would not be reasonably priced
- 90.** Too big or facilities that are bringing people who will not benefit local businesses just the owner. Increased traffic and causing water and sewage issues
- 91.** I would like for the town to ensure that there are high environmental standards being met during construction and ongoing operations.
- 92.** Disrespectful guests.
- 93.** Making Millbrook a destination for others does not increase the quality of life of full time residents but diminishes it with the exception of a few select property and business owners
- 94.** None
- 95.** Environmental destruction, preventing new venues from taking away from the rural, quiet, bucolic nature of the Town, making sure the new venues offer jobs to Town residents.
- 96.** Disrespect of our rural way of life.
- 97.** Overcrowding, depleting natural resources, burdening town systems (sewer, water, etc.)
- 98.** Traffic and noise.
- 99.** They should not be in the village because of the density. They should be in an area where impact on others is minimal or non-existent.
- 100.** size and scale is a concern. appropriateness for the typology of town, culture and experience we currently all enjoy.
- 101.** uncontrolled zoning
- 102.** Those of a very commercial nature would detract from the rural character of the town. For this reason, I think country inns, bed and breakfasts, and Airbnb rooms would be ideal
- 103.** bringing too much traffic, drinking or loud music before 9am or after 10pm
- 104.** That they may be unaffordable to the local residents out of town/state family and friends
- 105.** environmental concerns and impact on the local environment as well as water supply .

- 106.** Too many
- 107.** That they are not conforming to existing zoning law
- 108.** Environmental impacts, especially our precious water. Taking business away from the village. Traffic/overcrowding.
- 109.** I am opposed to large intrusive projects
- 110.** Unknown
- 111.** Safety of neighborhoods, parking, and littering
- 112.** environmental impact traffic,water, sewage,artificial light,deforestation,etc.
- 113.** Scale is important and the area calls out for low scale. This could be important for new businesses as low scale might not be financially feasible. I think the answer to that conflict lies in good design so regulations should focus on design rather than square feet.
- 114.** Blatant over development
- 115.** As mentioned above, attracting a large venue or commercial development (think mall) would hurt both the small businesses and the town's unique character.
- 116.** Losing some of the small village charm. More people would create a need for more amenities in the area which isn't necessarily bad as long as it is done properly and the village is allowed to expand appropriately.
- 117.** I wouldn't want venues that feel disconnected from the community, where people just "drive through" on their way to the venue as the big attraction. While the venues can certainly be a draw on their own, their owners/operators should be committed to a "rising tide lifting all ships" and be conscientious about ways that they can partner with existing shops/ restaurants to elevate them too. I would also be concerned about making sure that there is enough affordable/workforce housing for new jobs that the venue will attract -- I understand that this is already a challenge.
- 118.** Traffic. New building in scenic rural area. Change in the town's character. People profiting who have no stakes in community. Overpriced rooms that family and friends of locals cannot afford. More weddings. Not enough workers to support big hotel projects, leading to failed half-developed luxury projects. Migration to town by people who push out locals/make everything not affordable.
- 119.** Aggression from locals who are not open to change.
- 120.** Must be regulated and adhere to current and future zoning codes and building codes
- 121.** Not change the character of our town or village!
- 122.** Overdoing it, too many tourists in town
- 123.** Large development taking away from the characteristic from the region and destroying eco systems
- 124.** None
- 125.** None.
- 126.** As long as it conforms to laws.
- 127.** Traffic Parking Water Sewer
- 128.** 100% environmental.
- 129.** No new hospitality wanted or needed. prefer look and feel of our community as is. Unforeseen, irreversible negative consequences
- 130.** None
- 131.** Too many people

- 132.** It should look like Mirbeau NOT Silo Ridge
- 133.** VISABILITY negative effects. Water utilization. Unfunded expansion of demand on infrastructure.
- 134.** That it's ugly
- 135.** traffic overloads
- 136.** I'm concern about invasion of low cost, high volume tourism, and related development of fast food chains
- 137.** Changes to the culture of Millbrook
- 138.** Making the town too expensive for the majority of residents in area. Over development. Restaurants should be encouraged.
- 139.** Traffic. People of questionable intent. We don't need more of either in Millbrook.
- 140.** None
- 141.** Very little so long as aesthetics are consistent.
- 142.** Traffic, noise and buildings that are not consistent with Town's character.
- 143.** Ugly architecture, bad parking, large rowdy weddings, long term stays with transitory guests like at a motor lodge, traffic issues in town if entrance is poorly planned.
- 144.** Too much traffic
- 145.** Venues that have no benefit for local residents. The expensive spa suggested for Migdale ib example. Also the potential for traffic problems should be considered.
- 146.** Impact on environment, zoning, traffic congestion and rural country feel of Town of Washington.
- 147.** Permitting, regulation, accountability and enforcement. If hospitality businesses are not strictly regulated, they significantly impact the residences around them and our right to the peaceful enjoyment of our homes. I'm concerned about businesses that may take advantage of all of the different types of hospitality venues and the challenges with enforcement to operate however they want, without concern for their neighbors.
- 148.** quality, architecturally attractive, type of activities, size compatible with the size of the village
- 149.** N/a
- 150.** change the peaceful character of this area
- 151.** that a property could impact water and that the size/location could detract from the rural setting of Millbrook
- 152.** do not want Millbrook/Washington to change - it's been my home for years and its' quite special.
- 153.** It should fit into the environment not a big conglomerate hotel but smaller bed and breakfasts and inns that fit with the town.
- 154.** Increase in traffic, DWI, commuter traffic at all hours for employees, environmental damage (noise, light, runoff from storms, garbage, sewage and harm to wildlife, among others), seasonality of occupancy leading to discounting and hosting of parties and weddings especially during shoulder and off-season periods, increased fire risks.
- 155.** Enough people here now o need to import them
- 156.** Change in the character of the village and surrounding areas.
- 157.** As long as the project is tastefully done and on a scale that makes sense for this area, I have no concerns.
- 158.** A large, impersonal facility will not add to the charm of the town. And one that is largely self-contained and geared to keeping people at the resort will do little for the businesses of the town. However, failure to allow appropriate accommodations will hurt the appeal of the area.
- 159.** Changing the rural character of Millbrook/ town of Washington.
- 160.** Over-saturation of a new and expanded concept. We do not need hundreds of new B&B's or inns or campgrounds, but the addition of some public accommodations would be helpful.
- 161.** Noise, lack of respect for our local community, lack of respect for the neighbors surrounding the venue
- 162.** Too many cars and visitors
- 163.** As long as there are no cannabis shops where people longer and get high. Everything else will be positive. it is all positive
- 164.** It appeals to or is affordable to only a small percentage of residents. It attracts visitors who will not visit other local businesses
- 165.** A developer coming in who appeals to rich people only and doesn't take into account the people, plants, and animals who have been living on the land. Aquifers and air quality must be protected.
- 166.** Noise, light pollution at night
- 167.** Environmental impact & impact on local businesses
- 168.** Mega structures which only cater to the uber wealthy. Increased traffic.
- 169.** Environmental pollution, excess traffic, water supplies, sewage, need for costly roads and emergency services
- 170.** Traffic, infrastructure, gentrification.
- 171.** We would need to address the lack of parking and increase in traffic in the village to accommodate visitors. We would not favor a massive, expensive destination project that is financially out of reach for residents and is so self-contained that it is unlikely to generate business in the village
- 172.** Environmental effects
- 173.** net tax taker doesn't add any real value but environmentally and structurally is a burden
- 174.** Too large
- 175.** Putting a strain on the town water/sewer system. The increase of delivery trucks.
- 176.** Attracting guests that are not respectful of the residents and the environment
- 177.** Traffic and parking
- 178.** Bringing in external visitors that will disrupt the village/ country living atmosphere.
- 179.** Too much too fast could be difficult to absorb and adapt to -- slow and steady
- 180.** Changing the rural character of the community.
- 181.** Noise changes character of the town
- 182.** Balance: Prioritize meeting the needs of residents. It should not become only a service community to meet the needs of transients.
- 183.** You should increase the number of rooms b and b's can have so they actually thrive.
- 184.** negatively effecting the rural country side which makes our town so special in the first place. Traffic, pollution, water usage, sewer, light pollution, etc...

- 185.** Traffic, noise, size, style. Size
- 186.** turning the Millbrook countryside into suburbia.
- 187.** The establishment of a facility that would not be successful and the next owners would not be appropriate.
- 188.** Conservation. Natural resources. Our tax dollars being used to keep up the town and not benefiting from the venues. More people coming into our small town and trampling through.
- 189.** Disturbance's and changes in character or rural residential areas
- 190.** Envioirement, peace of the neighbors, congestion and traffic patterns, creating an ugly corridor to the town
- 191.** Buildings do not fit in with rural community / aesthetic
- 192.** Ugly structures, poor management.
- 193.** * A large venue would not be appropriate unless it is rehabbing the Cottonwood. It needs to be small and stay with the Millbrook character.
* I am concerned about changing the charming rural nature of our Town.
* I worry about visitors getting out on our trail or back roads and getting someone on a horse hurt. We have enough drivers who are excited about being in the country with low traffic, no traffic lights or stop signs thinking it is a great place to drive their car very fast and reckless
- 194.** Increased traffic and speed at which that traffic travels. General in-village congestion. Will this amendment to zoning open up the possibility to national chains/franchises which would have the opposite effect on small local business.
- 195.** noise disturbance to neighbors, negative environmental impact, including disturbance to wetlands
- 196.** stress on existing infrastructure
- 197.** Size, architecture, disturbance of environmental areas.
- 198.** I worry about changes to the character of our town. There is a difference between providing hospitality for those with a reason to be here vs having a destination resort for total strangers.
- 199.** Lack of year round demand thus causing failure.
- 200.** That they would not "fit" into the country setting.
- 201.** Again, my concerns are that this process is leading people to think we need hotels in the town. We do not!!!! The only thing we need are leaders using THIRD-PARTY independent consultants and enviro pros to write zoning laws that protect the many natural habitats in Millbrook from unbridled and reckless development waiting in the wings. Transparency and ethics (conflict checks) would be nice - in fact, critical to any trustworthy public process.
- 202.** Environmental To much development and list of small community character
- 203.** Traffic. Noise. Lights. Loss of local/rural character and scenic beauty. Certainly any venue that negatively impacts water resources is very concerning. I'm not at all opposed to visitors to the community, but it would be very inappropriate to develop the area as a tourist attraction.
- 204.** Maintaining the beautiful rural atmosphere
- 205.** Maintain the rural atmosphere, without turning into the monstrosity in Amenia
- 206.** I would be concerned with sewerage issues affecting the water table and with ugly. By that I mean any construction which would take away from the beautiful views in the area and any look of clutter or urbanization.
- 207.** This was corrupt from the start. The vet Schwartz had a total conflict and should go to jail. Putting that aside, the truck and car traffic on 44 and little rest will ruin this area. Not to mention we don't have the water and sewerage to run there. And the light pollution. It will literally ruin the area. I don't understand how one washed up restaurant guy from the city can ruin our beautiful town. Once it changes it's over. No Lego town resort here!!
- 208.** The development of hospitality venues should respect the current town master plan that is already in place.
- 209.** Big venues and chain business features (e.g. signage) are not consistent with TOW rural character
- 210.** The Migdale one would not benefit the town since all-inclusive pretty much means customers would stay on the property. The downside is what will they do with the sewage.
- 211.** They will go out of business fast.
- 212.** Size , environmental impact, that it will serve a smaller group ie those that are more financially established...how about those that want to come and experience a wonderful small town make some affordable options
- 213.** - No Air B&B's without owner in building. - Lack of affordable homes for our year round residents, - lack of starter homes for young families which is affecting the entire community (school population, volunteer firemen, boy/ Girl Scout volunteers etc)
- 214.** I would be very concerned about a large development that was out of character with the setting and that attracted a large number of people. Any new developments would need to allow its guest to explore the areas.
- 215.** Whether it is compatible with environment, brings in consumers to help our businesses and not overwhelming number of people to change our village and town character
- 216.** Diminishing the rural character of the area. Traffic. Overdevelopment of open land with uses that are ancillary, but not essential, to a hospitality venue (e.g. construction of new homes on property adjacent to the hospitality venue). Would also be concerned if a historic building were severely compromised by a conversion for hospitality use.
- 217.** More traffic and noise but this won't be an issue with a limited number of new hospitality venues.
- 218.** Somewhat
- 219.** Altering the rural character and adding significant traffic
- 220.** Altering rural character and adding significant traffic
- 221.** That the new venues may not have sufficient funding to provide the quality of activities/services needed to attract customers and thus would not be financially sustainable. If we agree to let new venues open in the Town of Washington, the owners need to be totally committed to make their business successful.
- 222.** Congestion, negative environmental impact, traffic, negative impact to the rural character of the area
- 223.** That they would be too large and not in character with the current town and village atmosphere.
- 224.** Any changes as mentioned above.
- 225.** Light,noise pollution Size and scope Impact on aquifer
- 226.** New hospitality venues are likely to change the character of the town. We are a small community. New hospitality venues can have a significant impact and attract clientele that do not have the same commitment to the community. Also, a major part of what makes Millbrook special compared to neighboring towns is the controlled development.
- 227.** I do not want over-the-top development but rather venues that are sensitive to the beauties of the area. Quiet, respectful, handsome, discrete.

No big-bash venues.

228. Traffic, loss of our small town feel

229. none

230. impact to water and environment. Traffic

231. Would not want to see the rural character of the town changed in any way or have additional traffic and/or construction effecting it.

232. Expanding after the permit is approved. Weddings , commercial, parties etc .

233. Residents with no concern for local businesses will prevent any new hospitality venues.

234. scale, additional traffic, no tax abatements should be offered.

235. Crime, overcrowding.

236. As long as they are appropriately sited and sized, no real concerns.

237. That the town does not have the infra-structure and resources to handle the influx of people and use.

238. noise, traffic, water table and disposal, possible lack of organic connection to the town and its businesses/residents (see Silo Ridge, e.g., for an example of little or no connection to local community)

239. Appropriate for traditional profile of the village

240. Traffic

241. Traffic...

242. There are a lot of vacant buildings in the TOW that can be creatively adapted into hospitality venues (whether restaurants or hotels/inns). It would be nice if the Town Board put more effort to incentivize the reuse of those spaces

243. Detraction from hamlet and village density and economic viability. Inappropriately located high density development without adding and prioritizing density to Village and Hamlets first. Appropriate management of transportation corridors.

244. I don't want our town to become over developed.

245. Over development. Once you start where do you stop

246. Traffic, noise, destruction of rural character

247. Environmental impact, change to existing character of town.

248. Cheap motels

249. noise garbage too many people

250. Zoning. Local zoning needs to be respected. Hospitality venues outside of the Village would impose a lot of "policing" responsibility on our Town boards.

251. Overcrowding and traffic

252. Once you start easing zoning restrictions, it is a slippery slope to ruining the rural character of the area.

253. Too large would be awful, influx of too many people and the pressure that would put on services & water would be terrible. Also, must respect existing zoning! That is why we bought in TOW.

254. Impact on natural resources

255. Too large, too loud, too much traffic, hurting the environment and not supporting local businesses.

256. Not being too big, like Troutbeck size.

257. Industrial Pollution. Visitor cars not a concern.

258. Overbuilt and subservient to outside interests

259. Too much too fast, control of noise, parking , concern of neighbors

260. Noise, traffic, overuse of local resources (like water, which is already a concern in Millbrook), additional environmental concerns

261. Too many people in town, not enough places to park, zoning laws changed, developers moving in, becoming Rhinebeck, losing the character of our town, rents going up if something too fancy moves in

262. They should be in keeping with the exiting nature of the community.

263. Water table jeopardized, current infrastructure is inadequate for the proposed increased traffic from their successful destination. More people means more police for traffic and arguments,

264. Thanks

265. See above "Hospitality "is a euphemism for destruction

266. Ruin of land with new build, over build, too many units, too many people. Any changes to the current regulations should be MINIMAL. Concern that the developers are too close to the town council and the council will let them do what they want without enough restrictions and oversight. Developers are ONLY looking to increase their profit - they have no concern about the impact of their projects. Don't let them fool you into thinking anything else.

267. We need to protect our rural character. See above also.

268. Something too large taking away from the rural nature of our town.

269. That it will have little positive value on the local economy broadly and only enrich the developers and owners. That it will also set in motion further development that will destroy the quality of life in Washington/Millbrook.

270. That they could get out of hand and not be proportional to the town.

271. loss of rural character

272. *Parking in village *Increased traffic (speeding) on Franklin

273. Increased traffic. Exclusion of local population.

274. Must not in anyway compromise water supply to Village. Must not disturb important habitat. Must utilize green technologies and support local habitats

275. the venue should be size appropriate using town zoning laws

276. threatening character if town, additional traffic, unsightliness, threatvtvto environment

277. Environmental damage, overbuilding

278. Concerned that they are sufficiently viable - well funded, robust business plan such that they not end up being yet another unoccupied property.

279. Construction quality, effects on environment and town and village resources.

280. More unneeded traffic and concerns about water supply in the town to support additional development

281. The concern is that they are useful to only a small portion of the population. Such as an elite venue catering to only a few.

282. traffic, more people, this is quiet rural community that should stay this way - more animals than people is good here.

283. Traffic, noise , people who have no investment (living here) and act like a treat people like they are the only important people in "our" town .

284. Changing our rural community. Noise and traffic.

- 285.** The loss of historical lands and sights. Changes in landscape and the draining of local resources. The impact expansion will have on the village water and sewer.
- 286.** Traffic
- 287.** Unregulated airB&B's, townhouses & homes that are rented short term and expensive accommodations beyond the reach of local taxpayers
- 288.** See above.
- 289.** I have neighbors who moved to the town and opened an impermissible event and glamping/camping business and it has been a nightmare. Noise, unsightly tents, trespassers and assorted other ills were immediately present. It puts a huge burden on residents and the Town to manage these types of issues and it would behoove everyone to think not only about the ideal but also the difficult circumstances that might arise when hospitality is welcomed.
- 290.** The loss of rural character of areas outside the Village. Creation of "gated" community that doesn't drive business to local shops. Traffic and traffic lights (and light pollution). Noise and overbuilding if land. Damage to local water supply.
- 291.** Traffic
- 292.** Traffic and tourists
- 293.** environmental, aesthetic, commercialism, traffic
- 294.** More traffic and less parking in the village
- 295.** Architecturally pleasing. Fits into its location nicely
- 296.** overcrowding, traffic, environmental strains, loosing country environment
- 297.** Noise, overcrowding, traffic, lack of oversight, environmental damage, pollution, making NYC developers rich
- 298.** Too much traffic. Too many outsiders trying to change the small town feel.
- 299.** Loss of town character, too much traffic, environmental impact
- 300.** The origin of this study is the Migdale project. This is a large scale resort and the goal is to sell houses/cabins. That is not hospitality it is a real estate play. New hospitality is welcome- large scale real estate development is not. And 'adaptive reuse' is a phrase which does not apply if. you're planning to build dozens of new structures on site.
- 301.** Overcrowding. Would like to see more but definitely managed to retain the charm of the area.
- 302.** INSUFFICIENT REGULATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS ON SENSITIVE AREAS IE: WATER AND SEWER DEMANDS AN INFLUX OF DRIVERS UNACCUSTOMED TO RURAL ROADS AND A NEW VOLUME OF TRAFFIC FOR LAW ENFORCEMENT TO MONITOR AND REGULATE ARCHITECTURALLY INAPPROPRIATE STRUCTURES NOT ENHANCING OUR MILLBROOK STYLE AND AESTHETIC A PROLIFERATION OF NEW,VAST AREAS OF TARMAC AND OTHER IMPERVIOUS SURFACES FOR PARKING, ETC WHICH WOULD BE DETRIMENTAL TO THE ENVIRONMENT
- 303.** allowing too many venues would upset the balance of rural community
- 304.** Traffic, noise and lighting pressures on environment and infrastructure
- 305.** Scale, traffic, compromising existing resources such as water, sound and light pollution
- 306.** Additional traffic and general congestion, deterioration of quality-of-life.
- 307.** Affects to our zoning and the visual Traffic NOISE Environmental affects
- 308.** That they will be billed as "good for Millbrook" but won't actually provide any benefit ie people won't come to town, local businesses won't be positively impacted and perhaps most important is that it will be on a scale that will be successful- not a huge development that is insular and eventually goes bust leaving the town with a white elephant on its hands.
- 309.** None-It is very needed.
- 310.** We have limited water resources . Parts of the town are pristine without commercial buildings. We NEED that as much as increased taxes.
- 311.** Increased traffic. Parking in Millbrook is already a huge problem. Over crowding in restaurants which are already difficult to get into.
- 312.** Needs to fit in with aesthetic of town
- 313.** Affordability for local residents;
- 314.** Over development
- 315.** They will be too expensive.
- 316.** Keep within the keeping of the small town feel not too large
- 317.** too large, too much traffic , noise
- 318.** congestion
- 319.** That ANY venue be small. Not for 150 people, not for 100, nor for 50, but small. Perhaps only 15-20 people.
- 320.** environmental and generating a positive impact on taxes for all residents.
- 321.** Traffic
- 322.** None.
- 323.** Transients, crime, parking, competition for services.
- 324.** clientele
- 325.** Too many people, too much traffic, and changing the character of the town and village
- 326.** See answer to 8 above.
- 327.** Overburdening town resources, destroying the rural character of the town, incompatibility with architectural scale and style.
- 328.** That a poorly done development would be out of scale / character with rural setting and our community and could damage environment.
- 329.** Are they appropriate for their location? Will they increase traffic? Will they impact scenic values in the Town?
- 330.** This shouldn't be focused for the use of those outside of Millbrook or part-time residents. While anyone should be welcome this should be designed for the use of the people who live here every day.
- 331.** It's important to keep the towns historic vibes in tact. Adding hospitality options that cater to the masses will go against what sets Millbrook apart.
- 332.** Forever changing our small, close, family friendly town and rural surroundings.
- 333.** Too many people w/o infrastructure to support, including operational and construction traffic
- 334.** Cause raising of prices in stores even more. Search our town to buy and move here. Too crowded.
- 335.** Compromising rural atmosphere
- 336.** We don't want more people, overwhelming resources, that are not connected into the Village, but ensconced in their own thing.
- 337.** Must confirm to country look...

- 338.** That they be discrete and in keeping with the town's character
- 339.** I'm concerned that a new venue like Migdale won't fit with the rural characteristic of the town Increase traffic Any new venues needs to be approved by the people who live here and moved here because of the rural character of the town.
- 340.** Disturbance of the rural character of the Town of Washington, potential costs of new development passed on to residents (through taxes or other means)
- 341.** Too expensive for the average person; Guests wouldn't use local establishments
- 342.** Traffic, more people, roads ruined, etc.
- 343.** Concerns how this is going to split our community apart again with loud voices of NYC NIMBYs who drown everyone else out
- 344.** Noise, disturbance of neighbors, water supply, environmental considerations.
- 345.** Generic architecture, not in keeping with rural aesthetic. Aimed at high end income only - already have enough of that! Need affordable housing for workers at venue in the area as well.
- 346.** It is very important that the type of accommodations and venues coming to the area are not franchise/mainstream types of businesses and are operated and owned by people who can truly provide a world class experience that you cant get anywhere else.
- 347.** I am concerned about increased crowding, increased traffic, increased trash, increased noise, possibly increased crime.
- 348.** Nothing like the development proposed for Migdale. If just the main house was turned into an Inn that would be an addition to the community. But building additional houses and a glamping grounds would be too much of a change from the rural nature of the community.
- 349.** My concerns are that new hospitality venues would ruin the rural community we love and bring more traffic and crime to the area
- 350.** Village Parking is already so crowded & there are very few parking areas in the Village. Traffic is an issue also.
- 351.** overcrowding/crime
- 352.** Too large, too much traffic, jeopardizing rural character
- 353.** Water use, ecological impact(s)
- 354.** Buildings being too visible from the road and becoming an eye sore. Too many guest rooms can mean more guests than the existing infrastructure can handle.
- 355.** Too many damn people and too much traffic
- 356.** Congestion in local businesses, traffic, urbanization in a rural area- people buy in Millbrook for privacy and small town feel.
- 357.** Traffic, noise, safety, and the town's ability to support mire people overall. We could use more family-owned or farm-to-table restaurants or cafes.
- 358.** size, strain on water and sewer, strain on fire, police and EMT services, more traffic
- 359.** none
- 360.** None, we welcome new Hospitality projects.
- 361.** see earlier comments - ie. noise, regulation of the types of hospitality in zoning code The definition you are using for hospitality is way to board-break it out into sections like - AirBB type, Tenting/ glamping, standalone motel, stand alone hotels, hotels with recreational activities, recreational only activities. Zoning codes for registration of all these different potential activiies with the town.
- 362.** Change the tranquility and slow pace of the area. Increased traffic. Strain on emergency services, utilities/water. Unintended consequences while chasing the promise of more tax revenue
- 363.** Traffic
- 364.** Destroying nature
- 365.** The migdale project is ridiculous. It won't be beneficial to the town in any way. The cottonwood is the perfect place for a motel and will actually bring business to the town. No all inclusives - only places that will benefit the town.
- 366.** Influx of too many people that breaks down the rural/village aspects of living here, and displacement of current residents as property values and demand increase.
- 367.** Character of our town, environmental impact, preservation of ecosystems. Our town has taken pride in caring for local ecosystems. We need to preserve and continue to preserve.
- 368.** The scale of the development should be limited to reduce impacts on the environment and local community. Traffic and crowding within the Village should be a concern. The Village is the nucleus of the town and all visitors are drawn there. Growth within the town has a dramatic impact on teh Village. Traffic on local roads that were not designed to handle increased levels and traffic impacts should be a significant concern. Your town district map should have been designed around the existing road systems, with limitations on project scale developed in relation to the local road system capacity. The different types of hospitality development should be better defined to separate restaurants from hotels and motels from B&B and Inns and where within the town that these different scale of use would be acceptable. Camping should not be a permissible use within the Town.
- 369.** Chain hotels with food included.
- 370.** That they would be massive, new construction that do not adhere to the country feel of town. I love the low keyness of the town and Village, am afraid new venues will alter this.
- 371.** None
- 372.** Absolutely against it. Lower land value. Hurt rural character
- 373.** No real concerns.
- 374.** The rural character of the area should remain as is.
- 375.** Size of new venue is a concern, the establishment should not overwhelm the area's ability to support water usage, sewerage/septic capabilities, and other environmental resources.
- 376.** Size of footprint
- 377.** Not competing with existing businesses in the village.
- 378.** Would not like to see an Eastdale type of development or a Silo Ridge. I would like to see development that would be open to the community
- 379.** Increase in traffic and limited parking in the village of Millbrook.
- 380.** Would like to keep the integrity of what Millbrook stands for
- 381.** Parking in the village
- 382.** Increase traffic in area
- 383.** More people here changing our way of life, using up natural resources, pollution, noise, using us as a vacation location and not really caring about our history and way of life. This will further drive away our middle class families who have been here for generations and turn us into a bedroom

community of the city.

- 384.** Environmental concerns such as available water, septic, traffic, noise etc. The viability of medium-large scale hotels/inns is also a concern given the small size of the Town and local economy. What happens to these properties if the business doesn't work. We don't need another Halcyon.
- 385.** Potential for problems should groups not be monitored
- 386.** Traffic concerns
- 387.** Will not support local Businesses
- 388.** In general this is a much needed addition to help boost the local economy creating jobs and business opportunities. I worry Part time wealthy residents using power and money to ruin opportunities for hard working established local families..
- 389.** I don't want a Motel 6 but why are we so afraid of change?
- 390.** No neon lights, keep country feel, stay open a bit later on weekends
- 391.** Rural roadways traffic complications, unsightly parking lots, general congestion of rural setting, sidewalk and roadway trash, nuisance noise, where venues is too big or oversized - over crowded rural settings, adverse effects on farmers, horse and cattle venues.
- 392.** I worry about someone coming in and building something that doesn't benefit residents and then if it doesn't work out just abandoning the project.
- 393.** Concerns about to large a scale for our ten. Concerns about changing the rural character. Concerns about activities that would disturb the neighbors like trespassing. Lighting pollution. Sound traffic water supply
- 394.** Influx of traffic on Franklin- which is already narrow when parking is on both sides of the street. The limited parking there already is would be limited even more.
- 395.** Disneyland at Migdale is certainly not appropriate. Destruction of open land is not in the spirit of the existing TOW use plan. I think it was clearly stated that preservation of the rural character of the town and environmental protection is the overriding wish of town residents. Development of more businesses should take place in areas already developed, the village, Mabbettville and on what is already commercial property.
- 396.** Traffic flow problems.
- 397.** None
- 398.** I feel all venues should have pamphlets promoting local businesses, and encourage local exploration. I also believe they should have a fair and equal opportunity to construct/renovate/operate their venue without the immediate onslaught of locals screaming 'not in my backyard.'
- 399.** None
- 400.** Disturbance
- 401.** Increased traffic, accidents
- 402.** Maintain rural character Environment Water Traffic Large scale that doesn't benefit the town
- 403.** All for bed and breakfasts as long as they have limited use. No loud parties, limitation to number of guests permitted to stay perhaps for a limited number of days.
- 404.** Environmental, real costs to residents/taxpayers, no real benefit to residents (I.e Migdale, the same person who just knocked down the historic home at lightning tree farm), or rather his business. He does not care about us or our town, he cares about making more millions on our backs.
- 405.** If it is too large and adds pressure on local environment or massive traffic expansion. Also concerned about the Millbrook for affluent and the

Millbrook for everyone else. We need more connected spaces not just elite venues.

- 406.** The over Commercialization of the town.
- 407.** Losing the feel of our beautiful rural areas and especially making our village even more busy than it already is. The streets are too crowded on the weekends as it is
- 408.** That it is so exclusive that full time residents are ignored and made to feel unwelcome. That it will draw too much activity that it hampers everyday life of full time residents. That the resources of Police, Fire, EMS, Water, etc. don't become overwhelmed.
- 409.** Size. It must be moderately scaled so that traffic isn't worse, and there must be NO environmental impact. Any new construction should have a goal of being carbon neutral/green.
- 410.** Overcrowding on the roads, environmental impact.
- 411.** Traffic and congestion. Ruining the landscape.
- 412.** I love the village area the way it is now, I don't want to live in a place that is crowded with people pouring in all the time. A nice balance is so important
- 413.** Too many people, traffic jams, displacement of current residents, not enough \$ coming in from new developments to support added expenses to the town such as police, emergency and infrastructure needs (twin silo did nothing for amenia
- 414.** Too many people
- 415.** The larger the venue, the greater the need for fire and EMS. Most of our volunteers work outside of the town during the day. The fire department should definitely have an input.
- 416.** Increased traffic, environmental, loss of rural charm.
- 417.** size, price of lodging, impact on immediate area surrounding venue
- 418.** Scale. Must be "invisible" or low "foot print"
- 419.** Increased traffic in the local area
- 420.** So many! Environmental impact; impact on infrastructure; etc.
- 421.** Blending in with the area's environment and visual appearance.
- 422.** Not Appropriate for Millbrook.
- 423.** None
- 424.** Design Location
- 425.** There needs to be ample parking and good roadways for the venue
- 426.** Traffic, parking, stress on infrastructure, crime (it's bound to increase although probably not significantly). Affect on existing residents; do we want to be the 'new' Rhinebeck?
- 427.** New venues being built by people who are Millbrook as 'undeveloped' or 'underdeveloped' instead of understanding that perhaps that's by design. We should intentionally keep Millbrook rural. New developers see the SPACE and want to change the countryside by building huge resorts. We like the space. It is intentional. A few inns or BnBs would not mean building huge resorts. We need to keep Millbrook the way it is while potentially allowing for smaller venues to be opened. Ones that will benefit the community without changing what we hold most dear.
- 428.** None
- 429.** Obviously we care deeply about the rural nature of the town and want to preserve it. At the same time, I'm as or more concerned with what happens to these old properties if we don't address these issues proactively. I also

think that to the extent we're raising concerns about NEW venues, we should look at all of them. We live across from the Millbrook Winery, which regularly allows hundreds of cars to park on their property, causing traffic in our area. They also waste enormous amounts of water on their vines, which you can see pooling at the bottom of their hill. And they allow musicians to play amplified music in a residential neighborhood. The concerns they have about new hospitality should ALSO apply to them.

- 430.** Drain on local resources, inability to maintain business and then town left with a new Bennett
- 431.** More boutique stores that cater to tourists moving into village buildings. Pushing out stores that are actually useful to local residents.
- 432.** too many weekend people swanning around franklin avenue, vacuously.
- 433.** Crowds, crime
- 434.** if the CP is amended to add more hospitality than originally allowed, it will destroy what the town is and has been based on - a community of outdoor sports enthusiasts that come here to hide from world and enjoy simple life with minimal hassles
- 435.** Contamination of Village water supplies
- 436.** Loss of peaceful, safe, quiet environment .
- 437.** The venue has to fit into the town's character and provide value-add. For example, a venue like the Inn in Washington would provide services not currently available. Conversely, a Motel 6 would not.
- 438.** Truxion of the local natural surrounding area, destruction of plant and wildlife habitats, infringement on farms properties, water usage, town resources being overextended, increase in amount of traffic, taxes getting resin, quality of life because of raised prices for local residence being unobtainable to maintain.
- 439.** Hospitality venues should be poised to succeed, spear-headed by investors and operators who are truly invested in the long-standing betterment of our Town and our Community. I have concerns about 3rd-party developers, with unclear streams of investment funding, taking advantage of an "in vogue" business opportunity, who's motivations and incentives to succeed may not necessarily prioritize the enhancement of that which we love about Millbrook, as it stands today.
- 440.** Added growth of population, access & usage of Village / Town without additional support services, as well as improved infrastructure: "The cart before the horse" A Boom & Bust , Half baked , unplanned economy & effort Short sighted develop TOO fast growth Lack of awareness & consideration of the historical successes & failures of the Millbrook community & economy
- 441.** traffic, traffic noise, higher home rental prices for residents,
- 442.** None. Do better for the village.
- 443.** Maybe parking in the village would need to expanded
- 444.** Being all inclusive
- 445.** appropriate scale is important. No resorts or event venue. No weddings and parties. limited food service for guests only
- 446.** Negative effects on the quiet nature of the town, negative effects on the walkability of the town
- 447.** Millbrook turning into Beacon or Kingston. The loss of character and history of the town.
- 448.** Too many people especially in the summer when the village is already too crowded.
- 449.** Many. But only Migdale
- 450.** No concerns

- 451.** Traffic
- 452.** Local residents not welcoming of visitors.
- 453.** increased traffic on already overcrowded roads, speeding on backroads, few places to park.
- 454.** None
- 455.** Poorly designed buildings - 'cookie cutter' architecture; Venues that are too extensive in scale and scope Venues that are not sensitive to the rural characteristics of the area
- 456.** Will bring environmental problems, especially water. Visibility from local roads, noise pollution, light pollution, not consistent with rural character of year. I'm generally NOT in favor of additional hospitality and do not believe the CP should be amended.
- 457.** Commercialization if the unique character of our town. People who come and don't understand country sports and living. Let them stay in the city.
- 458.** Noise, pollution, crime, traffic.
- 459.** Only that the " new" blood will not allow any growth like exists now but the vast majority does not realize it.
- 460.** Anything large and expensive and expansive, that includes a large range of services within a Big hospitality venue will limit clients desire to explore and spend dollars in our town. Plain and simple, the guests will have no desire to leave the grounds.
- 461.** Keeping the venues to a reasonable occupancy so as not to overwhelm the town or lose it's quiet, rural country type environment.
- 462.** The change in the character of the town, as seen in nearby developments such as Silo Ridge in Amenia that creates an us/them separation within the community is unacceptable, which is what the plans for Migdale would do. Our town should not cater to the desire of a single developer of any stripe if it changes the laid-back rural feeling we have enjoyed and prized for many generations.
- 463.** Traffic, idiots from New York coming and annoying my horses, loss of the great character of this town--Millbrook Deli during the week is one of the best places in the world, and it is less appealing on summer weekends.
- 464.** increase in traffic and being out of character for this rural area
- 465.** not succeeding, and left vacant. Enough water supply and proper access to the property.
- 466.** Suburbia-like venues like the proposed Migdale project would be an absolute abomination to property values and the upscale rural setting which make this an attractive place to live.
- 467.** None
- 468.** The loss of open spaces and environmental disruptions caused by development
- 469.** I have lived here for 72 years and I believe we must look to the future. We need some hospitality and other businesses in our town to create jobs, help reduce escalating taxes, support businesses that exist now. The town and the village need some revitalization and some hospitality will start that process.
- 470.** none as long as it is done tastefully and has offerings that will attract more people to our town.
- 471.** Over building.
- 472.** Architecturally appropriate for location. Primarily within the village. Land and property owners should have the right to develop hospitality venues on their land without undue interference from the government.

- 473.** No concerns. Since millbrook has no train parking perhaps, but the village is too sleepy.
- 474.** None. People should be able to do with their property as they see fit, so long as they follow the local laws & pay their taxes
- 475.** Keeping the size and aesthetics rural and quaint vs large hotel chains.
- 476.** Overuse of limited clean water resources. Over development and commercialization of rural areas. Change in character of the town. Increased traffic and congestion.
- 477.** Over-building, environmental & lack of integrity from developers
- 478.** I am concerned about the proliferation of Air BnB's throughout town. Some, are located in appropriate locations and carefully controlled and monitored by the owners as they live on premises. Other Air BnB's are not. There are "use" issues, such as noise, inappropriate uses such as camping and glamping, all of which is largely uncontrolled and unregulated by town law, and in my view is an activity that is completely inappropriate for TOW (camping/glamping). This is neither fair or reasonable in the view of those of us who are taxpayer residents who enjoy their property rights and who feel those rights are being trampled on. I also have a concern for the introduction of resorts, "boutique Inns" and the development of self-contained "resort style living" communities on our largest parcels of land. These properties may contain large estate homes, which may potentially serve as "club houses" one day for these Florida-style, self-contained communities. These types of larger-scale developments will bring unwanted traffic, noise, odor (restaurants), health, safety and tax expenditure concerns, relating to increased needs for a town police dept, expanded paid fire and EMT services, municipal water, sewer, trash pickup, etc, where there currently exists no need for these services. I believe that any enhanced tax revenues from allowing these types of businesses will be eclipsed by the need for establishing and paying for the services mentioned above.
- 479.** Inappropriate land/water use, destruction of natural habitats, poor planning resulting in unsustainable traffic management, wastewater treatment that impedes on neighboring well water quality, development of venues that are not accessible to anyone not wealthy, venues that will not employ locally, venues that do not support already existing local businesses.
- 480.** Silo ridge type. Way too big, ruined the natural beauty there, fenced off. That ridge used to be beautiful for everyone. Something like Troutbeck would be nice though
- 481.** Increased traffic
- 482.** Environmental impact and losing character of the area.
- 483.** That they are ugly and dont fit the town
- 484.** Take business from local businesses
- 485.** None
- 486.** Increased traffic.
- 487.** Traffic increase, the need for more police and fire department using local tax payers dollar
- 488.** overcrowding
- 489.** Aesthetics, impact on water table and sanitation systems, run off, parking, noise issues. Charlotte's sets a good example.
- 490.** hidden
- 491.** None
- 492.** Too many rooms that we become a tourist destination not a community
- 493.** Development that does nothing for residents and businesses and is specifically very out of reach for most town residents (Don't develop Migdale)
- 494.** A large scale place like what will guidara has proposed for migdale would be terrible. See some reasons in previous answer. And I believe that a resort/ spa of that type would not succeed on a long term basis and would end up being another halcyon hall/ Bennett. It would create permanent negative environmental impacts on many levels. Millbrook is best served by a revamped cottonwood, bed and breakfasts and the millbrook inn. A small hotel in the village would be ok too
- 495.** None
- 496.** no Chain hotels or motels
- 497.** traffic
- 498.** I am in favor sinking as the architecture is compatible and hopefully repurposes existing buildings to a new use.
- 499.** Traffic and infrastructure to support it, clients or customers that would push for changes to the town that do not benefit year round residents.

QUESTION 16 - Please provide any additional thoughts or comments you may have about the desired sizes of buildings, sizes of property or architectural character of hospitality uses in the Town. (Write in box below)

1. They should reflect the small, rural nature of the community, and the historical and natural setting.
2. We need to support and encourage any business that will bring "outside" dollars to Millbrook and potentially provide employment opportunities for more people.
3. Significant consideration needs to be given to building projects that fit and scale proportionately to and within the landscape they occupy.
4. existing structures should be used or new structures should fit with the landscape
5. Existing structures should be used, or new buildings integrated into the landscape with minimal disturbance.
6. NA
7. Contextual. Consistent with rural character of the area.
8. No problem with modern architecture that has artistic merit.
9. Again, I don't live in the town, but I understand the concerns of those who do. If I were a neighbor of a future establishment, my biggest concerns about any business nearby would be the scale and potential noise. Certainly, smaller establishments or even those that limit their use to the existing larger historic house on a big property with some LIMITED, highly monitored and regulated expansion would be fine. This is not a yes or no question about hospitality. The rule book on hospitality development needs to be codified in a very specific way into the town's (and village's) regulations so everyone feels both protected and excited! about making Millbrook even better.
10. Prevent the conversion of a bucolic Hudson Valley farm into a multi-use commercial housing estate with spa facilities to circumvent current restrictions to build out a housing estate for commercial and real estate speculation
11. N/a
12. Architectural character that is consistent with the Town's traditional buildings and houses, small in scale and without impairment to the rural character of the overall community.
13. Enough financing
14. The building simply should not look out of place. I don't think it needs to conform to a specific architectural style, but it should be sensitive to its site and the surrounding architecture.
15. Should be in tune with environmental beauty of the area.
16. additional setback from public roads with vegetative screening should be applied to any applications. Shielded lighting to protect neighbors from light pollution should be required. noise barriers and ordinances must be considered.
17. traditional and keeping within the look of the community
18. It would be appropriate to allow adaptive reuse of large scale properties/ estates for inns.
19. I just think that all of the above features should be absolutely considered when it comes to the site plan. We have a range of building size and property size here in the Town of WA, which all arises from the Town's history of settlement pattern -- the timing, the group to arrive in the area, and the purpose of the lot size at the time (like village v. estates).
20. The Town of Washington Historical Society and Millbrook Library have records of several centuries of local structures, traditions, and patterns of commercial development to consult. Sloan Architects have done extensive research on construction and restoration of period accurate buildings at both the local Golf and Tennis Club and for the Thorne Building.
21. I think if we had a couple of facilities the size of the Cottonwood or slightly larger that would be a good thing as long as they were sited in either Washington Hollow, Millbrook village or Mabbettsville Hamlet.
22. The area would benefit in keeping with the architectural character of the many existing historical buildings in the Town of Washington. When speaking of property sizes, there should be great care for the surrounding area and the residents who could be negatively effected by any small or large size of property used for hospitality. Even though many want additional hospitality in our town that does not mean it should be at the cost of the many long time residents having their rights to be able to have quiet enjoyment of their properties. As the area has grown, especially over the last two years this has already become a major issue.
23. No new hospitality should be allowed.
24. I do not support this project period!
25. Not too large! In my opinion the condos in Millbrook are good examples for hospitality or housing. We do not want abandoned property.
26. Reuse existing buildings as much as possible, and new buildings should fit the character of the town.
27. If the town were to permit larger venues, such as the diversity of Hilton or Marriott options, then negotiating the option of residents of TOW and Village to have use of indoor/outdoor pools, tennis courts, for a reduced fee or other amenities and spas would garner lots of good will and not impinge on their overall profit and offerings. It is done quietly, discreetly in many towns.
28. Size and scale matter in this area. No new hospitality property should be so large as to dwarf the surrounding homes, nor should they have so much additional infrastructure, outbuildings and parking lots, that the relatively wild nature of the Town of Washington is compromised. Parking lots, reflective glass and ambient light at night are especially destructive to birds and wildlife, and more cars with drunk drivers mean even more roadkill.
29. No new large developments but focus on adaptive reuse
30. Number of buildings should be limited. A large building on a large parcel would be acceptable. Multiple houses/buildings (more than a dozen, perhaps?) would not be acceptable.
31. Not too big
32. None
33. I want it to remain in the cute Millbrook style! Building should be pretty and maintain the charm :) !
34. Should fit in with the surrounding landscape and not exceed a certain height.
35. parking hidden, gardens and landscaping, country feel

36. how visibility parking, no modern architecture, preserve scenic views, good landscaping
37. Just don't want them to be too big.
38. If permitted within the Village the property should maintain and have a sympathetic residential scale with design relating to local architectural vernacular (for example clapboard farmhouse).
39. I believe that we must respect the original Comprehensive Plan in which town residents have already expressed their desire to keep the Town of Washington free of any major development. If a hospitality venue is built within the village, it will naturally have all the size limitations imposed on it by its location. Therefore, many of the questions or selections above are not applicable.
40. As I have stated in prior responses to this survey, it is a biased survey clearly leaning toward approving development. It is an end-run around the comp plan process and an embarrassment to thoughtful planning.
41. Well constructed buildings using "Green Building" practices (healthier materials). Architectural character can be varied from modern to colonial depending on the application. Most importantly, no "strip-mall, vinyl siding" appearances.
42. The beauty of the ToW is in it's agricultural and rural character, and rustic charm. Development by definition isn't evil, but please work to ensure our farmlands, open spaces, and biodiversity do not suffer.
43. We must keep the integrity of all surrounding areas. New design must follow the same aspect beautifications
44. i would never require any new business to put land into conservation-that would be a terrible idea. just welcome the business- as long as permits are properly filed and the law is followed they should not have additional requirements. is that even legal?
45. There is currently a wide range of historic building styles in Millbrook/ Washington, ranging from clapboard to stone, so be wary that the differentiation already exists. There should indeed be zoning to ensure tons of modern glass boxes or condos are not built on an open hillside (like Silo Ridge!) but not be so constrained that it makes building something elegant & efficient is made totally impossible. This is the next chapter for Millbrook/ Washington & if it allows for currently unused businesses/buildings (like Farmer's Daughter market on 44, the Stage Coach Deli, or Aurelia on Main street) to slowly come back, we should welcome that possibility. Lastly, it seems there is ample opportunity for intimate, secluded hospitality venues by both repurposing existing buildings and expanding into new ones.
46. I think questions about "amount of land for development" without specific consideration about surrounding land are totally without merit. you could develop 100 acres surrounded by 1000 and it could be far more appealing than 1 acre in the middle of 2. im not sure the value of these questions without further qualification
47. What is most important is not what it looks like but the impact it has on the local community and economy
48. They need to blend in
49. Prefer use of existing buildings
50. The planning board can control this in the permitting process.
51. scale and design in keeping with the rural, historic and special character of the region is preferred.
52. Refurbished existing homes transformed into small country inns is most ideal, and of course, encouraging bed and breakfast rooms within homes such as Airbnb
53. Keeping away from the "McMansions" that scathed the landscape in small towns on Eastern Long Island. Some of these new, giant (8,000 sq ft and above) homes were built next to a 3 bedroom ranch, which then stood in its' shadow. Very inappropriate and an example of zoning that did not serve everyone's best interests. Keeping in character with the pre-existing areas is very important.
54. If the structure is too large is detracts from what makes out town quaint to begin with. The properties already in existence should be used first, revitalized. After that is accomplished perhaps something can be added that is rustic if even still needed. The Porter House, the Cat in your Lap as well as the Cottenwood are where we need to start. Let's not recreate the wheel. Let's make what we have great again
55. The buildings should try to use the latest technology in earth friendly design.
56. Fix cottonwood and take progress from there
57. I very specifically indicated that I only support hospitality within the village center and the other commercial districts within the town. It is very hard to answer the subsequent questions about acreage and scale without feeling you are showing support for hospitality on smaller parcels.
58. The structure should keep the small town charm and rustic feel.
59. Scale of the building and number of u it's must be in proportion to the size of the property and setting.
60. I would like to see more retail in the village and more restaurants anywhere.
61. The small village charm is what we have and what we aim to keep. Keeping buildings smaller and almost resembling a European type feel with quaint shops and restaurants would be ideal.
62. I don't think any architectural character restrictions are appropriate. People have different tastes and existing B&Bs are available for people who want more traditional options. I say this as someone who LOVES millbrook and feels lucky to have found a midcentury style home here, which was quite difficult.
63. Keep our town rural and charming.
64. Avoid corporate style aka Marriott, Holiday Inn, etc. style or influx into area.
65. Should not be modern design
66. The amount of restrictions should be realistic. New business should be encouraged. Take note of other comparable small Villages (I.e. Rhinebeck). I am all for horses, open air and such however, we should be encouraging families and small business in the Village and things that support both.
67. Small home town feel. Meaning "small" nothing large scale
68. No new hospitality wanted or needed. prefer look and feel of our community as is.
69. Once again I would suggest you look at Mirbeau in Rhinebeck . I cannot believe Millbrook does not have an elegant spa/gym/ restaurant/ wellness retreat that locals can join as social members instead we spend our money in Rhinebeck for a spa/gym membership.
70. Reused structure is preferred and based on original architecture ie Bennett College structure. New construction should be traditional in design.
71. Whatever is built should be in character of area, nothing the size of Mohonk
72. This is a bad idea.
73. Simply needs to preserve the charm and country aesthetics of the town

74. Consistency with local architectural styles. Small to moderate size, no larger than existing “estates”. Avoid the “Silo Ridge model” at all costs.

75. Larger scale properties should have uses that would appeal to the residents of the town, not just guests. Keep with individual / non-generic nature in architecture

76. Millbrook has Pleasant Valley as an example to avoid

77. No commercial looking buildings. All upscale residential New England like feel.

78. Either end of the spectrum would be inappropriate. No Tiny Houses or Glamping. No huge facilities. No Condo units for short term rental.

79. high quality construction and architectural design

80. I really dont think we need this If it was done like Troutbeck, a world of its own I would be ok with it.

81. I think that Bed & Breakfast stays are the best fit for the kind of hospitality that is available in the area as it helps to maintain and protect the charm and character of the village and its surroundings.

82. If larger facilities are permitted they should be required to be tasteful or else we risk turning into Pleasant Valley, or worse.

83. None

84. The homes or hotels motels guest houses inns and b&b's should be appropriate and properly maintained.

85. I doubt this survey will prove helpful. I think it will tilt answers against hospitality uses. I think we could really benefit from properly developed and scaled hospitality venues in this town. Even a large scale hospitality development, if done correctly on the right parcel of town, could prove a benefit to this town, in terms of amenities, jobs, and way of life.

86. No comment

87. In keeping with the small village atmosphere.

88. Rural design like Colonial. Or rustic

89. Size of hospitality buildings depends on number of hospitality buildings/ businesses. Perhaps we need a guideline for a percentage of resident population; the configuration of how that percentage is reached could be a combination of different sizes.

90. It would be best if you let b and b's in town increase how many rooms they can have and encourage someone to open a small hotel in a current building...even the library has useless space and could have rooms...crazy? Think about it!

91. they should fit into the existing character of the town.

92. Fit with rural architecture. Colonial or rustic

93. I do not want any additional hospitality sites in the Town of Washington

94. Please do not allow this to go forward. The real people of Millbrook that voted for you do not want this.

95. There is adequate area and opportunity for new hospitality venues within existing business districts. This will eliminate any impact to residential property owners and will not require additional town resources and services

96. Architecture styles favored are only colonial or Greek revival; NO tudor / brick

97. Hospitality types should only be one architectural type, with a max of one complimentary style. Not one of every type.

98. I think new lodging should be no larger than about 15 rooms. If someone rehabbed the Cottonwood and it was more than 15 rooms then I would be

OK. I am not sure about the size or property size, it depends on what is being proposed. It should be similar to the architecture checked above. It should not be contemporary.

99. Buildings should integrate into the residential and rural character of the area. They should be ecologically conscious and energy efficient.

100. Architecture to match the surrounding areas.

101. I would rather see no change than open the door constant questions leading to creeping change. Developers are known to ask for the maximum they can get and then come back when the town has already committed to the project and ask for more. (20 rooms are ok, now we ask for just another 10)

102. Buildings should be residential design in keeping with the character of the town. No Motel 6's

103. The TOW PB's have historically been unwilling to restrict aesthetic characteristics given the notoriously conservative “home rule” attitude that you cannot tell people what to do - kind of the “good ole boy” rule of many on these Planning Boards. However, as we all know in 2022 that approach is nonsense. And I can personally show you the horrible examples of inappropriate aesthetic choices that this town has already made. All buildings should follow a very carefully scripted aesthetic restrictions that reflect the history and landscape of this area - that is what towns do that actually care about how they look - CT has many fine examples. Millbrook should do no less. Right now the “good ole boys” oriented zoning needs to be largely upgraded to place many more restrictions on aesthetics, lighting and noise.

104. I don't know how you would determine it, but designs and layout should be aesthetically pleasing.

105. The fact this is still going in is absurd. This was spot zoning and illegal from the beginning. Paul Schwartz and the town attorney knew about this and pushed it before anyone knew what was going on. That was Feb 2021. This while exercise is a waste of time and money. You can't put a six flags resort at the Migdale property. Unless you are ready to accept children dying in truck accidents and people not having water to drink and ruining this special area forever, please do not change the comprehensive plan. If you do we are moving. We bought our property based in part on the plan and now to change it because of some lying corrupt looser is unfortunate.

106. Any new developments need to be low scale and in a design that blends into the high-end rural environment of the town. Facilities would need to be open to non-guests.

107. They should be in keeping with the kind of architecture seen in the town.

108. Would not be in favor of any development that would be a High impact on #residents or over abundance of tourist - Would like if development was considered that it be minimal

109. No development that changes the wonderful character of Millbrook as it is today.

110. Hospitality not to exceed 20 rooms total . No condominiums No glamping . No stores , minimal unpaved parking

111. I would prefer that parking areas not be visible from surrounding roads and properties, unless natural screening (trees) were part of the plan. I think noise and exterior lighting are areas that would need much attention, so as not to disturb the character of this area. I would also want any new construction to have a very limited impact on the natural world, e.g., trees, wildlife. Sight lines and water tables also very sensitive issues.

112. They should be small in scale so as not overwhelm the rural character of the town.

- 113.** Initial right-sizing of a development is crucial. Make it viable.
- 114.** Generally moderate size. I don't generally like the idea of too much govt involvement in design, but respectful, non-ostentatious and well-kept/manicured are important
- 115.** look at Silo Ridge in Amenia.. we dont need that mess in Millbrook
- 116.** Architectural review is VERY important to maintaining the charm and character of our town.
- 117.** The hospitality industry should not dominate the town. If a building can be repurposed so that it works as a hotel/restaurant then that might be responsible repurposing. A large hotel could change the nature of the town and village in a negative way. Many people who live in the town were drawn to it because of its rural character. Hotels holding weddings and conventions would be a challenge to this character. Noise, pollution, traffic, housing density and other negative impacts must be considered. The golf development in Amenia is an example of what I would NOT like to see in the town of Washington. It's density is a lesson in what to avoid.
- 118.** there should be a survey of existing buildings in the area that might be interested changing there current use to one that encompasses the hospitality field
- 119.** The TOW does should not support a resort.
- 120.** A larger building is possible if designed well and so that the impression of the building- either upon entry or especially if visible from a public road- is that it reflects the local scale and style vernacular. Need an architectural review board.
- 121.** Town of Washington is starved for week- end- Seasonal housing-We must update our philosophy on hospitality
- 122.** I want to maintain the existing character of the TOW.
- 123.** There's way too many closed businesses in the Village of Millbrook. Anything that can stimulate the local economy deserves serious/honest consideration and analysis.
- 124.** must be appropriate for size of property
- 125.** Scale is so important - not big, not invasive, not changing the zoning code, not ruining the town so a handful of people can get some money - we shouldn't change our town to suit the developer
- 126.** Keep Millbrook/Washington a quiet upstate community. Let surrounding towns develop hospitality projects.
- 127.** Size, size, size. Limit the size and #of people coming in. 20-30 units or rooms should be the maximum.
- 128.** Thanks
- 129.** I think larger buildings that are on a larger piece of land and set off the road, etc. would be ok but a huge development of multiple buildings might be too much. My concern is really about the environmental impact of larger buildings and more people and the impact on local resources & town resources in general.
- 130.** Any new construction should be REQUIRED to use latest green renewable technologies (ie. solar, wind, geothermal) for energy use.
- 131.** I support thoughtful hospitality development that provides jobs for Village residents and enhances the vibrancy of the Village while protecting our water supply and environment
- 132.** Buildings should be size appropriate to the amount of land needed to provide the water that is needed to serve.
- 133.** No comments
- 134.** The town has for years failed to consider available water resources when enacting zoning regulations. This proposed land use change is too important to ignore the towns natural resources or lack thereof.
- 135.** hospitality should not be to grow population or visitors here - hospitality should be for what the need is already here. we do not need more people him this community.
- 136.** I think it is a grand mistake to redo the master plan so some city person can disrupt what is probably one of the best places in New York to live .
- 137.** Substantial setback, screened with landscaping, parking out of sight & limited small signage.
- 138.** Traditional style.
- 139.** It should be focused in or around the village in the first instance.
- 140.** We should use existing structures. And ideally they should be located in the village!!!
- 141.** They should look like they belong in a country setting.
- 142.** The smaller the better.
- 143.** Each project is unique
- 144.** Emphasize the use of wood and native stone. Make some buildings resemble the design of Bennett College when it was new.
- 145.** There should be no 'development' permitted. If you want to change Migdale into a hotel and a restaurant- fine. But they shouldn't be able to use a change in the Comprehensive Plan to sneak through a condominium development. Again we have great options- the Cottonwood is a good example of how we can add hospitality to the Town without compromising our zoning.
- 146.** I think its hard to speak to how much land to set aside or architectural design. For example, the cottonwood is an ideal location, has been used for that and I am not sure based on where it is so close to commercial facilities with no architectural significance - that the same architectural controls would need to apply. The biggest issue is noise, traffic - it should not affect the rural character and environment of our area or set a precedent to ring the dinner bell for NYC developers.
- 147.** I prefer that structures be built close to each other to avoid chewing up lots of open land.
- 148.** I am not opposed to an upscale hospitality venue as long as everyone feels welcomed and can use the facilities whether a guest or not. What is needed is an inn in the Village and upgrade the motel near Troop k with a restaurant. Maybe a more affordable hotel in unused building in Washington Hollow shopping area. A major necessity is to make the traffic pattern there safe! Whether a round about or traffic light regardless of hotel or not. I realize that you are not seeking that input but it is desperately needed.
- 149.** Smaller is better because larger capacity venues have failed in the past.
- 150.** Generally one building with the charm that matches the town
- 151.** Think of the neighbors!
- 152.** My experience with the town is laxity in the enforcement of zoning restrictions. If this continues and the plan discussed were implemented, it would not benefit the current rate payers!
- 153.** Property should blend in with the rural countryside and architecture should reflect historic buildings
- 154.** Any proposed hospitality venues should first focus on refurbishing abandoned buildings (the old Cottonwood/Training Center comes to mind).
- 155.** Should be small scale in keeping with the Village environment
- 156.** We are fortunate to live in a special area of the Hudson Valley. The

decisions that are made on these matters will have lasting impact. We must proceed thoughtfully and cautiously to preserve the qualities that make our community unique.

157. Any hospitality development should be on a small scale and site location should be strongly vetted. Additionally I am strongly against anything that would include a residential subdivision.

158. New construction should be in line with the existing scale and neighborhood character

159. Strong guidelines would have to be outlined as to size of buildings and architectural design so it doesn't look like Silo Ridge

160. The growth would have to be regulated. Environmental, noise, visibility, and water considerations have to be made m.?

161. visibility is an important element - town brand/vision/design shouldn't be controlling or limiting of possibilities if not visible from the road or anywhere else. If tucked away, entering into a space that is new and different that doesn't affect the integrity and beauty of the town could be a wonderful experience. Its great to have creativity and adaptability for the next 100 years.

162. The village and town of Millbrook are attractive because there are almost no large venues or buildings. It is what attracted me to Millbrook. Large buildings bring more people and more problems to the area.

163. I oppose the building of all new hospitality venues! It would change the rural community and bring traffic, pollution and crime to the area. It would not be a benefit to the residents of this town that have lived their entire lives here. It would be exclusive and not benefit the town residents in the least. We have a prime example of this in Amenia with the Segalla's Farm. One can't even drive through the gate to view the properties without permission. WE have had enough!

164. I want this Town to maintain it's rural characteristics but once you open the door, it would be really hard to close it & the damage would be done.

165. Any buildings should be in character with the design and scale of existing buildings in town and surrounding areas.

166. No hotels please... especially chains

167. This survey is designed for hospitality which I feel refers to hotel/inn not temporary rental housing. I would not agree with temporary rentals where you can get transient people who may not have the communities interest in mind.

168. The size and architectural characteristics should be considered and proportional in scale.

169. size is relative to the site - these questions are hard to answer

170. not sure

171. The community needs industry. Hospitality is an industry aligned with the Towns character (as opposed to logging, eg)

172. They should be historically in-keeping

173. I don't like the way this survey is worded. I don't believe that Mr. Guidara or his outsider friends should be allowed to come to town and dictate our future. His plans will not benefit us in any way.

174. Large projects with a scale of development such as that required by commercial hotels and motels should be avoided. Camping has a significant negative impact on the environment and locality and should be avoided. Separate the types of hospitality development and permitted areas of the town based on potential impacts by scale. A B&B or 4 room Inn has far less impact than a restaurant and 20 or 40 room hotel or hospitality destination. A hospitality overlay district should limit the type and scale of hospitality

use based on a consideration of the local neighborhood's current use and its ability to absorb or mitigate project impacts.

175. Small, charming, countryside feel with amazing farm to table cuisine served in its restaurant .

176. It is a terrible idea to bring hospitality usage to the town of Washington. I don't want to see it. I am adamantly opposed to it. It will not benefit the local community. Ask Amenia how they benefited from the private development destroying the view shed driving east on Rt 44

177. Size should be consistent with the buildings in the village and immediate surrounding areas.

178. Stay in character with the beauty of the TOW.

179. The property location and size should be in an area where it does not disturb the local wildlife and residents of the area.

180. Depends on which area on the map. I don't think a large building in the middle of the village would be beneficial

181. We don't need resorts. A small mansion type place or a large home would be fine. No 'resorts.'

182. See selected picture above.

183. Retain the character of the area. Sporting and gentleman farming

184. Sizes and architecture should be applicable to site location and visual exposure

185. Don't think a group of folks have the design talent to dictate what a property should look like>

186. The venue must fit in with the Town setting, they must comply with strict development and construction requirements, without exception.

187. That they be similar if there were to be multiple housing options on the site.

188. The question regarding how much land should be required per venue is not specific enough. .25 acre is fine for an air bnb but not for a motel. May want to assess that when reviewing the responses. Also, as far as architectural design, it's hard to say what would be acceptable or not. If you look at Vassar college, they have quite a mix of architectural styles, but it works- I feel a 'one design fits all' criteria will drastically undermine the ability for the town of Washington to embrace its diversity.

189. The person may have dreams and visions beyond. Allow that to be shared

190. Pleas do not sell us out to big money developers

191. We don't want to see "chain" type facilities with their "commercial" appearance.

192. Any site should have to prove that they will have enough water to run their facility without affecting the wells of surrounding properties. Should a neighboring property suddenly develop water issues it should be in writing at the hospitality business will be responsible for digging a new well.

193. It's all above scale and "fit"

194. We do not want to see this Venue built in Millbrook

195. I don't think there is a specific "appropriate" anything. My opinion does not override the constitution of the United States or an individuals rights. Whether I would like it to or not it there is a degree to which people have freedom to pursue happiness in this country. Who am I to try to block someone's vision of their own property? This is where I have trouble answering this survey. I don't see it having legs.

196. Keep it small

- 197.** modest size ok, but not the kind of super-new-rich joint proposed earlier for migdale. i would oppose that as energetically as i could
- 198.** Depends where it is located
- 199.** The reuse of existing rundown buildings, brought back to life are ideal for hospitality and restoring to similar historic structure - two in mind COTTONWOOD & DAYTOP
- 200.** Would prefer no more than 2 stories, with a modern farm-house aesthetic, in a more contained acreage.
- 201.** Images were very representative of ok - a casino style or name-brand style facility is not. More than 3 story buildings, probably not.
- 202.** Nothing over three stories.
- 203.** No new hospitality issues especially the large project proposed in Mabbettsville.
- 204.** Max of 30 rooms. No stand alone residences. Large properties (300+ acres) only. Must standardize Air B&B rentals and have all ABB properties registered in with TOW.
- 205.** Am not in favor of any commercial buildings anywhere. We take pride in our town the way it is. Leave it that way!
- 206.** Blends in with natural environment. Possibly some historical significance.
- 207.** If a hospitality business want to build or remodel in our town, we should throw the zoning and building codes out the window and let them build to suit the towns needs before this town dries up and blows away like it is doing now.
- 208.** As in prior answers, smaller accommodations located in several locations, not one big playground for rich clients who will never leave visit what we the town has to offer.
- 209.** Size and character of the buildings should not deter from their surroundings or from the general theme of the immediate area. I also feel more freedom could be given to structure designs that are within a larger property setting where they are not visible from the road or neighboring properties.
- 210.** Big parcels of property with lots of buffer room are ideal for buildings suited to existing architecture.
- 211.** 1900 and earlier architecture is appropriate. NOTHING Modern. NO glamping. NO yurts. NO outdoor theatres.
- 212.** I know there are factors involved in growth, but my main focus is the health of busineeases within the Village of Millbrook
- 213.** Location, sight view, number of rooms, size of any venues and the like would determine the above.
- 214.** Must keep the rural character/charm of TOW.
- 215.** If architectural/site design standards are required, larger buildings could be suitable for the town as they would have the look and feel of a charming upscale town vs large commercial hotel spaces. If those rules were not in play it leaves too much room for play.
- 216.** If we've learned anything about the rubble of Bennett College, it should be that we can no longer continue to turn away investors who want to develop vacant properties. If we continue to do so, Millbrook will be a desolate wasteland of empty, dilapidated, loss of opportunity.
- 217.** NA
- 218.** In the zones which I selected, I am fime with small to medium scale operations, like that of the Cottonwood Motel and former Cottonwood Inn. I think under those circumstances and conditions, establishments with restaurants, bar, pool, spa are appropriate. I just do not want to see larger "campus" businesses with all different amenities scattered across large areas of acreage. I'm fine with more intensive development on smaller lots in the map zones I chose.
- 219.** Na
- 220.** Answered size earlier. Character of new buildings should comply with elegant standards ...plastic to be avoided. Lighting to be soft. Local stonework encouraged. Hope some wonderful remnants of stones from Merritt are salvaged for that garden.
- 221.** DON'T DEVELOP MIGDALE. Keep hospitality buildings and properties in areas already developed and zoned for it.
- 222.** Current buildings should be readapted. We should avoid breaking ground/ new construction.
- 223.** Stop interfering
- 224.** anything flies, as long as it locally owned and not a chain
- 225.** the ratio of size of development to land ownership should be considered
- 226.** Should respect local historical styles. White clapboard, Queen Anne stone to Shingle Style are all appropriate
- 227.** Blend in with existing architecture

QUESTION 22 - Please provide any additional comments or suggestions you may have regarding short-term rentals in the Town. (This question pertains to areas of the Town outside the Village) [Write-in Comment]

1. No short-term rental should be allowed that does not require adequate off street parking for all vehicles of the renter.
2. We need more places for non-resident visitors to stay.
3. Property owners should be able to leverage their investments as they wish, as long as they are approved and comply with all local existing of enhanced ordinances that may limit guests, bedrooms, parking, noise and general disturbing of the peace. ...And the Town of Washington MUST, without exception, assume complete responsibility for EFFECTIVE and EQUAL enforcement of all applicable ordinances, existing or enhanced.
4. We do not think a house/property should be purchased and operated exclusively for the purpose of Short Term Rental. Someone at the property should have it as their primary residence.
5. I don't want people using houses for short term rentals year round. The owner should occupy the house for part of the year.
6. NA
7. All of the above covers it -- this should be allowed by highly regulated.
8. New build for short term rental is bad.
9. Not in favor
10. N/A
11. N/a
12. I think distance from neighbors and noise is extremely important.
13. STRs are extremely nuanced, effective regulation can be complicated, and enforcement challenging. The proliferation of STRs also negatively affects housing availability and affordability for our residents. It is important to ensure that STRs do not end up displacing long-term tenants, making it even harder for our neighbors to find/retain rental housing.
14. Should not be available in high density zones due to lack of affordable housing for work force families. It is too easy for neighborhoods to lose a family friendly environment, which has been seen repeatedly in vacation communities.
15. Short-term rentals have become very problematic for many towns in our area. Many of them are in violation, leaving the towns liable. Numerous towns are moving forward with stricter laws to protect property owners. The BOH cannot watch all of these accommodations being offered and the towns need to put laws into place for many obvious reasons. Septic systems need to be considered as well, there have been septic failures for over usage. These properties are being using for investment purposes in residential areas, which has become an issue for many. One example: A neighbor had a legitimate complaint with their neighbor's Airbnb guest. They were advised they can go to the Airbnb website to place their complaint with the Neighborhood Support team. Why should a neighbor need to do this? They are not in contract with Airbnb and are gaining no financial benefit from them. In fact, it can have a negative financial effect on the property if the properties are within close proximity. I suggest the committee view [airbnb.com/neighbors](https://www.airbnb.com/neighbors) webpage. If they are to continue, the towns should provide residents the ability to file their complaints within the town. Again, these short-term rentals are taking away the quiet enjoyment of adjoining property owners and neighbors.
16. No one purchased property with the expectation of having an Air bnb next door.
17. None
18. The maintenance of the property as residential or whatever it has traditionally been should be maintained. The property should not have any outside signs or indication as to its rental use unless it is an INN or B & B.
19. None
20. N/A
21. Please do not allow development
22. This is totally fine except when it would impact trash or noise.
23. I don't think short-term rental considerations are relevant to the hospitality venue discussion at present. These do not create the density issues that arise from a new hospitality venue.
24. Implement a Noise restrictions. This will help the surrounding homes/properties and guest in the new rentals feel no noise.
25. Not in favor go STR ... full stop!
26. Please make clear difference from long-term rentals (1 month or longer)
27. This can be an important income stream to some members of our community. Also without many nearby hotels that are comfortable, family members (like mine) are forced to stay in an airbnb. At the moment there aren't that many airbnbs in the area/it hasn't disrupted our housing opportunities, to the best of my knowledge. I'm in favor of basic rules like registration & ensuring the peace & quiet of our wonderful town is maintained!
28. It is a free market and people should be allowed to use their property as they see fir
29. See other.
30. A sizeable inn or two should eliminate the need for widespread short term rentals.
31. there is an underserved need for affordable short term housing for families and contract workers. with logical and proper regulation I support permits for this.
32. Country inns could really add to the town, especially if containing restaurants. "Institutions" should be avoided at all costs.
33. I think just allowing ppl to rent their spaces and revitalizing spaces that are in existence will bring people to town who will patronize the local businesses which is desperately needed.
34. Have there been problems with short-term rentals? Short term rentals seem fine to me. We don't do short term rentals with our property but I don't have a problem with them.
35. They should not be added to the regulatory oversight of local jurisdiction
36. I appreciate the revenue they bring to the village
37. None
38. I don't have a problem with short-term rentals. I think it brings a lot of

positive attention and visitors to the area. In fact, we are a family with young children and decided to relocate to Millbrook full-time after renting an AirBnB in the Village. We first did a long-weekend on a lark (didn't know Millbrook from any other town in the Hudson Valley) and then started to get to know the town and fell in love. The next summer we rented for 2 weeks, the next summer for a month and the following summer for 2 months. After our 2 month stay, we decided to move here full-time with our then-1 year old son. It's been a year and a dream come true. I see ourselves here for decades to come, and it was only because of the happy accident of being able to rent an AirBnB here!

- 39.** Using surrounding communities codes, as well as DC codes for guidance, develop comprehensive Zoning and building codes for STRs in TOW.
- 40.** Please take note, I am not versed on aquifers, farmland soils and such. My answers to those questions may not be accurate and may be changed once educated.
- 41.** Not allowed. In appropriate for this community
- 42.** No Airbnb No short term rentals
- 43.** keep Air BNB out
- 44.** Loud and disruptive behavior on the weekend.
- 45.** Whatever the decision, am hopeful this doesn't become a bureaucratic mess where businesses simply throw up their hands and say forget it, will invest outside of the area (or state). NY is already pretty much last in terms of attractiveness of places to start or expand a business
- 46.** Minimum stays required (i.e. fine to permit monthly rental, but 2-day weekend rental should not be permitted).
- 47.** I see no need for major short term rentals in this area besides those that exist
- 48.** Should be existing homes, not new developments or construction. Should not impact zoning laws.
- 49.** Short term rentals need to be clearly defined and regulated. They have been a significant negative impact in many areas and the concerns with these rentals are growing, particularly with the number of sites now available.
- 50.** N/a
- 51.** We currently have summer rentals. Do we need short term rentals?
- 52.** Some Air B&B's can be beneficial to provide overnight accommodations to people wanting to visit the area. With proper restrictions in place that can be a good option.
- 53.** None
- 54.** As long as the rentals are for tourists and vacationers and not section 8 or homeless housing
- 55.** This can provide a viable income for homeowners in the area.
- 56.** I oppose all short term rentals. No one who bought property here thought they were buying next to a hotel
- 57.** See above
- 58.** Similar to the Town of Palm Beach I would impose a minimum stay of 5 days
- 59.** Opposed.
- 60.** Minimum periods of occupancy for owners to preclude use of housing as exclusively short term rentals with no ties to the community or responsibilities toward it.
- 61.** Include Washington Hollow
- 62.** i have seen minimum stay requirements help reduce the turnover and improve the type of person renting.
- 63.** I repeat what I said above. I see no objection to someone renting their home, but I oppose building houses / cabins for rental.
- 64.** Control! Control! Control!
- 65.** Allowing single family residential homes to be used as multi- occupancy commercial enterprises will only result in annoyance and nuisance to neighboring property owners and change the character of rural and agricultural areas. There is no benefit to the town from a revenue standpoint. It will only increase service needs and demands on ALL local departments and resources such as building, permitting, enforcement, emergency and environmental services.
- 66.** Please do not change the plan.
- 67.** NA
- 68.** I am not sure what the town's noise restriction is. It may require additional guidelines.
- 69.** Consider a cap on % of housing that are rentals.
- 70.** Short-term rentals should be prohibited in all properties that fall below a minimum acreage and/or in which neighbors privacy and quiet will be impacted. New constructions (pools, decks, out building or room additions) should be prohibited in homes that are predominantly used as short-term rentals.
- 71.** This issue needs urgent attention as current zoning restrictions regarding the operation of STRs and smaller scale hospitality businesses are not being enforced by the town.
- 72.** Owner should reside at the property at least for some portion of the year.
- 73.** Who will monitor and police these facilities and at what cost?
- 74.** Only allow rentals in very isolated areas or on very large properties where neighbors are not subject to the vagaries of transient folks. I am on a small lot in between two very large estates, and I can tell you that everything done on these large estates is "big," noisy and bright with zero regard for all surrounding neighbors. The big estates need alot more regulation than this town realizes!! Not everyone is polite and tasteful and taste, of course, is definitely NOT proportionate to size or value of estate. Good neighbors, or old-fashioned neighborly respect that I grew up with outside of NY, does not seem to exist in the Town of Washington like it does in much more respectful places. I have found many people on these large estates, at least where I am, to be self-entitled and truly selfish, and if the town does not regulate things like noise or lights, no neighbor on a small lot will ever have a chance of living peacefully here. Size matters in Washington, and this town does not protect all its residents. It only protects some. And that is very sad indeed.
- 75.** I have no problem with people staying in short-term rentals to peacefully enjoy the local area. I might do this myself in other areas. I have a huge problem with people coming to the area to have noisy parties that they wouldn't have in their own community.
- 76.** Anything that makes a neighboring resident uncomfortable should be cause of disallowing the offending short term rental to continue.
- 77.** It's fine. Just tax them
- 78.** Any new built short term rentals should require a certain amount of additional year round new built stater homes
- 79.** I don't see any problem with the current number of short-term rentals. One of the only good thing about the pandemic is more people in the area have been able to support our local businesses.
- 80.** The Town and Village should not lose its historic, rural feeling as a

established community that needs not to grow and lose its character

- 81.** Occupancy taxes should apply. Loss of permission if repeats violations of noise or impact to neighbors
- 82.** Guest houses and B&Bs are already well codified as far as I know. What we must guard against is STRs without on site or nearby owner-occupancy. If managed from afar, problems will be inevitable. And residents will suffer.
- 83.** Stop trying to regulate everything that could bring business and foot traffic to the village.
- 84.** I appreciate this survey and the implication that the Town recognizes the need to help stimulate the local economy to ensure the continued viability of the Town.
- 85.** I don't know why, but I was unable to answer number 8.
- 86.** n
- 87.** should be regulated
- 88.** Nearby neighbors should be informed if permit to operate is granted
- 89.** During the pandemic some neighborhood houses had new people every week- made us very uncomfortable Lots of garbage left everywhere
- 90.** We need short term rentals as we currently do not have enough hotel/ motel rooms for weddings, equestrian events, etc.
- 91.** Limit size of parties and, if possible, minimum age of responsible party to 30 years old in areas where houses are on less than 2 acre lots. Also, have a "noise" fine if noise restrictions are broken - BUT HAVE THAT MONEY GO DIRECTLY TO THE NEIGHBORS.
- 92.** Things are fine the way they are presently! mThis entire survey is playing into the fantasy that developers usually count on! Developers seek one thing only.Making money at everyone else's (and the environments)demise.
- 93.** Property rights!!
- 94.** I have used them for my family/friends and they were very useful and convenient
- 95.** Same restrictions
- 96.** Housing within the village and town is very limited. The lack of affordable housing is negatively impacting the enrollment numbers of our school system. It's also deterring single people from being able to establish themselves within the community- it's not viable to work within the community and afford housing here. If houses and buildings cont to be used for short-term rentals the whole Trajectory of the village will change.
- 97.** In the absence of a meaningful benefit to the town, it is not clear why this would be desirable.
- 98.** Town does not currently have a noise ordinance and needs one. Many towns abolish short term rentals and I think we should consider that. It sends a signal that we are not open to shenanigans!
- 99.** Concerned about the impact on our already over-burdened fire and rescue squad.
- 100.** Short term rentals increase foot traffic and bring much income to struggling local businesses, as well as much needed income to responsible homeowners during these challenging economic times.
- 101.** Stay within the established rules and regulations
- 102.** Be careful!
- 103.** Fewer the better. More short-term=less community.
- 104.** Require all STR to register and have a way to follow up with these properties to check compliance

- 105.** You should be able to rent your own home.
- 106.** we want to bring people into our area. there is a fine line between over regulating which can hurt business and tourism.
- 107.** Short term rentals once again bring crowding, noise, traffic and possibly crime to an area. I am not in favor of short term rentals in these areas.
- 108.** None at this time.
- 109.** Again, my hope for this town is to retain it's rural characteristics. The Town should never have entertained the Migdale project in the first place. It does not belong in our town!
- 110.** I didn't realize you considered Airbnb as a short term rental. People should be allowed to rent their home as they please. I don't want houses built specific for rental to a corporation that has no private owner.
- 111.** We need housing for locals..not short term rentals.
- 112.** i think you will have to deal with issues/complaints on these items as they arise. it is too hard to regulate otherwise- apartment dwellers are sometimes not the best neighbors, but they have the right to live where they want as long as they pay their rent. so how can you judge b&b's more than apartments?
- 113.** I think local residents should be allowed to operate short terms rentals from their homes or from properties that contain 1-4 apartments. Let local residents benefit from short term rentals, not big time developers.
- 114.** Support - Low impact, generates revenue and taxes with minimal impact on town services - visitors spend dollars locally
- 115.** N/A
- 116.** Don't believe their should be restrictions as long as the town/village rules are clear
- 117.** These need to be regulated. Otherwise, what prevents people from buying up a bunch of houses, and just renting them out? They could do so with no connection to Millbrook at all- as just a money making venture. This would push out families who want to live and be here and kill our sense of community. There would be no sense of responsibility to do the right thing with your rental; it's different when you live on site or nearby. If there are problems it will cost the area time and slot of money to fix issues. No regulations leaves us very vulnerable.
- 118.** This seems like a whole topic in itself - I need to give it all more consideration.
- 119.** Unsupervised groups in residential areas. Basically needs to be closely monitored.
- 120.** Are there any complaint or nuisance statistics that can be provided by the town of Washington as to why this has become a concern? As a full time resident and know many individuals in the town and village I have not been made aware of a problematic short term rental. I.e. parties, unruly visitors ordinance disruptions ect.
- 121.** They should continue. People sample the area, fall in love, and we get more proseperous in culture, human factors, and appreciation of our homeland
- 122.** No private movie filming on the premises without permit.
- 123.** Any properties currently zoned for multiple use (R-2) should continue with the zoning privilege they presently possess.
- 124.** The way I see it, there are very few places for visitors to stay when they come to see their family members- on either holidays, graduations, funerals, etc. there's also very few places for people to stay to enjoy orvis weekends, Millbrook farmers markets, or other town events. Air bnbs allow people to

come to the area, short term, and support their families and local businesses (and the air bnb host). If these houses aren't utilized for air bnb, they could possibly be traditionally rented to 'unabiding and obnoxious' people who you can't just evict. Then your neighbors will really hate you. Air bnb allows short term stays for people, and potentially avoiding problematic long term renters.

125. Short term rentals bring more \$ to local businesses

126. Limit amount of paperwork and difficulty for owners to be able to rent their properties for short term use. Concerned about how restrictions will be monitored.

127. Please do not let this happen to our town. Please send Mr. Guidara and his glam team packing. This is not their venue.

128. I think that this adds opportunity for expanded opportunity for families and people living here to bring others into our special community. This town is not only for the country club members and extreme affluence but for artists, academics, creatives, social entrepreneurs and families that have lived here for multiple generations.

129. For residential rentals, it is important that the property be used in a manner keeping with its neighborhood so as not to disturb nearby residents, ie: parties, recreational vehicles, barking dogs, etc. should be regulated or prohibited. Basically neighbors shouldn't know that a place is a "rental" other than seeing different vehicles or people.

130. I would like to balance property owners rights with some forms of regulation in order to control the number of "transient residents" we have, but weekenders are already a big part of the towns character. We need to make sure enough permanent residents are here to make sure town services and schools are funded properly - transients and weekenders don't like to pay taxes, and permanent residents and their families can suffer for it.

131. What is definition of short term ? I propose 1 month

132. As our many dirt roads can create a challenge for motorists at certain times of the year wording to that effect should be in any listing.

133. permit , occupancy tax

134. Seems like there is a double standard. Those with a bed and breakfast permit have to abide by regulations whereas those doing AirBnB and VRBO exist without any permits or regulation and send 10% of their revenue to Silicon Valley. I'm not complaining about this but it is worth noting that corporate powerhouses like AirBnB benefit from this Wild West style of property rentals.

135. Have a way to enforce these rules

136. primarily limiting number of STR to allow for full time residents to live here

137. they are a plague, cheapen the property values, and all in all are annoying.

138. Regulation committee should research national & international models. A lot of good work & examples exist

139. Let people do what they want.

140. Air bb not applicable to this discussion

141. I support economic development in the town and village, I support improvement of village structures to attract new business, I support new construction of homes and businesses.

142. too crowded as it is

143. Must be registered with the TOW. Lose license if multiple complaints. Limit number of guests. Noise rules. Limit parking spots/vehicles.

144. Not in favor.

145. Airbnb does a good job of self regulating. We also have a shortage of places to stay. Airbnb and vrbo provide for a variety of budgets. Not just high end

146. Right now, other than visitors " think" the area is " nice" there is nothing to offer guests. Most people that have moved here don't want anything so there is nothing, our restaurants have closed most businesses are dying, there is simply no attractions to visit here. Think what you want but without population there is no growth. I have lived here 70 years and (just for an example) there are 22 less businesses just in the Mabbettesville hamlet than there was 45 years ago. The Town pool is empty all the time when you use to have to wait on line 2-3 miles backed up on route 44 just to get a picnic table. It is a perfect example of all the local towns people gathering. Now all the wealthy residents have their own pool and won't and don't assimilate with local residents.

147. Same concerns as before - noise, crime, disruption. These are no fun to live near - it makes the neighbors miserable.

148. As above.

149. In my experience, the idiots who own the property behind mine are as or more dangerous with their guns, fireworks, and fires than any idiots. But you never know--let's enforce noise, fire, and gun laws for everyone.

150. Town should not interfere with property owner's rights.

151. As long as local laws are followed, and taxes are paid, property owners should be allowed to use/operate their homes as they see fit.

152. Essential to have TOW staff to follow-up on homeowner compliance with STR permit

153. I am very dissatisfied with the current lack of regulation as it pertains to these short-term rentals.

154. Not qualified to respond as I don't know current regulations...

155. Just no

156. let the people make money off airbnb. its there house they can do what they want

QUESTION 23 - Are there other types of uses (besides hospitality) which would be appropriate in the town that you think are needed? *(This question pertains to areas of the Town outside the Village) [Write-in Comment]*

1. what about an acute care medical facility (clinic)?
2. businesses that are affordable and appealing for everyone
3. I can't think of any at this time.
4. NA
5. we need to have low/medium income housing. it would be lovely to have a brewery nearby
6. Low and medium income housing
7. We need more restaurants....shops that are family centered.
8. I do think there is a need for upscale, tasteful condominiums in developments of no more than 20 or so, similar to those in the village. The same qualifications should apply as to hospitality -- scale, visuals and noise.
9. Artist community work space
10. Education. Forestry.
11. N/A
12. No
13. N/a
14. Entertainment (live performance, film, art) in a central location in the village (e.g., Thorne Building)
15. Would be nice to have comfortable accommodations for visiting friends and family
16. Can't think of any.
17. The new Bennett College park and trails will provide new complimentary outdoor recreation to the Village and Town, which was needed and will be well-used and valuable to the community.
18. The town needs to consider all who live in the area. Over and over we hear many say they want stores that are affordable for all. There have been so many great additions to the businesses in our area. Grateful to Kira at the Merritt Bookstore for going above and beyond for bringing in so many toys and other items. Often I hear many would like to see stores such as the Millbrook Department Store return to our area. Consider this, we live in a village that many cannot buy a tee shirt or socks at the stores that are presently available. We have many elderly people who live here and we need to consider their needs as well, as the internet is not a choice. The Mabbettsville Ice Cream Store was always a great gathering place, especially for children after sports. There is a need for offering more to the children in the town. Some examples: SPARC Park in Stanford. That was a community based project which was very well received, a skateboard park and I often read online that many would like to see a park for pets. The Corner News Store was always full of activity for all ages. Rhinebeck has all of which I have suggested and they continue to thrive.
19. always be aware of water needs and septic concerns
20. Community garden
21. restaurants with restrictions
22. Bakery, coffee shop, theatre, high end retail
23. 1.there is no state of the art facilities available to residents and/or public as a recreation center; the private schools (and to some extent the

public school) have facilities that no one else can use even when those facilities are unused for long o periods of time and local residents have no alternatives. A modest fee could be charged or maybe sine tax abatenebnt for those places that made a such offerings available. Of course, as not for profits, they don't pay taxes so not sure how that would work. 2.there is no addressing of the needs and desired amenities for the increasingly ageing population in the Town of Washington. At best it is tokenism. At worst it is ignorance or prejudice. 3 the same is true for handicapped or physically and mentally challenged persons 4. Another idea is to train volunteers (or maybe modestly paid) docents/interns for here.. To offer hospitality history tours of the area...lots here. 5.Town needs to work cooperatively with the Village in the development of emerging cultural centers and park under Bennett/Thorne initiatives and include such entities as the MAG and historical society and most importantly the Millbrook Library. We are too small an area or governance entity to have any duplication on the one hand or lack of coordination in marketing etc on the other. Festivals and interesting conferences would enhance the desirability of the. Town (and the Village) but all of that will require some strategic coordination among the two entities. Spinning in one's own orbit is no longer productive or valuable to everyone. 8. And the really big big thing needed is a local newspaper...electronic or paper or whatever but some place that EVERYONE knows of and reads and is part of what is going on. The demise of local papers here and in small towns across this country is one of the worst things that has ever happened to our society. IN the same category of public communication is the use (or non use) of the municipal TV channel which by FCC law is part of the awarding of the cable franchise is an exclusive company is almost never used for really important communication. No community paper...paper or electronic, no public access station on cable used regularly, _ disenfranchising of the folks you/we want to engage in decision-making like this survey.

24. We need everything.
25. Does the town want new visitors or not? Does the town want a spirited community or not? It appears to me that the community is not interested in entertaining newcomers, otherwise there would be more for them to do. There is literally NOTHING of any entertainment value in Millbrook, except for the Horse Trials, nor have I noted any effort to provide any. A few new restaurants would certainly be welcome, and a movie theater would be fantastic. Even showing movies at the big hall in town would go a long way toward building community. Or a Community Theater. I do not believe any of that is a priority here; people pretty much stick to themselves, and appear to be fine with it. Let them all go to Sharon, Millerton or Great Barrington if they want "fun" seems to be the attitude. Which is ok, too. Just don't pretend to want something you really don't.
26. None that I can think of
27. N/A
28. We should not change our Zoning
29. more diverse restaurants that offer upscale lunch spots
30. I would like to see a public/private partnership for a skating rink that could be roller skating in the summer. the days of relying on Millbrook school may be limited
31. Mabbettsville, South Millbrook and Washington Hollow should be Hamlet zones which permit modest and tasteful condominium development. The current formula for allowing any development in the Mabbettsville hamlet

should be omitted because it effectively prohibits anything being done there. The current master plan's approach is to effectively keep everything the same. To a large extent, I would not change much in the 5 and 10 acre zones. However, in those areas I have mentioned which are just off the edge of the Village, a certain amount of hospitality and condo type development would be beneficial to both the Town and the Village.

32. entertainment venue, education venue

33. Other uses in the Town should not conflict with or divert from creating a healthy and successful local business community within the Village proper. I do not want the Village to lose any potential income from uses or venues located in a more rural part of the Town. Also, any consideration for a new use in the Town should exclude Uses that create added environmental burdens, increased traffic, or other detrimental changes to the bucolic environment which is one of the most precious things the Town and Village have to offer.

34. recreation, community building, youth centers, elderly support

35. The town must remain rural and all commercial activity must be centered in the village where it can flourish if smartly planned and managed.

36. Since we are told that the TOW needs to increase tax revenues, someone in authority should explain why the town opted out of cannabis sales. I'd choose a weed shop over Silo Ridge any day of the week.

37. Salt water swimming pools - public, annual dues. More walking trails - opening up (meaning publish these places to residents) all the Dutchess County Land Conservancy properties, that a few residents currently use for riding and hunting - there is a map. Unless you know someone or have the access it is off-limits to most residents. The rail trail beyond Overlook off Altamont towards Unionvale - a mystery??? All other counties improve their rail trails, publish the access, albeit they are adjacent to large estates - not exclusive??

38. This entire survey, as I've said in earlier responses, is clearly biased toward development, a bias that appears hard-wired into Town of Washington administration. Stick with the approved comp plan update and drop this current exercise entirely.

39. Free, publicly accessible nature areas and more hiking/walking trails.

40. Supermarket, and other eateries.

41. To promote the arts (theatre and music)

42. The town needs to make better provisions for infrastructure in the future and responsibly allow for areas of cluster homes and extraction of sand and gravel

43. The town of Washington rec does a WONDERFUL job at their programming for all ages. I'd love to see them have more spaces to program. Same with the Library--they are wonderful! I look forward to seeing what they do with the new Community center. I'd love to see some of the local businesses (Stagecoach, Aurelia, Farmer's Daughter, that local maple syrup farm closer to amenia) come back and/or small scale entertainment facilities (perhaps post-Covid!)---like a bowling alley, roller skating ring, a small movie theater, drive-in or other activity/community oriented businesses!

44. New restaurants/specialty food markets

45. The hamlet area, Mabbetsville and as you enter the Town on Route 44 should be examined and re-zoned to allow for more proficient uses.

46. I felt that this survey was unduly biased in favor of no development by its structure and questions asked.

47. Country grocery market/gift store

48. More useful practical shops for the residents as well as visitors that may

have practical needs.

49. I support recreation for residents, ie, a community fitness gym. Classes could be held, ie weights, aerobics, zumba, tai chi, children's gymnastics on Saturday mornings, for example. This could be a very reachable goal.

50. The town pool is great for families. I think we should ask Chelsea Edson if she has a wish list for the town pool and park. She does a wonderful job with activities for children.

51. No the town is perfect, leave things as is

52. Breweries, restaurants, adventure parks

53. Overall, the town could use 1-2 more restaurants (especially something Thai/Vietnamese/Indian/Sushi!). It would be nice if there were more facilities to support indoor activities for young kids in the winter. Tribute Garden is a godsend when the weather is nice, but in the winter it can be very isolating, and we go stir crazy with the little one.

54. Not at this time.

55. other business and recreation facilities

56. Retail shops, sports facilities

57. No new uses wanted or needed. prefer look and feel of our community as is. Instead the existing set of uses in the zoning code should be reduced to remove those that are not in conformance for with the intent and vision of the existing comprehensive plan

58. Bars, restaurants, shops, sports and recreation complex

59. small music venues

60. The town should very very careful with development. As I said the Berkshires are being destroyed do to overbuilding and reuse of historic properties.

61. None. Don't do this please. I have lived in Millbrook for 50 years. And every ounce of my being begs you don't allow this type of hospitality in our wonderful town.

62. Boutique ice skating rink for figure skating, hockey and recreational skating.

63. No

64. I am not sure what is meant by the term "hospitality" means in these discussions. If the town has budget problems they should take a hard look at expenses not look for a way to encourage transits

65. Restaurants

66. more restaurants and sustainable businesses. Finally redevelop empty concert hall at the end of Franklin Ave.

67. no

68. I would love to see the town draw artists and craftspeople to the town and encourage them (perhaps by providing appropriate space at reduced cost) to sell their goods in the town.

69. No

70. Biking and walking paths.

71. Bicycle/ hiking lanes adjacent to roads with adequate space for safety

72. I think we should explore businesses other than hospitality to bring activity to Millbrook, such as a tasteful but high volume store like Hammertown, a movie theatre, specialty food stores like Harney's tea, a year-round indoor farmer's market like Big Rock, etc. I think we are focusing too much on hospitality when there are other, less controversial ways to revitalize the town.

- 73.** We could use a greater number of restaurants in the town. But should ban fast food establishments like McDonalds.
- 74.** Commerical in Washington Hollow
- 75.** Washington Hollow should be a commercial zone.
- 76.** Commercial uses allowed in Washington Hollow area
- 77.** Yes, identify wetlands, forests, riparian and other drainage systems whose protection will contribute to reducing erosion and flooding and that will protect biodiversity.
- 78.** no - the continued use and maintenance of open spaces and agricultural land use should be encouraged and supported
- 79.** Conservation easements
- 80.** Unsure
- 81.** Taxed Air BnBs are fine, but not great, and should only be allowed in the village or anywhere that has existed historically
- 82.** No. I can not think of any other type of use. We have a shopping area, etc already.
- 83.** No
- 84.** Cannot think of anything that is "needed" more than zoning protections for sensitive environmental habitats as the number one priority. Far too much of the town is vulnerable and unprotected by zoning, and the profit vultures, including local investors, are licking their chops to get at thousands of acres of unprotected land. One cannot know where to build anything or many any plans without a proper analysis of where the fragile areas are located first. 2) Washington's biggest asset is it's beautiful landscapes, ruin that and you Washington! If we don't value that alone, I am not sure any of this work is worth it. The number one priority of the Comp Plan was to protect rural character of this town. Yet, I have personally fought for years against forces in this town that resist environmental protections every step of the way. Some think that is changing, but I am less convinced given the forces behind the scenes that control so much and have for generations. 3) The only other "uses" that could be considered once the enviro areas are protected - is some kind of nature/meditation retreat modest in size - not traditional hospitality with big events - but something modest and peaceful. That may be currently allowed as BnB, but I am not sure.
- 85.** None
- 86.** A Horse Park! I so miss the Millbrook Equestrian Center. A venue for shows and competitions like the Kentucky Horse Park would be great.
- 87.** We don't need a fake resort ruining our town which was only allowed to proceed by illegal and corrupt means that will by brought to attention should this proceed. Spot zoning is illegal. It's that easy. People should / will go to jail for this. Especially Paul Schwartz. We have a very special place- please don't ruin it for some outsider lying sob. MAy as well put a Walmart and Costco along 44.
- 88.** No
- 89.** It would be nice to have more farmers markets, such as Paleys in Sharon CT.
- 90.** A good bakery! More farm stands.
- 91.** Possibly but not sure what
- 92.** Uses that encourage day trips to Millbrook and support of Village businesses
- 93.** entertainment (cinema, "little theater," also reinforcement of the library's outreach to include classes of many kinds and for all ages. One shop we could all benefit from: a real bakery.
- 94.** n
- 95.** I believe that some multi-family development for various income levels is needed.
- 96.** Nope
- 97.** vacant business such as cotton wood hotel.. copperfields and the gym on rt 44.... its a same to see them waste away
- 98.** think about venues that would enhance the economic stability of area during the off season.like an ice rink
- 99.** No
- 100.** Food, I miss Mabbitsville Market. A gym or spa would be nice. We don't have a nice Fitness Facility in town. Also public pickleball courts at the Town Pool or the village would be great. It's the fastest growing sport in America.
- 101.** moviehouse
- 102.** I think all should happen in the village
- 103.** No.
- 104.** Privately held - maybe more restaurants.
- 105.** More parties
- 106.** Thanks
- 107.** More walking paths , trails, safe bicycle routes
- 108.** No
- 109.** Affordable shops for visitors and guests ie Millbrook dept store, news shop, outdoor dining venues
- 110.** arts - performing or visual centers would be of interest
- 111.** If your allowing something as destructive to Millbrook as excessive hospitality how about wind farms or a gas generation facility.
- 112.** An indoor pool
- 113.** No. Development should be contained in the Village. We should be doing more to help our li Al village businesses and allowing a high end developer to put something miles away from the village center is NOT helpful.
- 114.** Public, accessible outdoor recreation, hiking trails
- 115.** Smaller inns and B & B's are most suited to our rural community
- 116.** I do not think we should be asked only about what should be outside the village. The village is the commercial area of our town and village residents seem to have no problem opining on what happens outside the village. There seems to be a real "not in my backyard" sentiment among some village residents. They don't want hospitality but they don't care if it exists in areas where it doesn't affect them. If the idea is that we "need" hospitality for our businesses, then it stands to reason that the visitors should be located in areas where the businesses are - like it is in Millerton for example or Washington Hollow.
- 117.** It would be great to have a small hotel in town with a bar/restaurant; an ice rink and a movie theater. A skateboard park for kids would be great too.
- 118.** Not sure
- 119.** No.
- 120.** I support any develop of outdoor recreation
- 121.** Migdale
- 122.** Preservation of natural landscape and open space, including hiking trails and other site appropriate recreational activity.

- 123.** Please do not change what drew you here in the first place.... peace and quite, natural beauty, friendly people. Leave it alone.
- 124.** None- leave things rural and quiet
- 125.** Our biggest concern is new establishments that drain resources without enhancing our Village and surrounding areas.
- 126.** Recreation
- 127.** I think we should focus on enhancing the village and what is offered to increase traffic there
- 128.** Sporting uses
- 129.** We need more options for recreational activities for kids. Sports programs, events, activities, things to get kids out of the house but not something school related. More kids are being homeschooled because our district is turning to shit. Give these kids an actual indoor basketball gym that can be used anytime.
- 130.** No
- 131.** Affordable housing (not short term)
- 132.** Not at this time.
- 133.** No comments
- 134.** Stores with affordable prices & not those that only cater to the rich. I never shop in Millbrook because there is nothing there that I can afford. The only place I go is to Stewarts or Uncle Al's. Everyone who lives in this Town is not wealthy!
- 135.** Higher end destination restaurants, Gardens
- 136.** You should look at some of the other thing happening around the country/ Hudson Valley - no distrillaries, be careful that any workshops type operation have proper chemical disposal and safety operations (i.e. a perfume making workshop, cloth dye-ing, etc) which might come up and be included in the permitting application
- 137.** No
- 138.** No
- 139.** These rentals bring revenue to the town & local businesses. Provided the homeowner is respectful of neighbors, maintains the property and renters are also respectful and responsible, I think these provide a much needed service to travelers and homeowners.
- 140.** Condos or rentals for residents who wish to sell their homes, but want to stay in area
- 141.** Bike paths/ dog park
- 142.** Small businesses and restaurants are great. We don't need to turn into a service town for the rich and part time weekenders.
- 143.** Na
- 144.** Additional outdoors venues: pool, tennis facilities and equipped play grounds.
- 145.** Culture Culture Culture!!!!!! Everything is voted down for provential reasons. We go backwards while other towns are going forward!!!
- 146.** Thank you for all you are doing!
- 147.** affordable housing would be welcome to many but I don't see it happening.
- 148.** Judging by past situations where newcomers have tried to set up a hospitality venue in the town of Washington, it wasn't publicized in an optimal light. The sentiment of 'not in my backyard' seems to always prevail. The fear of change/ emotional change seems to be a large factor also.
- Population increase isn't always bad, and yes, your view in your backyard may change- unless you want to pay the taxes on your view- it's going to change at some point. I think if the town allows potential hospitality venues to submit plans, and possibly well designed drawings for the public to view, it may be a better method for acceptance. People tend to jump at the unknown and say 'No!'. Have a full visual set up for them to absorb and potentially to possibly agree.
- 149.** More restaurants!
- 150.** More small business - family style department store, family eateries,
- 151.** We need more all town community programming. It seems strange to dedicate all this time to what we do not need while not discussing what our town needs to be a better community.
- 152.** More "everyday" businesses that cater to the "locals" rather than the "rich and famous"
- 153.** More bike lanes, bike trails (take over the old railway system!) And protections for pedestrians. Cars and trucks can sometimes be a menace.
- 154.** Resturants
- 155.** More shops, Movie, live theatre
- 156.** supportive proactive board to consider events and venues that bring new people to millbrook
- 157.** At street level, Franklin street is lined with real estate agents, Professional offices and heath facilities. None of these draw shoppers and visitors. The Village needs to becomes more welcoming and vibrant.
- 158.** All season (Indoor) Farmers/ Community market. Essentially, a venue which promotes and allows all the surrounding business to operate together under one roof, which the local population would enjoy immensely.
- 159.** No
- 160.** Youth activity center. Art, dance studios.
- 161.** The town actually is balanced in providing its needs - except for one or two small inn type hospitality units to help with season events within the town and special occasion needs.
- 162.** we do need a small hotel or inn in millbrook. appropriateness is all a matter of scale.
- 163.** No
- 164.** Something that would benefit senior citizens i.e., a Senior enter.
- 165.** Event space Art & theater additional public (not private membership based) recreation : tennis, golf, pickle ball Mountian biking (awesome low operational cost economy) : brings visitors that are health & environmentally conscious that spend money with local businesses
- 166.** Recreational areas that preserve wetlands, woodlands from building
- 167.** Conservation
- 168.** Affordable housing especially for young people.
- 169.** Light manufacturing, additional construction of single family homes (including reasonable housing developments and subdivisions)
- 170.** Dog Park
- 171.** keep it rural to protect what natural resources we have and all the native wildlife we still are blessed to live amongst
- 172.** No
- 173.** Nothing needed
- 174.** Cross country skiing? Outdoor activities that are open to everyone.

175. Fast food venues, bowling alleys, sports complex, movie theater, ice cream stands, museums, park enhancements with to do, skateboard parks. Conversion of land in winter for a local " ice skating " Anything to attract "people"

176. Other types of uses for what ? This question makes no sense. Do you mean good and entertainment places to dine, why yes all of these, otherwise this question is not clear.

177. I wish we could have a village skating pond and public tennis courts.

178. no

179. A theatre in the Village would be a great addition.

180. I believe the Route 44 corridor in Mabbettsville and Washington Hollow should be looked at to allow more commercial uses with proper restrictions.

181. Car Wash

182. Restaurants... Businesses that draw people in... Businesses that create competition with local vendors

183. The village and the town desperately need options for the non-wealthy folks. Millbrook is pushing away those who cannot afford to live, shop, eat here by always catering to those who have abundant financial resources.

184. PRESERVE the rural character above all else!

185. none

186. small movie theater

187. This town desperately needs more affordable variety and diversity of retail, dining, entertainment and community/social interests.

188. A cobbler. More smallish restaurants. A true Italian espresso cafe in the Thorne Building Cafeteria, open 7 days a week!

189. The new Bennett park should have an outdoor skating rink that can be used as a skate park in the warmer months

190. Idk

191. make washington hollow commercially zoned

192. Low income housing and social services support.

193. Small office spaces to rent!! Thorne Building should have this and make money from the rentals to support the community aspect of the building. Lots of people will want this going forward

QUESTION 24 - Please provide any additional thoughts or comments you may have about the consideration of future hospitality within the Town of Washington and/or within the Village of Millbrook. *[Write-in Comment]*

1. Please go gingerly.
2. strict regulations
3. The beautiful pastoral nature of Millbrook area that drew new residents is a fragile balance that, once altered, cannot be restored. Any changes would need to slowly be made and arduously regulated.
4. Less regulations. More support and encouragement for businesses looking to bring in more non-resident visitors.
5. I do not think the comprehensive plan should be changed.
6. I am in favor of thoughtful attractive small and mid scale hospitality development along Route 44, in the areas of 6, 7, V, and 8. I am completely opposed to development, of any type, in the rural N.E. Mabbettsville zone.
7. convert old rail lines to rail trails
8. Convert the old rail lines to trails to enable mobility and to attract outdoor enthusiasts.
9. We should question why so many full-time and part-time residents shop beyond our community....
10. The building that is owned China/Tokyo (that contains Stewarts) has untold potential AND an enormous parking lot. That whole thing can be a wonderful village centerpiece with a bar/restaurant, inn/hotel and a small spa. With all due respect, the Thorne family and/or other local families or individuals with the means should approach that building's owner, buy the property for whatever it takes and develop into something wonderful. In the end I am sure it will not be a bad investment for the principals and certainly not a bad investment for the community and the hospitality problem.
11. I am very concerned that this town government lacks the knowledge and interest to understand the implications of approving a project, and the ability to conduct a thorough review of a proposal, especially when the developer is using highly-skilled attorneys and consultants. Therefore, any changes that are made to planning and zoning laws must limit discretion available to the decision-makers.
12. Tax revenues from hospitality businesses is a red herring - if you need more tax income, the residents of TOW include plenty of people who can cough up more. Employment from hospitality is not exclusively positive. Service roles/dynamic is not good and the concept of millbrook as a source of hotel labor is very different proposition vs supporting local restaurant and business OWNERS.
13. N/A
14. N/a
15. The key is to preserve the rural character of the overall community in ways that will support businesses in the Village and Washington Hollow and, to a lesser extent, in Mabbettsville.
16. Too many questions, the one critical issue is that the developers have enough financing to not reduce property values by downmarket execution.
17. Would love to see a bowling alley or a cinema or something of that sort.
18. Small- to moderately-sized rural hospitality uses in the Town should be sited and designed to be compatible with the rural nature of the area. Similarly-sized hospitality uses within the village could be more conspicuous as would be appropriate in a rural village setting (even rural villages are somewhat "urban" in their form).
19. Can understand wanting to share the beauty of the area, as long as it is beneficial to the town, and helps local businesses and restaurants.
20. It's critical for our business community not just to survive, but to thrive. Hospitality will be a big contributor to the economics of Millbrook businesses.
21. I think that anyone entering into decisions in bringing more hospitality resources to our town (Planning board members, planning consultants, etc.) should understand the history of the town, the origins of Millbrook, how and when it developed, by who. That type of background is available at the Millbrook Historical Society archives and on their website. In 1923 the Millbrook Garden Club (Mrs. Thorne among them) suggests the opposition to billboards between Washington Hollow and Lithgow --- and do we see any billboards to this day? - No.
22. Rhinebeck NY, Stockbridge MA, Lenox MA, Great Barrington MA, and Hudson, NY, & Hillsdale NY have all incorporated increased hospitality and adaptive re-use of existing structures in their communities over the last few decades. A fine review of their relevant Zoning and Enforcement Codes and Meeting Agendas and Minutes would be instructive. Closer to home, the Troutbeck and Silo Ridge properties, and how they came to be, should be reviewed for relevance to similar proposals put before the Town of Washington and when updating the Comprehensive Plan.
23. It has been shared that they're are many who would like to see Millbrook become the next Bedford Hills, though they're many others who would like Millbrook to continue to keep its charm, beauty and history, which is due to the many efforts of those within our community. Bringing in bigger business is not always best for the smaller businesses, there are always risks. That being said, I understand Haven Spa is up for sale in Rhinebeck. I was told that it was due to them losing business to Mirabeau Inn & Spa. One can only hope as we move forward it will be in the best interest of all who live in the Town of Washington. Thank you for giving us the opportunity to share our thoughts on this very important matter with this survey. We appreciate all the efforts of the Committee.
24. The whole idea of destination hospitality makes no sense for the TOW. Guests stay on the property the entire time - that's the point! There is no guarantee of revenue for Village businesses, and everyone else ends up paying for failed developments. The existing comprehensive plan allows for some hospitality and it should be followed.
25. None
26. They should not fall into disrepair. Unoccupied buildings should be returned to natural state. Property maintenance codes should be adopted and enforced.
27. The Village needs help, needs more and better shops and restaurants. Let's focus our energies there. The TOW doesn't need any more hospitality beyond B&Bs. Keep our town beautiful, don't spoil it with any Silo Ridge or Second Mountain- like ventures.
28. It is an important issue. And should have a more broad based discussion and involvement of the residents. Making that involvement happen so that many voices are heard is a challenge.
29. Size, scale, character, visual impact, impact on the water table, wildlife, sewage considerations, and ecological damage are only a few reasons to be

most careful with inviting the Trojan Horse of Hospitality into the town. The law of Unintended Consequences will surely come for us and paradise never returns to its original state. It is always transformed, and never, never for the better. Only uglier, louder, less welcoming to humans and wildlife. Why fix what isn't broken? Because someone with a lot of money thinks he can make a killing up here? He will create an Island on the Land, like the oozing eyesore of Silo Ridge that was (literally) thrown up in Amenia. And those "guests" and owners are now suing the town because they don't like their tax bills. Buyer beware.

30. Some hospitality is desirable. But nothing that would be considered by a reasonable person as "a development," such as the proposed development of dozens of structures on the Migdale property.

31. It would be nice to see a little new life breathed into the Town of Washington.

32. Do it!

33. I just really want Millbrook to maintain its charm! I love the concept of Bed and Breakfasts... I think that would achieve some of the desired goals of additional hospitality, while still maintaining Millbrook's essence.

34. We should not alter the Comp Plan

35. I hope we can find a way to re-open the Cottonwood and hopefully improve its street presence. I hope we can allow Migdale to operate as a small boutique hotel/spa without housing development with max 30 rooms.

36. Should property become available to do so in the Village I would favor future properly regulated hospitality uses in the Village. I have already given my opinion with regard to the Town.

37. Hospitality venues will work as long as we are all in sink from the start.

38. Any consideration should be made respectful of the existing Town Comprehensive Plan (without amending changes to it) and not do damage to the Village businesses nor have any environmental impact to the Town and Village.

39. We have the great fortune to live in one of the most beautiful & pristine towns in New York State. Because of a good Master Plan, this beauty and the lifestyle it has afforded us, has been preserved. We should not be the generation who ruins it. We have seen what suburbanization has done to Westchester and Putnam Counties. We must learn from their experience. What makes Millbrook extremely special and beautiful is the clear distinction between village and town.... between charming downtown and rural open land. We need to be good stewards and not let this gift be destroyed.

40. A focused effort to engender a broad community level support of commercial establishments and also creative / artistic venues / endeavors. Thereby, maintaining all our beautiful Franklin Avenue and neighboring blocks and keeping it vital and available. PLEASE CAN WE FORM A COMMITTEE TO REMOVE THE ENTITY KNOWN AS STEWARTS. I HAVE A HARD TIME UNDERSTANDING HOW THIS ASPECT OF LIFESTYLE CAME TO BE PART OF THE VERY COMMUNITY THAT ALL OF US ARE CURRENTLY FOCUSING ON THIS SURVEY. HOW IT PASSED APPROVALS DEFIES REASONABLE THOUGHT. TRULY HOPE THIS RESONATES AND EFFECTS A CHANGE. THE NEW PARKING LOT LIGHTING (KNOWN IN DARK SKY SPEAK AS LIGHT POLLUTION) EXCEEDS ANY MEASURE I AM ABLE TO CONVEY HERE. Also hoping we have a commission to police all lighting, Town and Village. Fear should not prevail over the beauty of night sky and ecologically adverse effects.

41. Drop this activity. Go back to and stick with the approved comp plan update.

42. Please consult with all property owners on any final resolutions prior to any approvals. I have the upmost respect for the Town of Washington and all

surrounding areas.

43. It's imperative that Millbrook's rural character is maintained and there's continued support for agriculture. The challenge is to attract people who have respect and appreciation for our community and its history. Generally, our neighbors are considerate people, however, recently we have experienced an influx of newcomers who do not respect private property, adhere to zoning/ rules and have a sense of community. Its of utmost importance that our Master Plan and Zoning Rules/Regs send a strong message to those who only have their own self-interest in mind.

44. I have inserted this above but I also want to thank the Town of Washington leaders for this survey and for incorporating everyone's feedback! Change is natural & necessary, and it is wonderful that you are creating change with feedback to ensure it is elegant, efficient & thoughtful for all. Thank you so much & we are so happy to be a part of this wonderful community.

45. In is unsustainable and unrealistic to expect that businesses in the village can exist and thrive longterm without additional visitors to the town.

46. I am supportive of restrictions on STR or at least requiring additional fees that benefit the town and all residents. Because I am opposed to creating additional hospitality uses in the town, my input was limited by the design of the survey. That is unfortunate

47. We want to encourage and support farms

48. Again I would recommend year round exercise programs for residents if possible. I believe there would be a lot of support for programs such as this.

49. I do not think the Comprehensive Plan needs to be changed.

50. Websites like airbnb and vrbo allow people to explore our beautiful town/ village and those people bring in money. The key is to allow those people to visit and supply our town/village with that money without feeling that we have lost the village charm or that everyone in the village/town is a tourist. This can be a good thing for the town but it should be regulated for the length of stays.

51. Asian Restaurants! Shops that are interesting but more accessible price point

52. Some limited development or better yet, taking over structures that are empty would be great. I am opposed to large projects that would detract from the area where people come to enjoy the area that is not overly developed

53. Outside the village, as street driving is narrow and dangerous as it is.

54. No new uses wanted or needed. prefer look and feel of our community as is. Instead the existing set of uses in the zoning code should be reduced to remove those that are not in conformance for with the intent and vision of the existing comprehensive plan

55. The goal should be "How do we encourage young families and tourists to move/visit the town?" All taxes from businesses should be used to lower residents tax burden for services and schools.

56. As noted above, avoid the "Silo Ridge" feel/model at all costs. No big developments, no big hotels.

57. The most proper spot for a hospitality venue is the Cottonwood area or the former Bennett property.

58. Do not change zoning laws or create carve outs for any circumstances. Keep rural feeling of Millbrook and the Town of Washington. Don't impact environment with building approach.

59. The future plan needs to address all types of hospitality venues with strict guidelines and enforcement for each so that there are protections in

place for both the Town and it's residents.

60. Rhinebeck is a good example of vibrant Hudson Valley town

61. This is a wonderful area just the way it is.

62. I think that in the Village or in Mabbettsville, a small hotel could be very nice and add to our town. This type of small hotel wouldn't need large acreage and could still be lovely. Outside of those areas, I think the acreage and the siting of the venue will be the most important factors in determining how many units should be considered.

63. Progress and change is always inevitable, but care must be taken as to how and what is changed. It seems you are taking the necessary steps to do so. Our area has a unique and wonderful charm which must be protected. Thank you for your efforts.

64. I think the town and village will benefit from thoughtful planning of hospitality accommodations.

65. It is a balance between providing sufficient availability of rooms to encourage tourism and, on the other hand, avoiding too much noise and stress on the infrastructure. Although I would prefer to see smaller facilities, a larger one that is tasteful and sufficiently isolated would not be terrible, if properly done.

66. As long as the properties are maintained and the environment around the area is appropriate for our town of Washington.

67. Additional lodging is lacking in the town. However, the implementation of such must be completed with the appropriate concerned to preserve the character of the community.

68. Look around. Current comprehensive plan seems to work for Washington.

69. Use large houses and buildings for hospitality...

70. please don't let Millbrook become the next Silo Ridge. Please protect our beautiful rural community and pristine environment and don't let the developers come in and rape it for their own benefit.

71. I wish to preserve the Town of Washington as a residential / farming / nature community in which the beauty of our countryside and diversity of its wildlife and vegetation are protected. I do not want this soiled and debased by commercial development, including hotels.

72. And changes or regulations should be considered not just for today but next year and the following years.

73. small owner occupied and operated B&B's in the village along with revitalization and restoration of existing commercial buildings and areas within the business district would provide adequate additional hospitality. This will significantly improve appearances and add character with no impact on residential and agricultural areas

74. Not supportive

75. No rock concerts under any circumstances and anywhere in the area

76. NA

77. I think lodging should be very specific areas such as near the current motel, or in the village. I wish someone would rehab the Cottonwood. I do not think any of the areas outside the areas I designated should have lodging buildings. I am fine with AirBNB if we have guidelines and they are authorized. I do not agree with the concept of the overlays that were proposed as part of Migdale.

78. Short-term rentals have a serious, negative impact on year-round residents sense of community, safety, privacy and peace. Absentee owners have little skin in the game when it comes to the privacy and comfort of

their year-round neighbors or the environmental impact of their renovations and new constructions. Consequently, they can make decisions on the use of their property with little regard for the privacy and quiet of their year-round neighbors or the protection of the surrounding properties. Year-round residents must not be put in the untenable position of having to police or otherwise be inconvenienced by the sundry nuisances that come with transient neighbors and absentee owners. Under no circumstances must the business interests of short-term renters be advantaged over the privacy and quiet of their neighbors. In considering the approval of constructions in properties that have an extended history of long-term rentals, the town zoning board must always prioritize the privacy, quiet and concerns of year-round residents over the construction applications of owners renting out their homes or part of their homes on a short-term business. The current Town zoning board operates cavalierly and inconsistently in regards to the extant zoning laws. There is no point going to the trouble of implementing new laws or regulations unless the zoning board intends on honoring them to the letter.

79. Our comprehensive plan carefully detailed what is appropriate for the Village vs the Town. I am not sure anything has really changed since this carefully crafted document was completed. While I have completed the survey question by question, I believe our plan is a good one and see no reason for it to be changed.

80. I hope we are not opening Pandora's box.

81. We could use a few hotel rooms for extra guests, no doubt. But a large and lavish resort could ruin Millbrook as we know it. Keep hotel rooms in or near the Village (Bennett Park where the 19th century resort stood!) or among small BnB's that are highly regulated with light and noise ordinances to protect neighbors. (We badly need light and noise ordinances!) The ultimate concern I have is that these decisions may be driven by unseen and influential forces behind the scenes that have been using Washington/ Millbrook as their own personal Monopoly board for generations, and that all best-practice standards managed by third-party objective pros and planners without "family" ties to this Town will be brushed aside in a continued lack of transparency. The most obvious sign of this lack of transparency is the Town's website, which is an ongoing example of violations of Open Meetings Law (OML)- minutes of many meetings never shared or posted, and dissenting opinions critical of the town not posted without constant reminders to do so, and even with prodding, relevant docs are not made public. And while there are no enforcements for violations of OML other than Article 78's and no transparency police - integrity and trust starts with the simple things, the easy things to do if a town is well managed. If we don't care enough to get the simple things right, the basics of public process, can we really get the big things right? Just a thought. You asked for it! As the Washington Post's byline states "democracy dies in darkness." Transparency matters.

82. We don't have the infrastructure for Migdale. It will ruin our beautiful town. And if it does go forward it will be bankrupt soon and then we'll be stuck with this subdivision and paying for it. Respect local zoning!!!

83. I have limited concerns about additional hospitality housing in the town. But I have great concern for the longevity of our community without starter homes for young families

84. Additional hospitality if done right can add to the charm of the area and attract high quality visitors and add to the overall enjoyment of the area, but it must be controlled and carefully planned and target a high-end customer.

85. The area should not lose its charm and warmth

86. Need in village Inn for restaurants ..so customers can walk back after meal with alcohol

87. Mostly, we should think creatively, and combat rampant greed. New businesses should have a primary goal to serve the community and protect

the natural environment.

88. Keep Millbrook rural. It is the main reason people want to live here.

89. I also can't type in item 23...I think a little more diversity of business and entertainment would be a good thing..

90. What is the status of the defunct Dayton facility?

91. n

92. It would be nice to have inns, but they should be small to medium scale, and in any case, the current zoning and comprehensive plan intentions of preserving the character of the town should never be broken!

93. If we only are defined as a horse community- and half of that population leaves in the winter What is left? No income for businesses and they close!

94. We need more tax revenue but have to control growth and protect the environment. Anything the town can do to become carbon neutral ASAP would be wonderful. Maybe EV charging stations.

95. There is always going to be development but it should be well thought out and planned.

96. Please don't allow Migdale

97. Millbrook is the town that commerce forgot. Need to increase the vibrancy of local business.

98. Keep it SMALL and tasteful, blending in with the surroundings - something along the lines of Troutbeck (minus their new-housing project). NO new golf course. Allow STRs, but find a way to keep the solitude of the neighborhood intact.

99. Abandon all future considerations of the misnomer "hospitality uses" Use the terminology most suiting. "Exploitative money grubbing by soulless outside interests"

100. Thanks

101. Millbrook (TOW) is a Dutchess county gem surrounded by poorly planned towns and villages. We must maintain its beauty, pristine forests, scenic views, and natural resources. Any new hospitality venue should not disturb our unique rural character.

102. Please NO SILO RIDGE! EVER EVER

103. the goal here should not be to increase population or visitors. we are 90 miles north of Manhattan - there are plenty of people there. no urban sprawl. no suburban sprawl. this is horse country.

104. I think the people of the town of Washington spoke clearly at the meetings for Migdale . The answer was a resounding no . To continue this farce is not only disrespectful to the people of TOW but a waste of time and money. Our money , TOW money .

105. Everyone should consider how they would feel if they had a worst-case-scenario in their backyard and go forward accordingly.

106. Our Town Board went door to door promising that Migdale was dead and done and Will Guidera was gone. Now he's telling people that he KNEW his project would be approved the moment the board elections were certified. The current board needs to consider how CORRUPT they will be proven if they do his bidding. Are they their own men or puppets for an outside developer??

107. The Town Board and Planning Board must represent and respect the opinions of long-time full-time residents over NYC part-timers and weekenders.

108. I actively campaigned in the last Town Board election this past November and in the process knocked on nearly 400 doors in the Town of Washington. Almost universally people think additional hospitality venues, or restaurant choices would be nice. Also almost universally no one supports

the Migdale resort project with its multiple outbuildings. Universally they agree it is not appropriate for our town. If someone wants to adapt Migdale into a boutique hotel and restaurant and we know at least one serious party does- the people of the Town will support it. But we will NEVER support turning Migdale into a massive resort. The Town Board campaigned by telling everyone 'Migdale is dead'. The people want new hospitality venues and choices in appropriate locations- they DO NOT want their zoning compromised or destroyed.

109. If town amends CP to allow anything it should remain small inn type venue with limited number and size of events per calendar year

110. I think we need to be careful about creating policy in response to empty promises from developers or personal relationships. The standard should always be what is in the best interests of the town - period - not the opinions of a handful of influential residents.

111. I am very much for hospitality in the town but not for huge resorts or resort-like places. I love the idea of using existing structure and revamping what we have. I also love the idea of making downtown Millbrook more vibrant and businesses friendly and making it a walkable place that attracts visitors.

112. One of our popular attractions for new residents is our rural quality connected to a nearby Village. We can't compromise that.

113. This is a lovely area, it would be nice to welcome more visitors.

114. Any venues must be owned and operated by people who are honest and can be trusted and truthful with the concerns of the town as well as their business.

115. We need to Keep the town as is and enforce the existing zoning. Only after that is done successfully then have the current conversation.

116. Develop Migdale in a smart way!

117. I would love to see an increase in hospitality options in the Town of Washington. That said, I am glad to see that any development (that would affect character of Town and landscape) is being thoughtful with lots of restrictions.

118. Please keep the special quaint character of our town. It needs to be preserved.

119. Make it affordable to the average consumer. Not just affordable to the wealthy and the NYC transplants

120. Adding customers for our local businesses is a plus

121. Please make sure that the process is transparent so residents feel that whatever happens it can't be said hospitality was pushed through when many residents disagreed with the concept. Let's start small with Cottonwood and see how that goes.

122. If we're considering adding hospitality, VRBO rentals should remain easy for tien residents. There is a need for VRBO's dur to lack of large hotels. Bed and Breakfasts are ridiculously expensive here. I would love for my family members to visit and have options in this area.

123. we hope to see more development of higher end accommodations that offer cultural experiences

124. I have seen what has happened to towns like Rhinebeck, Beacon, and Cold Spring. These were very quaint, small towns until crowding including hospitality came to these areas. Any way that Millbrook can stay small and uncrowded I will support.

125. I oppose any future hospitality venues.

126. We have a fundamental need for short-term housing for families of residents with pets or children, for workers, for consultants, students,

contractors. Our businesses can also benefit from the right caliber of visitors. Millbrook can have this controlled evolution using permits and by establishing our rules.

- 127.** Re. the village Keep on top of the situation so the venues are respectful of the neighbors privacy, rules and regulations such as noise, parking etc.
- 128.** Please do not change the comprehensive plan. I do not know who crafted this survey, but questions assume you will be changing the plan. It would be a travesty. Do not sell out your neighbors.
- 129.** The Mabbettsville Hamlet code is horrible needs to be re-written. The Route 82 corridor district east of Washington Hollow needs to acknowledge that it is a commercial district and the code revised accordingly.
- 130.** Against any hospitality usage
- 131.** The rural character of the town and village are what currently attract visitors here..Keeping this is part of the charm that residents and visitors alike enjoy throughout the year.
- 132.** I am concerned with the rent increases in town due to higher taxes, increase in home value. Part of the charm of Millbrook is the working class that reside in the town, I worry we are being pushed out
- 133.** This needs to be highly regulated as lack of regulation leaves us all vulnerable to exploitation by those with power and money- who will take advantage. We had a comprehensive plan in place already - that took time and effort to create- and yet all of a sudden, it is being challenged - by people with money and power, not the long standing middle class families of this community who have been here for generations. This is what I am talking about and we need to stand firm against this.
- 134.** It is crucial that the zoning in the Town be respected and upheld in all cases, and should not be subject to change with "overlay zones" and special permits.
- 135.** Any operations that help boost the economic opportunities of local residents is a plus. It's a balance of not overdoing it and over commercializing or finishing the rural character that's drawing people in the first place
- 136.** Let's all keep in mind the peaceful nature of this town and village. Look to neighboring towns and villages where they now appear over built, overcrowded and so congested with traffic that is impossible for local property owners to traverse through their Own town. Use of development planners is a must, zoning regulations must be rewritten, presently we have little to no safe method of adding additional pedestrian traffic. We have examples all around us of what we want new developments to avoid: Rhinebeck, Millerton & Beacon just to name a few.
- 137.** Just because we were burnt with the last big resort that was going in, doesn't mean the future should be blocked! Why put up a brick wall on progress.
- 138.** No resorts. No glamping.
- 139.** Thanks for all your hard work!!
- 140.** Much of the desire to expand hospitality uses seems to stem from the belief that it will bring more revenue to Village businesses. Millbrook is a small village that exists primarily to fill the needs of residents, both full time and part time. The town is not a destination location. There are not enough tourist activities to draw visitors to the village and its' businesses and building ten new hotels will not change that. Millbrook is a lovely place to live just as it is. Why must we push to grow and change the very character of the town?
- 141.** Private property is private property. As long as anyone within the residence is obeying laws and ordinances, there is no reason to inflict

extensive laws, taxes, or regulations. The world is financially stressed as it is- there's no reason for property that's already taxed for school and property funds be mandated to contribute to permits or otherwise.

- 142.** Main concern is increase in traffic, noise and light pollution if a large hotel was permitted in the Town of Washington (excluding the village). I don't believe this would help the local economy. These large organizations bring in their own help and the residents desire to shop in the town is limited.
- 143.** Please do not sell out yours/our community.
- 144.** need more art and cultural facilities built for a meeting ground between public and private school kids and their parents to create a successful role model community.
- 145.** Growth can be good when controlled and monitored so that it does not disturb or overtake the everyday life of the residents and change the landscape into something undesirable. Careful planning and regulation would allow for both growth and everyday life and preservation of our existing environment. We would want to attract new business without it taking over and becoming a "commercialized" area. It's important to avoid overcrowding.
- 146.** Allow a small movie theater, get rid of the super high priced boutique shops no one but weekenders can afford to shop at. Kick out all the property owners who keep jacking up rents in the village , control the corrupt town politics and put small businesses out of business.
- 147.** Make sure that long time residents are not priced of potential new facilities and attractions
- 148.** Don't turn our town and village into another Atlantic city
- 149.** listen to the residents . they dont want a venue the size and scope of migdale
- 150.** Define long term vision. Sounds like this is an immediate threat to some peoples livelihoods not the design of a visionary.
- 151.** I think we need to revisit existing hospitality venues that do not comply with the conditions people are asking FUTURE venues to meet.
- 152.** Resort style hospitality would be a disaster and inevitably fail.
- 153.** The TOW has the unique opportunity to limit large invasive development within our community - everyone who stumbles upon our town falls in love, and comes back again & again, many choosing to stay, to enjoy countryside and quality of life - the town should continue to cultivate residents with likeminded vision - less is always more
- 154.** We applauded the efforts of the town & community working towards a solution - Hospitality is extremely important component to the future growth, stability & sustainability of Millbrook & its community
- 155.** Fun fun fun fun fun fun fun fun fun fun... there is no fun in town.
- 156.** None. Especially any large scale projects. This is not what the TOW needs.
- 157.** let them be happy elsewhere
- 158.** I wrote many comments at the "Open Forum"at the Firehouse in Millbrook, as did many other participants that day. What happened to all those sticky notes?! Did someone look and categorize all the comments and suggestions from that day? Can all village and town residents be advised of the conclusions of that Forum? Was all that done in vain? Inquiring minds want to know! Please address this publicly as I am not the only person who is wondering about the 'dead silence' from the organizers of the event that day. This survey is a good start but it appears that all or most of these questions were presented to participants at the Forum at the firehouse.
- 159.** Please do not amend the CP. We do not need additional hospitality in Millbrook.

- 160.** Should not be allowed. It will ruin the character of the town.
- 161.** Old fashioned Inn with a tavern would be nice. Make things accessible to everyone. There's too much separation of townies and weekenders as it is. Resentment on both sides
- 162.** Future hospitality won't work unless we attract more business and entertainment venues to sustain this lodging vision. If there is nothing to do why do we need more lodging. We use to have several wedding venues , now we have none. On and on and on- this town has no more sustained ability to live and survive anymore.
- 163.** Thank you.
- 164.** It would be redundant to list them as I already have a number of times.
- 165.** I just don't want to see Millbrook become a "Mini Westchester" or become too busy like Rhinebeck on the weekends.
- 166.** good luck: this is a critical question for our town/village, and I know our elected representatives take their work seriously. Thank you for your efforts.
- 167.** I'm happy to see the Town Board heard the widespread opposition to the proposed Migdale project, which it tried to hustle through against the wishes of the Town residents. It's my hope that this project, which would be an economic, environmental and rural character-destroying disaster, will not be revived.
- 168.** Millbrook is a town that people know and is a destination for daytrippers... I see no issue with having hospitality services to draw in money and revenue for town businesses
- 169.** We have to modernize our code and our regulations but we must weigh the character of the area and its resources when considering development. Our water supplies are more important than our tax base because without clean water we won't have a tax base.
- 170.** Forget such uses & keep what we have
- 171.** There is currently a growing trend for inns, motels, BnB's to be purchased by nationwide companies, owning hundreds if not thousands of these properties across the USA. I think this is a dangerous arrangement for the town to entertain. I think that owners must live on the premises of Air BnB's, Inns and such. I'm not sure about motels and hotels. If owners do not live on hotel/motel properties, there at minimum, be a requirement that owner/staff be on premises at all times.
- 172.** LOVE the Donald Tober Culinary space , downstairs at TB! Bravo! Now put my Espresso bar down there. Please. I hope there is a convo with CIA for creative uses, classes, community Food Pantry but upscale. Multi use Harvest tables . Industrial , multi purpose kitchen for rent, catering events (upstairs) , seasonal food focus with local farmers, breweries. Liquor license?
- 173.** We should not be having to do this because Will Guidara wants to build at Migdale, but here we are. Do NOT develop Migdale.
- 174.** Keep out big developers, like will guidara. Any small hotel/ inn/ B and B should be kept small.
- 175.** Good
- 176.** I think surveys like this are a better judge of what people want. In person meetings are mostly occupied by "activists" and people don't like conflict with neighbors but want to be heard